



A. Podelski
A. Malkis
M. Wehrle

January 10, 2006
Discussion: Jan 14–Jan 18
Some room(s)

Tutorials for Verification Exercise sheet 9

Exercise 1: Satisfaction of an LTL formula depends only on atomic propositions that occur in it

For an LTL formula ϕ over the set of atomic propositions AP , let $AP_\phi = \text{closure}(\phi) \cap AP$ be the set of those atomic propositions that actually occur in the formula. Show that for any LTL formula ϕ over AP , any $(A_i)_{i \geq 0} \in (2^{AP})^\omega$,

$$(A_i \cap AP_\phi)_{i \geq 0} \models \phi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_i)_{i \geq 0} \models \phi.$$

Exercise 2: Stating Properties in LTL

Consider a lift system that services N floors numbered 0 through $N - 1$. Assume $\text{door}(i)$ indicates that the doors on the i -th floor are open, $\text{lift}(i)$ indicates that the lift is at floor i , and $\text{req}(i)$ indicates that the request button at floor i was pressed and is lit. In the lift cabin there are N buttons for the floors and $\text{send}(i)$ indicates that the i -th send button is lit.

State the following properties in LTL.

- (a) A floor door is never open if the cabin is not present at that floor.
- (b) A requested floor will be served sometime.
- (c) The lift returns to floor 0 infinitely often.
- (d) The lift does not move unless there is some request.

Exercise 3: LTL tautologies

Which of the following formulae are tautologies? An LTL formula is a tautology if it holds for any path in any system. Give a counterexample for any formula that does not always hold.

- (a) $(\Box \varphi \rightarrow \Diamond \psi) \leftrightarrow (\varphi \mathbf{U} (\psi \vee \neg \varphi))$
- (b) $(\Diamond \Box \varphi \rightarrow \Box \Diamond \psi) \leftrightarrow \Box(\varphi \mathbf{U} (\psi \vee \neg \varphi))$
- (c) $\Diamond(\varphi \wedge \psi) \leftrightarrow \Diamond \varphi \wedge \Diamond \psi$

$$(d) \quad \Box(\varphi \rightarrow \bigcirc \varphi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \Box \varphi)$$

Exercise 4*: Expressiveness of LTL

The goal is to prove that there are ω -regular properties that cannot be expressed in LTL.

(a) Fill in the gaps in the following inductive proof:

For every formula φ there is a $n_0(\varphi)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0(\varphi)$ and all $A, B \subseteq AP$:

$$A^n BA^\omega \models \varphi \text{ iff } A^{n+1} BA^\omega \models \varphi$$

Proof by structural induction over φ . The base case is $\varphi = a$, $a \in AP$. Then choose $n_0(a) = 1$. Then we have for all $n \geq 1$:

$$A^n BA^\omega \models a \text{ iff } a \in A \text{ iff } A^{n+1} BA^\omega \models a.$$

Now assume that the induction hypothesis holds for φ and ψ . We now have to prove that it holds for $\neg\varphi$, $\varphi \wedge \psi$, $\bigcirc\varphi$ and $\varphi \cup \psi$.

For $\neg\varphi$ choose $n_0(\neg\varphi) = n_0(\varphi)$. Then for all $n \geq n_0$, $A^n BA^\omega \models \neg\varphi$ iff ...

For $\varphi \wedge \psi$...

For $\bigcirc\varphi$...

For $\varphi \cup \psi$...

(b) Use part (a) to prove that with $AP = \{a\}$ there is no LTL formula for the LT-property:

$$P = \{A_0 A_1 A_2 \dots \in (2^{AP})^\omega \mid a \in A_{2i}\}.$$

(c) How can P be expressed as ω -regular expression?