

Validity of FOL is undecidable

Jochen Hoenicke

May 15, 2012

Theorem 1 (FOL is undecidable (Turing & Church)). *There is no algorithm for deciding if a FOL formula F is valid, i.e. an algorithm that always halts and says “yes” if F is valid or says “no” if F is invalid.*

Proof. We reduce the halting problem for deterministic Turing machines on the empty tape to the validity problem for first order-logic. For a TM τ we build a first-order-logic formula F_τ such that τ terminates when started on the empty tape if and only if F_τ is valid.

Let $\tau = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_n)$ be a deterministic Turing Machine with states $Q = \{q_0, \dots, q_n\}$, input alphabet $\Sigma = \{\}$ (we consider the halting problem on an empty tape), tape alphabet $\Gamma = \{a_0, \dots, a_m\}$ where a_0 is the blank symbol, start state q_0 , final state q_n , and a total transition function $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$. We build a formula that encodes the run of τ . There is one constant *zero* and two one-argument functions *succ*, *pred*. Furthermore we have $n + m + 2$ predicates of arity 2, $q_0, \dots, q_n, a_0, \dots, a_m$. The intended meaning of the predicate $q_i(s, p)$ is that in the s th step, the Turing Machine is at position p in state q_i . The intended meaning of the predicate $a_i(s, p)$ is that at the s th step the symbol at position p is a_i .

The formula F_τ consists of several components:

- Functions *succ* and *pred* are inverse to each other:

$$F_1 = \forall s (pred(succ(s)) = s \wedge succ(pred(s)) = s)$$

- Always at every position there is at most one symbol on the tape:

$$F_2 = \forall s \forall p \bigwedge_{\substack{i, j \in \{0, \dots, m\} \\ i \neq j}} (\neg a_i(s, p) \vee \neg a_j(s, p))$$

Note that this can be written as a valid first-order formula once the number of symbols m is known. In particular there is an algorithm that computes formula F_2 from a given Turing Machine τ .

- Always the TM is only in one state

$$F_3 = \forall s \forall p_1 \forall p_2 \bigwedge_{\substack{i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\} \\ i \neq j}} (\neg q_i(s, p_1) \vee \neg q_j(s, p_2))$$

- Always the TM is only at one position

$$F_4 = \forall s \forall p_1 \forall p_2 \bigwedge_{i \in \{0, \dots, n\}} (p_1 \neq p_2 \rightarrow \neg q_i(s, p_1) \vee \neg q_i(s, p_2))$$

- Only the symbol at the position of the TM may change.

$$F_5 = \forall s \forall p \bigwedge_{i \in \{0, \dots, m\}} (a_i(s, p) \wedge \neg a_i(\text{succ}(s), p) \rightarrow \bigvee_{j \in \{0, \dots, n\}} q_j(s, p))$$

- The TM writes the correct symbol: For each $q \in Q, a \in \Gamma$ with $\delta(q, a) = (q', a', R)$, we define

$$F_{q,a} = \forall s \forall p (a(s, p) \wedge q(s, p) \rightarrow a'(\text{succ}(s), p) \wedge q'(\text{succ}(s), \text{succ}(p)))$$

For each $q \in Q, a \in \Gamma$ with $\delta(q, a) = (q', a', L)$, we define

$$F_{q,a} = \forall s \forall p (a(s, p) \wedge q(s, p) \rightarrow a'(\text{succ}(s), p) \wedge q'(\text{succ}(s), \text{pred}(p)))$$

then F_6 is the conjunction of these formulas.

- The TM starts at step zero on the empty tape:

$$F_7 = q_0(\text{zero}, \text{zero}) \wedge \forall p a_0(\text{zero}, p)$$

The formula F_7 specifies that every run of τ is terminating:

$$F_\tau = F_1 \wedge \dots \wedge F_7 \rightarrow \exists s \exists p q_n(s, p)$$

We show that F_τ is valid if and only if τ terminates when starting on the empty tape.

only if We show that there is a falsifying model I for F_τ if τ does not terminate on the empty tape. Let $D_I = \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_I(\text{zero}) = 0$, $\alpha_I(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$, $\alpha_I(\text{pred})(x) = x - 1$.

We set $\alpha_I[q_i](s, p) = \top$ if and only if $s \geq 0$ and the TM τ is in step s at position p in state q_i . Note that for $s < 0$ the predicate $q_i(s, p)$ is always false. This is consistent with F_1, \dots, F_7 .

We set $\alpha_I[a_i](s, p)$ if and only if $s < 0$ and $i = 0$ or $s \geq 0$ and the tape contains symbol a_i at position p in step s .

One can see that F_1, \dots, F_7 are true and $\exists s \exists p q_n(s, p)$ is false. Hence I is a falsifying interpretation for F_τ .

if Let $\text{succ}^i(\text{zero})$ denote the term $\text{succ}(\dots(\text{succ}(\text{zero})\dots))$ with i applications of succ . If $i < 0$ we denote by $\text{succ}^i(\text{zero})$ the term $\text{pred}(\dots(\text{pred}(\text{zero})\dots))$ with $-i$ applications of pred .

One can show by induction over i that for every interpretation satisfying F_1, \dots, F_7 that if at step i the TM is in state q_j and at position p the predicate $q_j(\text{succ}^i(\text{zero}), \text{succ}^p(\text{zero}))$ holds and that if at step i the tape contains symbol a_j at position p the predicate $a_j(\text{succ}^i(\text{zero}), \text{succ}^p(\text{zero}))$ holds. Since τ terminates, there is a step i and a position p at which the τ reaches the final state, hence $q_n(\text{succ}^i(\text{zero}), \text{succ}^p(\text{zero}))$ holds. Hence F_τ is true for every interpretation. \square