

# Decision Procedures

Jochen Hoenicke



Software Engineering  
Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg

Summer 2012

# Organisation

## Dates

- Lecture is Tuesday 14–16 (c.t) and Thursday 14–15 (c.t).
- Tutorials will be given on Thursday 15–16.  
Starting next week (this week is a two hour lecture).
- Exercise sheets are uploaded on Tuesday.  
They are due on Tuesday the week after.

To successfully participate, you must

- prepare the exercises (at least 50 %)
- actively participate in the tutorial
- pass an oral examination

THE CALCULUS OF COMPUTATION:  
Decision Procedures with  
Applications to Verification

by  
Aaron Bradley  
Zohar Manna

Springer 2007

# Motivation

Decision Procedures are algorithms to decide formulae.  
These formulae can arise

- in Hoare-style software verification.
- in hardware verification

Consider the following program:

---

```
for  
  
  (int  $i := \ell$ ;  $i \leq u$ ;  $i := i + 1$ ) {  
  if (( $a[i] = e$ )) {  
     $rv := \text{true}$ ;  
  }  
}
```

---

Consider the following program:

---

```
for
  @  $l \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. l \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e)$ 
  (int  $i := l; i \leq u; i := i + 1$ ) {
    if (( $a[i] = e$ )) {
       $rv := \text{true};$ 
    }
  }
```

---



Consider the following program:

---

```
for
  @  $l \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. l \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e)$ 
  (int  $i := l; i \leq u; i := i + 1$ ) {
  if (( $a[i] = e$ )) {
     $rv := \text{true};$ 
  }
}
```

---

How can we prove that the **formula** is a loop invariant?

Prove the Hoare triples (one for if case, one for else case)

---

assume  $\ell \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e)$

assume  $i \leq u$

assume  $a[i] = e$

$rv := \text{true};$

$i := i + 1$

@  $\ell \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e)$

---

---

assume  $\ell \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e)$

assume  $i \leq u$

assume  $a[i] \neq e$

$i := i + 1$

@  $\ell \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e)$

---

## Motivation (4)

A Hoare triple  $\{P\} S \{Q\}$  holds, iff

$$P \rightarrow wp(S, Q)$$

(wp denotes is weakest precondition)

## Motivation (4)

A Hoare triple  $\{P\} S \{Q\}$  holds, iff

$$P \rightarrow wp(S, Q)$$

(wp denotes is weakest precondition)

For assignments wp is computed by substitution:

assume  $l \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. l \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e)$

assume  $i \leq u$

assume  $a[i] = e$

$rv := \text{true};$

$i := i + 1$

@  $l \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. l \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e)$

holds if and only if:

$$l \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. l \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e) \wedge i \leq u \wedge a[i] = e \\ \rightarrow l \leq i + 1 \leq u \wedge (\text{true} \leftrightarrow \exists j. l \leq j < i + 1 \wedge a[j] = e)$$

We need an algorithm that decides whether a formula holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & l \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. l \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e) \wedge i \leq u \wedge a[i] = e \\ \rightarrow & l \leq i + 1 \leq u \wedge (\text{true} \leftrightarrow \exists j. l \leq j < i + 1 \wedge a[j] = e) \end{aligned}$$

We need an algorithm that decides whether a formula holds.

$$\ell \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e) \wedge i \leq u \wedge a[i] = e \\ \rightarrow \ell \leq i + 1 \leq u \wedge (\text{true} \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i + 1 \wedge a[j] = e)$$

If the formula does not hold it should give a counterexample, e.g.:

$$\ell = 0, i = 1, u = 1, rv = \text{false}, a[0] = 0, a[1] = 1, e = 1,$$

We need an algorithm that decides whether a formula holds.

$$\ell \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e) \wedge i \leq u \wedge a[i] = e \\ \rightarrow \ell \leq i + 1 \leq u \wedge (\text{true} \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i + 1 \wedge a[j] = e)$$

If the formula does not hold it should give a counterexample, e.g.:

$$\ell = 0, i = 1, u = 1, rv = \text{false}, a[0] = 0, a[1] = 1, e = 1,$$

This counterexample shows that  $i + 1 \leq u$  can be violated.

We need an algorithm that decides whether a formula holds.

$$\ell \leq i \leq u \wedge (rv \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i \wedge a[j] = e) \wedge i \leq u \wedge a[i] = e \\ \rightarrow \ell \leq i + 1 \leq u \wedge (\text{true} \leftrightarrow \exists j. \ell \leq j < i + 1 \wedge a[j] = e)$$

If the formula does not hold it should give a counterexample, e.g.:

$$\ell = 0, i = 1, u = 1, rv = \text{false}, a[0] = 0, a[1] = 1, e = 1,$$

This counterexample shows that  $i + 1 \leq u$  can be violated.

This lecture is about algorithms checking for validity and producing these counterexamples.



## Contents of Lecture

- Propositional Logic
- First-Order Logic
- First-Order Theories
- Quantifier Elimination
- Decision Procedures for Linear Arithmetic
- Decision Procedures for Uninterpreted Functions
- Decision Procedures for Arrays
- Combination of Decision Procedures
- DPLL(T)
- Craig Interpolants