

Theory I: Database Foundations

07.2012

1. Relational Calculus

19.0 Relational Calculus

Syntax

Formulas (*R-Formulas*) are built out of constants, variables, relation names, junctors \neg, \wedge, \vee , quantors \forall, \exists und auxiliary symbols '(', ')', ',', ' '.

- Let R be a relation name of arity k . Let a_1, \dots, a_k be constants or variables.

$R(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is an (atomic) R-formula.

- Let *selection condition* α be given as $X\theta Y$, or $X\theta a$, or $a\theta X$, where X, Y variables, a a constant and $\theta \in \{=, \neq, \leq, <, \geq, >\}$ a comparison operator.

α is an (atomic) R-formula.

- Let F be a R-formula.

$\neg F$ is a R-Formula.

- Let F be a R-formula containing a variable X , however not containing an expression of the form $\exists X$, resp. $\forall X$. X is called *free* in F and *bound* in F otherwise.

$\exists X F$ is called a (\exists -quantified) R-formula.

$\forall X F$ is called a (\forall -quantified) R-formula.

F is the *scope* of the \exists -, resp. \forall -quantifier.

- Let F and G be R-formulas and let \mathcal{V}_F , resp. \mathcal{V}_G the set of variables contained in F , resp. G , where variables occurring in $\mathcal{V}_F \cap \mathcal{V}_G$ are free in F and free in G .

The *conjunction* $(F \wedge G)$ is a R-formula.

The *disjunction* $(F \vee G)$ is a R-formula.

- A relational calculus query Q over a database schema \mathcal{R} is given as

$$\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid F\},$$

where F a R-formula over \mathcal{R} and a_1, \dots, a_n variables and constants.

- The set of variables among the a_i equals the set of free variables in F .
- To state a format of the result we can write

$$\{(a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n) \mid F\}.$$

Semantic: atomic queries

- Let the set of variables of F be \mathcal{V}_F . A *variable assignment* ν of F is a function over \mathcal{V}_F :

$$\nu : \mathcal{V}_F \rightarrow \text{dom}.$$

- We extend ν by identity for constants; for any constant a there holds $\nu(a) = a$.
- Consider a query over schema $R(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ given as

$$Q = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid R(a_1, \dots, a_n)\}.$$

Let r be an instance of R and let $F = R(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. The *answer* to Q w.r.t. r , $Q(r)$, is defined as:

$$Q(r) = \{(\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_n)) \mid \nu \text{ a variable assignment to } \mathcal{V}_F \\ \text{such that } (\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_n)) \in r\}$$

Examples

Consider schemata $R(A, B)$ and $S(B, C)$ with instances r, s .

- $\pi[A]\sigma[B = 5]R \equiv$
- $\pi[A]R \equiv$
- $\sigma[A = B]R \equiv$
- $R \bowtie S \equiv$
- $R \cup \delta[B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow B]S \equiv$
- $R - \delta[B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow B]S \equiv$

Semantic

- Let $Q = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid F\}$ be a query, where a_1, \dots, a_n variables and constants.

The *answer* to Q w.r.t. instance \mathcal{I} , $Q(\mathcal{I})$ is as follows:

$$Q(\mathcal{I}) = \{(\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_n)) \mid \nu \text{ a variable assignment } \mathcal{V}_F \text{ such that } F \text{ true under } \nu \text{ w.r.t. } \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Example

Consider schemata $R(A, B), S(C, D)$ with instances r, s . Let $Q = \{(X : A, Y : B, V : C, W : D) \mid R(X, Y) \wedge S(V, W) \wedge Y > V\}$ a query.

$r =$	<table style="border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">A</th> <th style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">B</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">1</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">2</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">2</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	A	B	1	2	2	2	2	1
A	B								
1	2								
2	2								
2	1								

$s =$	<table style="border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">C</th> <th style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">D</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">1</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">1</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">3</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	C	D	1	1	1	2	3	1
C	D								
1	1								
1	2								
3	1								

\Rightarrow_Q

Domain independence

- Let $Q := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid F\}$. Let \mathcal{I} an instance to \mathcal{R} and $adom$ the set which contains all constants in Q and all constants mentioned in \mathcal{I} . $adom$ is called *active domain* Q ; $adom$ is finite.
- Q , resp. F , are called *domain independent*, if for any set $D \supset adom$ there holds:

$$Q(\mathcal{I}, adom) = Q(\mathcal{I}, D).$$

Example: queries, which are not domain independent

- $R(A)$ a schema, Q a query given as

$$\{X \mid \neg R(X)\},$$

where $\mathcal{I}(R) = \{1\}$.

- $R(A, B)$ and $S(B, C)$ schemata. Q a query given as

$$\{(X, Z) \mid \exists Y (R(X, Y) \vee S(Y, Z))\},$$

where $\mathcal{I}(R) = \{(1, 1)\}$, resp. $\mathcal{I}(S) = \emptyset$.

Safety

If R-formula F is *safe*, then F domain independent.

- F does not contain \forall .
- If $F_1 \vee F_2$ subformulas of F , then F_1 and F_2 have to contain the same free variables.
- A subformula G of F is called *maximally conjunctive*, if F does not contain a subformula of the form $H \wedge G$ or $G \wedge H$.

Let $F_1 \wedge \dots \wedge F_m$, $m \geq 1$, be a maximally conjunctive subformula of F . All free variables X have to be *bounded* in the following sense ($1 \leq j \leq m$):

- If X is free in F_j , where F_j neither a comparison nor negated, then X bounded.
- If F_j of the form $X = a$ or $a = X$ and a a constant, then X bounded.
- If F_j of the form $X = Y$ or $Y = X$ and Y bounded, then X bounded.

Examples

- $\{(X, Y) \mid X = Y \vee R(X, Y)\}$ is not safe.
- $\{(X, Y) \mid X = Y \wedge R(X, Y)\}$ is safe.
- $\{(X, Y, Z) \mid R(X, Y, Z) \wedge \neg(S(X, Y) \vee T(Y, Z))\}$ is not safe, however is safe when written equivalently as

$$R(X, Y, Z) \wedge \neg S(X, Y) \wedge \neg T(Y, Z)$$