



J. Hoenicke
A. Nutz

02.07.2013
submit until 09.07.2013, 10:15

Tutorials for Decision Procedures Exercise sheet 10

Exercise 1: Decision Procedure for T_A

Apply the decision procedure for arrays to check *validity* of the following T_A -formulae. In the last step of the algorithm, where you have to use the decision procedure for the quantifier-free fragment, you do not need to follow the corresponding algorithm but may argue intuitively.

- (a) $(\forall i. a[i] = b[i]) \rightarrow (\forall i. a\langle j \triangleleft v \rangle[i] = b\langle j \triangleleft v \rangle[i])$
- (b) $\exists j. a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle[j] = v$
- (c) $\forall j. a\langle i \triangleleft v \rangle[j] = v$

Exercise 2: Decision Procedure for T_A^Z

Check *validity* of the formula

$$\text{sorted}(a, \ell, k) \wedge \text{sorted}(a, k, u) \rightarrow \text{sorted}(a, \ell, u)$$

where *sorted* is defined as usual:

$$\text{sorted}(a, \ell, u) : \forall i, j. \ell \leq i \leq j \leq u \rightarrow a[i] \leq a[j]$$

Again, in the last step you may argue intuitively talking only about the relevant combinations of indices from the index set.

Exercise 3: Correctness of DP for T_A^Z

Let I be an interpretation. Prove for $F[\bar{i}] : \text{expr} \leq \text{expr}$ that $I \models F[\bar{i}] \rightarrow F[\bar{t}]$, where $\bar{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ and \bar{t} is the vector $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{I}^n$ with $\alpha_I[t_k] = \text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha_I[i_k])$ (in the notation of the book $\bar{t} = \text{proj}_I(\bar{i})$). The expression *expr* is either a universal variable i_k or a *pexpr*. Note that \mathcal{I} contains all *pexpr* and that

$$\text{proj}_{\mathcal{I}}(v) = \begin{cases} \max\{\alpha_I[t] \mid t \in \mathcal{I} \wedge \alpha_I[t] \leq v\} & \text{if for some } t \in \mathcal{I}: \alpha_I[t] \leq v \\ \min\{\alpha_I[t] \mid t \in \mathcal{I}\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$