

---

**Real-Time Systems**

<http://swt.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/teaching/SS2013/rtsys>

---

Exercise Sheet 6

Early submission: Tuesday, 2013-07-16, 10:00    Regular submission: Wednesday, 2013-07-17, 10:00

**Exercise 1: Extended Timed Automata** **(5/20 Points)**

With Extended Timed Automata, we introduced committed locations.

- Explain in your own words, possibly using examples (different from the one in the lecture) the difference between urgent and committed locations. (3)
- We explained urgent locations by a syntactical transformation, urgent locations are thus not part of a Extended Timed Automaton tuple. Committed locations are. Could we also explain committed locations by a syntactical transformation? In other words: do committed locations add expressive power to Pure Timed Automata? (2)

**Exercise 2: Timed Automata vs. Timed Büchi Automata** **(10/20 Points)**

In the lecture, we claimed that Pure TA and TBA are “more or less” the same.

- Give a TBA whose language is the set of computations paths of the desktop lamp controller. (4)
- Give a TA whose set of computation paths is equal to the language of the “ $a/b$ ” TBA example from the lecture. (4)
- Conclude — are they the same? If not exactly the same (why not?), then in what aspects are they equivalent? (2)

**Exercise 3: Observer** **(5/20 Points)**

Consider Exercise 2 of Exercise Sheet 2 (requirements for traffic lights).

Which of those requirements is testable, which one is not? If yes, give a test automaton (observer, monitor), if not, explain why not. In the negative cases, could TBA help? (5)