



ALBERT-LUDWIGS-  
UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG

Prof. Dr. Andreas Podelski  
Matthias Heizmann  
Christian Schilling

June 4th, 2014

### 3. Lecture Computer Science Theory

## Chapter III – Context-free languages and push-down automata (pp. 37-69)

### §2 Pumping lemma (pp. 44-47)

We skip this part in the interest of time.

Summary: There is also a pumping lemma for context-free languages. Again, it can be used to prove that a language is *not* context-free. But, as in the regular language case, there are languages which are not context-free, but for which the pumping lemma is not strong enough to show that.

### §3 Pushdown automata (pp. 48-57)

We want to have an automaton model for context-free languages, like we had the finite automata for regular languages. We have already seen that context-free grammars can generate languages such as  $L = \{a^n b^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . An automaton would need to store the number of  $a$ 's read so far. Hence we have to add some memory to our  $\varepsilon$ -NFA model.

For this we use a *stack* (of unlimited size) on which the automaton can write symbols from a new *stack alphabet*. The automaton can *pop* (erase) the top-most symbol and use it for choosing the next transition. Also, it can *push* (add) an arbitrary string to the stack. In each step exactly one pop and one push operation is applied. We call this model a *pushdown automaton* (PDA).

Unlike finite automata, *deterministic PDAs* (DPDAs) are weaker than non-deterministic PDAs, i.e., there are context-free languages for which there is no DPDA accepting it.

Formally, a (*nondeterministic*) *push-down automaton* (PDA) is a 7-tuple

$$\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, Q, \Gamma, \rightarrow, q_0, Z_0, F)$$

with the following properties:

- $\Sigma$  is the *input alphabet*,
- $Q$  is a finite set of *states*,
- $\Gamma$  is the *stack alphabet*,
- $\rightarrow \subseteq Q \times \Gamma \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times Q \times \Gamma^*$  is the *transition relation*,
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the *initial/start state*,
- $Z_0 \in \Gamma$  is the *initial/start symbol of the stack*,
- $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of *final states*.

Transition are of the form  $(q, Z, \alpha, q', \gamma)$ . We also write  $(q, Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (q', \gamma)$ .

A PDA reads a word  $w$  as follows. Initially, the stack contains only  $Z_0$  and the current state is  $q_0$ . Then in each step the PDA pops the top-most symbol from the stack. It then either reads the next symbol of  $w$  or it reads nothing (spontaneous  $\varepsilon$ -transition). In both cases, depending on the transition relation, it goes to a new state and pushes some new string (possibly  $\varepsilon$ ).

Note: When the stack is empty *after* a step (i.e., the last symbol was popped and no symbol was pushed), the PDA stops working.

 (1)

### 1.2.1 Acceptance

Since we have a stack now, we can define two different notions of *acceptance*:

- (a) We write  $L(\mathcal{A})$  for the language accepted with final states (as usual).
- (b) We write  $L_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A})$  for the language accepted with the empty stack.

It turns out that these acceptance conditions are equally powerful.

### 3.5 Theorem (acceptance)

- (a) For every PDA  $\mathcal{A}$  we can construct a PDA  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $L(\mathcal{A}) = L_\varepsilon(\mathcal{B})$ .
- (b) For every PDA  $\mathcal{A}$  we can construct a PDA  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $L_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}) = L(\mathcal{B})$ .