

Real-Time Systems

Lecture 03: Duration Calculus I

2014-05-08

Dr. Bernd Westphal

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

Contents & Goals

Last Lecture:

- Model of timed behaviour: state variables and their interpretation
- First order predicate-logic for requirements and system properties
- Classes of requirements (safety, liveness, etc.)

This Lecture:

- **Educational Objectives:** Capabilities for following tasks/questions.
 - Read (and at best also write) Duration Calculus formulae.
- **Content:**
 - Duration Calculus:
Assertions, Terms, Formulae, Abbreviations, Examples

Duration Calculus

Duration Calculus: Preview

- Duration Calculus is an **interval logic**.
- Formulae are evaluated in an (**implicitly given**) interval.


Strangest operators:

- ^{almost}**everywhere** — Example: $\lceil G \rceil$

(Holds in a given interval $[b, e]$ iff the gas valve is open almost everywhere.)

- **chop** — Example: $(\lceil \neg I \rceil ; \lceil I \rceil ; \lceil \neg I \rceil) \implies \ell \geq 1$
(Ignition phases last at least one time unit.)

- **integral** — Example: $\ell \geq 60 \implies \int L \leq \frac{\ell}{20}$
(At most 5% leakage time within intervals of at least 60 time units.)



Duration Calculus: Overview

We will introduce three (or five) syntactical “levels”:

(i) **Symbols:**

$f, g, \text{ true, false, =, <, >, \leq, \geq, } x, y, z, X, Y, Z, d$

(ii) **State Assertions:**

$P ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid X = d \mid \neg P_1 \mid P_1 \wedge P_2$

(iii) **Terms:**

$\theta ::= x \mid \ell \mid f P \mid f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$

(iv) **Formulae:**

$F ::= p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \mid \neg F_1 \mid F_1 \wedge F_2 \mid \forall x \bullet F_1 \mid F_1 ; F_2$

(v) **Abbreviations:**

$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, \llbracket P \rrbracket, \llbracket P \rrbracket^t, \llbracket P \rrbracket^{\leq t}, \diamond F, \square F$

} ev
} o
} ev
} evla
to
} k,

Symbols: Syntax

- f, g : **function symbols**, each with arity $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Called **constant** if $n = 0$.

Assume: constants $0, 1, \dots \in \mathbb{N}_0$; binary '+' and '.'.

$\mathbb{R}^3: 3$ (ternary)

- p, q : **predicate symbols**, also with arity.

Assume: constants *true*, *false*; binary =, <, >, ≤, ≥.

$\heartsuit: 2$ (binary)

- $x, y, z \in \text{GVar}$: **global variables**.

- $X, Y, Z \in \text{Obs}$: **state variables** or **observables**, each of a data type \mathcal{D}
(or $\mathcal{D}(X), \mathcal{D}(Y), \mathcal{D}(Z)$ to be precise).

TfLig

$\mathcal{D}(\text{TfLig})$

Called **boolean observable** if data type is $\{0, 1\}$.

- d : **elements** taken from data types \mathcal{D} of observables.

red, green

Symbols: Semantics

- **Semantical domains** are
 - the **truth values** $\mathbb{B} = \{tt, ff\}$,
 - the **real numbers** \mathbb{R} ,
 - **time** Time,
(mostly $\text{Time} = \mathbb{R}_0^+$ (continuous), exception $\text{Time} = \mathbb{N}_0$ (discrete time))
 - and **data types** \mathcal{D} . *set of all domain values of observables*

- The semantics of an n -ary **function symbol** f is a (mathematical) function from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} , denoted \hat{f} , i.e.

$$\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

- The semantics of an n -ary **predicate symbol** p is a function from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{B} , denoted \hat{p} , i.e.

$$\hat{p} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}.$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(a, b, c) \mapsto \frac{a+b}{c}$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

$$(a, b) \mapsto \begin{cases} tt, & b = a + 1 \\ ff, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{tt} : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

$$tt$$

$$\hat{0} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$0$$

$$\hat{1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$3, 1415 \dots$$

Symbols: Examples

- The **semantics** of the function and predicate symbols **assumed above** is fixed throughout the lecture:
 - $\hat{true} = tt, \hat{false} = ff$
 - $\hat{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ is the (real) number **zero**, etc.
 - $\hat{+} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the **addition** of real numbers, etc.
 - $\hat{=} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the **equality** relation on real numbers,
 - $\hat{<} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the **less-than** relation on real numbers, etc.
- “Since the semantics is the expected one, we shall often simply use the symbols $0, 1, +, \cdot, =, <$ when we mean their semantics $\hat{0}, \hat{1}, \hat{+}, \hat{\cdot}, \hat{=}, \hat{<}$.”

Symbols: Semantics

- The semantics of a **global variable** is not fixed (throughout the lecture) but given by a **valuation**, i.e. a mapping

$$\mathcal{V} : \text{GVar} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

assigning each global variable $x \in \text{GVar}$ a real number $\mathcal{V}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$.

We use Val to denote the set of all valuations, i.e. $\text{Val} = (\text{GVar} \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$.

Global variables are though **fixed over time** in system evolutions.

$$\text{GVar} = \{x, y, z\}$$

$$\text{e.g. } \mathcal{V} = \{x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 0, z \mapsto 2\}$$

Symbols: Semantics

- The semantics of a **global variable** is not fixed (throughout the lecture) but given by a **valuation**, i.e. a mapping

$$\mathcal{V} : \text{GVar} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\pi : \text{Time} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2$$

$\begin{matrix} \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F} \end{matrix}$

assigning each global variable $x \in \text{GVar}$ a real number $\mathcal{V}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$.

We use Val to denote the set of all valuations, i.e. $\text{Val} = (\text{GVar} \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$.

Global variables are though **fixed over time** in system evolutions.


- The semantics of a **state variable** is **time-dependent**.

It is given by an interpretation \mathcal{I} , i.e. a mapping

$$\mathcal{I} : \text{Obs} \rightarrow (\text{Time} \rightarrow \mathcal{D})$$

assigning each state variable $X \in \text{Obs}$ a function

$$\mathcal{I}(X) : \text{Time} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$$




such that $(\mathcal{I}(X))(t) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ denotes the value that X has at time $t \in \text{Time}$.

Symbols: Representing State Variables

- For convenience, we shall abbreviate $\mathcal{I}(X)$ to X_I . eg. F_X
- An **interpretation** (of a state variable) can be displayed in form of a **timing diagram**.


For instance,



with $\mathcal{D}(X) = \{d_1, d_2\}$.

$$\mathcal{I}(X)(3) = d_2$$

$$\mathcal{I}(X)(5) = d_1$$



Duration Calculus: Overview

We will introduce three (or five) syntactical “levels”:

(i) **Symbols:**

$f, g, \text{ true, false, } =, <, >, \leq, \geq, \ x, y, z, \ X, Y, Z, \ d$

(ii) **State Assertions:**

$P ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid X = d \mid \neg P_1 \mid P_1 \wedge P_2$

(iii) **Terms:**

$\theta ::= x \mid \ell \mid f P \mid f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$

(iv) **Formulae:**

$F ::= p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \mid \neg F_1 \mid F_1 \wedge F_2 \mid \forall x \bullet F_1 \mid F_1 ; F_2$

(v) **Abbreviations:**

$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, \llbracket P \rrbracket, \llbracket P \rrbracket^t, \llbracket P \rrbracket^{\leq t}, \diamond F, \square F$

State Assertions: Syntax

- The set of **state assertions** is defined by the following grammar:

$$P ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid X = d \mid \neg P_1 \mid P_1 \wedge P_2$$

with $d \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, $X \in \text{Obs}$.

We shall use P, Q, R to denote state assertions.

- Abbreviations:**

- We shall write X instead of $X = 1$ if $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$
- Define \vee, \implies, \iff as usual.

$[X, d]$

X^d

$X \textcircled{\text{smiley}} d$

E.g. $\neg \text{Light} = \text{red}$

$\text{F} = 1$

F (an abbrev.)

$\text{I} = 1$ NOT! (if I is not an observable)

not the function symbol

could also write:

State Assertions: Semantics

\mathcal{I} -int-def- P : Time $\rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

- The **semantics** of **state assertion** P is a function

$$\mathcal{I}[[P]] : \text{Time} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

i.e. $\mathcal{I}[[P]](t)$ denotes the truth value of P at time $t \in \text{Time}$.

- The value is defined **inductively** on the structure of P :

$$\mathcal{I}[[0]](t) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathcal{I}[[1]](t) = 1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

symbols,
syntax

vars, semantics

$$\mathcal{I}[[x = d]](t) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } X_x(t) = d \quad ((\mathcal{I}(x)(t) = d)) \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{I}[[\neg P_1]](t) = 1 - \mathcal{I}[[P_1]](t)$$

$$\mathcal{I}[[P_1 \wedge P_2]](t) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } \mathcal{I}[[P_1]](t) = \mathcal{I}[[P_2]](t) = 1 \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

State Assertions: Notes

by def. on prev. slide (needs proof)

- $\mathcal{I}[\![X]\!](t) = \mathcal{I}[\![X = 1]\!](t) = \mathcal{I}(X)(t) = X_{\mathcal{I}}(t)$, if X boolean.


- $\mathcal{I}[\![P]\!]$ is also called **interpretation** of P .

We shall write $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ for it.

- Here we prefer 0 and 1 as boolean values (instead of tt and ff) — for reasons that will become clear immediately.

State Assertions: Example

- Boolean observables G and F .
- State assertion $L := G \wedge \neg F$. $((G=1) \wedge \neg(F=1))$



- $L_I(1.2) = 1$, because
 $I[G](1.2) = I[G=1](1.2) = 1$ because $G_I(1.2) = 1$
 $I[F](1.2) = I[F=1](1.2) = 0$ because $F_I(1.2) = 0$
 $I[\neg F](1.2) = 1 - I[F](1.2) = 1 - 0 = 1$
 $I[L](1.2) = 1$
- $L_I(2) = 0$, because ...

Duration Calculus: Overview

We will introduce three (or five) syntactical “levels”:

(i) **Symbols:**

$f, g, \text{ true, false, =, <, >, \leq, \geq, } x, y, z, X, Y, Z, d$

(ii) **State Assertions:**

$P ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid X = d \mid \neg P_1 \mid P_1 \wedge P_2$

} yields
0 or 1

(iii) **Terms:**

$\theta ::= x \mid \ell \mid f P \mid f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$

} yields
 \mathbb{R}

(iv) **Formulae:**

$F ::= p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \mid \neg F_1 \mid F_1 \wedge F_2 \mid \forall x \bullet F_1 \mid F_1 ; F_2$

(v) **Abbreviations:**

$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, \llbracket P \rrbracket, \llbracket P \rrbracket^t, \llbracket P \rrbracket^{\leq t}, \diamond F, \square F$

Terms: Syntax

- **Duration terms** (DC terms or just terms) are defined by the following grammar:

$$\theta ::= x \mid \ell \mid \int P \mid f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$$

where x is a global variable, ℓ and f are special symbols, P is a state assertion, and f a function symbol (of arity n).

- ℓ is called **length operator**, f is called **integral operator**
- Notation: we may write function symbols in **infix notation** as usual, i.e. write $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ instead of $+(\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Definition 1. [*Rigid*]


A term **without** length and integral symbols is called **rigid**.

Terms: Semantics

- Closed **intervals** in the time domain

$$\text{Intv} := \{[b, e] \mid b, e \in \text{Time and } b \leq e\}$$

Point intervals: $[b, b]$



Terms: Semantics

- The **semantics** of a **term** is a function

$$\mathcal{I}[\theta] : \text{Val} \times \text{Intv} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

i.e. $\mathcal{I}[\theta](\mathcal{V}, [b, e])$ is the real number that θ denotes under interpretation \mathcal{I} and valuation \mathcal{V} in the interval $[b, e]$.

- The value is defined **inductively** on the structure of θ :

$$\mathcal{I}[x](\mathcal{V}, [b, e]) = \mathcal{V}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathcal{I}[l](\mathcal{V}, [b, e]) = e - b$$

$$\mathcal{I}[f P](\mathcal{V}, [b, e]) = \int_b^e P_{\mathcal{I}}(t) dt$$

$$\mathcal{I}[f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)](\mathcal{V}, [b, e]) = \hat{f}(\mathcal{I}[\theta_1](\mathcal{V}, [b, e]), \dots, \mathcal{I}[\theta_n](\mathcal{V}, [b, e]))$$


classical Riemann integral

$\mathcal{I}[P]: \text{Time} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

Terms: Example

$$\left(\bullet(x, \int L) \right)_{R := G_{17F}}$$

$$\theta = x \cdot \int L$$



$$V(x) = 20.$$

$$\mathbb{I}[\theta](V, [b, e]) = \hat{\circ} \left(\mathbb{I}[x](V, [b, e]), \mathbb{I}[\int L](V, [b, e]) \right) = 20 \cdot 1.25 = 25$$

math. mult.

$$\mathbb{I}[x](V, [b, e]) = V(x) = 20$$

$$\mathbb{I}[\int L](V, [b, e]) = \int_b^e L_I(t) dt = \int_{0.5}^{3.25} L_I(t) dt = 1.25$$

Terms: Semantics Well-defined?

- So, $\mathcal{I}[\int P](\mathcal{V}, [b, e])$ is $\int_b^e P_{\mathcal{I}}(t) dt$ — but does the integral always exist?
- IOW: is there a $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ which is not (Riemann-)integrable? Yes. For instance

$$P_{\mathcal{I}}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } t \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & , \text{ if } t \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

- To exclude such functions, DC considers only interpretations \mathcal{I} satisfying the following condition of **finite variability**:

For each state variable X and each interval $[b, e]$ there is a **finite partition** of $[b, e]$ such that the interpretation $X_{\mathcal{I}}$ is **constant on each part**.

Thus on each interval $[b, e]$ the function $X_{\mathcal{I}}$ has only **finitely many points of discontinuity**.

References

[Olderog and Dierks, 2008] Olderog, E.-R. and Dierks, H. (2008). *Real-Time Systems - Formal Specification and Automatic Verification*. Cambridge University Press.