



Prof. Dr. Andreas Podelski  
Christian Schilling

## Tutorial for Cyber-Physical Systems - Hybrid Models Exercise Sheet 6

### Exercise 1: Relational composition

Give the formula that denotes the relational composition  $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2$  of the two relations denoted by the formulas  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  in the variables  $V \cup V'$ , where  $V'$  contains the primed versions of the variables in  $V$ .

### Exercise 2: Properties of $post^\#$

Give a counterexample for those of the following propositions which are wrong.

- (a)  $post^\#(\varphi, \rho_1 \circ \rho_2) \subseteq post^\#(post^\#(\varphi, \rho_1), \rho_2)$
- (b)  $post^\#(\varphi, \rho_1 \circ \rho_2) \supseteq post^\#(post^\#(\varphi, \rho_1), \rho_2)$
- (c)  $post^\#(\varphi, \rho_1 \vee \rho_2) \subseteq post^\#(\varphi, \rho_1) \vee post^\#(\varphi, \rho_2)$
- (d)  $post^\#(\varphi, \rho_1 \vee \rho_2) \supseteq post^\#(\varphi, \rho_1) \vee post^\#(\varphi, \rho_2)$
- (e)  $post^\#(\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2, \rho) \subseteq post^\#(\varphi_1, \rho) \vee post^\#(\varphi_2, \rho)$
- (f)  $post^\#(\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2, \rho) \supseteq post^\#(\varphi_1, \rho) \vee post^\#(\varphi_2, \rho)$

### Exercise 3: Predicate abstraction

Consider the following program.

```
int x, y, z, w;
void foo() {
1:  do {
2:    z = 0;
3:    x = y;
4:    if (w == 17) {
5:      x++;
6:      z = 1;
    }
7:  } while (x != y)
8:  assert (z != 1);
}
```

- (a) Is the program safe? Give an informal argument.
- (b) Give three predicates (in addition to the predicates on the program counter) such that the corresponding predicate abstraction is sufficient to prove safety.
- (c) Give the abstract reachability graph corresponding to your chosen predicates (in an informal presentation where the edges are labeled by line numbers). An example of an *abstract reachability graph* is given in Fig. 3 in “Predicate Abstraction for Program Verification”. It is defined in the same line as the region transition system for timed automata.