



Tutorial for Program Verification Exercise Sheet 5

Exercise 1: Weakest precondition for sequential composition 2 Points

In the lecture we discussed that the weakest precondition of the sequential composition is independent of the way we add parentheses, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{wp}((C_1 ; C_2) ; C_3, \phi) \equiv \mathbf{wp}(C_1 ; (C_2 ; C_3), \phi)$$

Use the following program and postcondition to exemplarily show this fact, i.e., compute **wp** for both interpretations step by step and compare the results.

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_1 : \mathbf{if } x > 0 \mathbf{ then } x := 1 \mathbf{ else } x := 2 & \\ C_2 : y := 1 & \phi : x = 3 \\ C_3 : x := x + y & \end{array}$$

Exercise 2: Recursive equation for loop invariants 2 Points

In this exercise we derive a recursive equation for the loop invariant of a while loop. This equation might be useful to guess inductive loop invariants.

Consider the following equivalence of commands.

$$\mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } C_0 \quad \equiv \quad \mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } C_0 ; \mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } C_0 \mathbf{ else skip}$$

- (a) Use the operational semantics of commands (“ \rightsquigarrow ”) to show that the preceding equivalence holds, i.e., show that the following equation is valid.

$$\llbracket \mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } C_0 \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } C_0 ; \mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } C_0 \mathbf{ else skip} \rrbracket$$

- (b) Use the weakest precondition $\mathbf{wp}(\cdot, \cdot)$ to state a recursive equation for a loop invariant θ of a while loop $\mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } C_0$.

Hint: Start computing **wp** for both sides. Finally, the right-hand side of the equation should be a first-order logic formula that contains b , θ , and $\mathbf{wp}(C_0, \phi)$ for some suitable first-order logic formula ϕ .

Exercise 3: Hoare logic derivation – Multiplication

2 Points

- (a) Write down a partial correctness specification (i.e., precondition and postcondition) for a program \mathbf{C} that multiplies two integers m and n , where m is nonnegative, and stores the result in r .
- (b) Write down a program \mathbf{C} as specified above that only uses addition (but not multiplication). Use the command language introduced in the lecture.
- Hint:* Using an auxiliary variable may be helpful for the next part of the exercise.
- (c) Annotate the while loop of your program with a suitable loop invariant and construct a Hoare logic derivation that proves that your program \mathbf{C} fulfills your correctness specification.

Exercise 4: Hoare logic derivation – Factorial function

2 Points

Consider the annotated program \mathbf{Fact} that was presented in the lecture.

```
{n ≥ 0}
f := 1;
i := 1;
while i ≤ n do {θ} {
    f := f · i;
    i := i + 1;
}
{f = fact(n)}
```

Recall that $fact(n)$ denotes the factorial function of n .

In Figure 1 you find a derivation of the given partial correctness specification in the Hoare calculus and the following loop invariant.

$$\theta := f = fact(i - 1) \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n + 1$$

Collect all side conditions from the strengthening/weakening rule applications (marked with “s/w”) and show that they are valid (you can skip trivial proofs). Note that one of the proofs requires a case split.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{1 = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\} f := 1 \{f = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\}}{\{n \geq 0\} f := 1 \{f = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\}} \text{asgn}}{\{n \geq 0\} f := 1 \{f = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\}} \text{s/w} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\{f = 1 \wedge 1 = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\} i := 1 \{f = 1 \wedge i = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\}}{\{f = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\} i := 1 \{f = 1 \wedge i = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\}} \text{asgn}}{\{f = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\} i := 1 \{f = 1 \wedge i = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\}} \text{s/w}}{\{f = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\} i := 1 \{f = 1 \wedge i = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\}} \text{seq}}{\{n \geq 0\} f := 1 ; i := 1 \{f = 1 \wedge i = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\}} \text{seq} \quad (1) \text{seq}}{\{n \geq 0\} \mathbf{Fact} \{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}} \text{seq}$$

Proof tree for (1):

$$\omega \quad (2) \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\{f = \mathit{fact}(i + 1 - 1) \wedge 1 \leq i + 1 \wedge i + 1 \leq n + 1\} i := i + 1 \{\theta\}}{\{f = \mathit{fact}(i) \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n\} i := i + 1 \{\theta\}} \text{asgn}}{\{f = \mathit{fact}(i) \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n\} i := i + 1 \{\theta\}} \text{s/w}}{\{f = \mathit{fact}(i) \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n\} i := i + 1 \{\theta\}} \text{seq}}{\{\theta \wedge i \leq n\} f := f \cdot i ; i := i + 1 ; \{\theta\}} \text{seq}}{\{\theta\} \mathbf{while} \ i \leq n \ \mathbf{do} \ \{\theta\} \ \{f := f \cdot i ; i := i + 1\} \ \{\theta \wedge \neg(i \leq n)\}} \text{whl}}{\{f = 1 \wedge i = 1 \wedge n \geq 0\} \mathbf{while} \ i \leq n \ \mathbf{do} \ \{\theta\} \ \{f := f \cdot i ; i := i + 1\} \ \{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}} \text{s/w}}$$

Proof tree for (2):

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{f \cdot i = \mathit{fact}(i - 1) \cdot i \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n\} f := f \cdot i \{f = \mathit{fact}(i - 1) \cdot i \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}}{\{\theta \wedge i \leq n\} f := f \cdot i \{f = \mathit{fact}(i) \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}} \text{asgn}}{\{\theta \wedge i \leq n\} f := f \cdot i \{f = \mathit{fact}(i) \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}} \text{s/w}}$$

Figure 1: Hoare derivation for **Fact** function and $\theta \equiv f = \mathit{fact}(i - 1) \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n + 1$. Due to space constraints the proof tree is split into three subtrees and we have not substituted θ . On the web page you can find a full picture of the proof tree.