



Tutorial for Program Verification Exercise Sheet 6

Exercise 1: Loop invariants

1 Point

Consider the following program P .

```
{true}
x := i;
y := j;
while x ≠ 0 do {θ} {
  x := x - 1
  y := y - 1
}
{i = j → y = 0}
```

- (a) Find a suitable loop invariant θ such that $true \models wp(P, i = j \rightarrow y = 0)$ holds.
- (b) Give two examples for a loop invariant θ such that $true \models wp(P, i = j \rightarrow y = 0)$ does not hold.

Exercise 2: Guarded commands

2 Points

Consider the following modified version of **Fact** where we added the variable u .

```
{n ≥ 0}
u := 1;
f := 1;
i := 1;
while i ≤ n do {θ} {
  f := f · i;
  i := i + 1;
  u := u + 1;
}
{f = fact(n) ∧ u ≥ 1}
```

- (a) Transform the program (together with its pre-/postcondition) to a guarded command. Use the old θ from the previous exercise sheet:

$$f = \text{fact}(i - 1) \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge i \leq n + 1$$

- (b) Why will a correctness proof using wp of your guarded command fail?
- (c) Modify θ above such that it can be used to show correctness of this program.

Exercise 3: Properties of post

2 Points

We say that $post$ distributes over the connective \odot w.r.t. the first argument if the following equation holds.

$$post(\phi_1 \odot \phi_2, \rho) = post(\phi_1, \rho) \odot post(\phi_2, \rho)$$

We say that $post$ distributes over the connective \odot w.r.t. the second argument if the following equation holds.

$$post(\phi, \rho_1 \odot \rho_2) = post(\phi, \rho_1) \odot post(\phi, \rho_2)$$

- Determine for $\odot \in \{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow\}$ if $post$ distributes over \odot w.r.t. the first argument or w.r.t. the second argument.
- Determine if the equality $post(\neg\phi, \rho) = \neg post(\phi, \rho)$ holds.
Determine if the equality $post(\phi, \neg\rho) = \neg post(\phi, \rho)$ holds.

Give a proof for each positive answer, give a counterexample for each negative answer.

Exercise 4: Program representations

1 Point

Consider again the program from Exercise 1 where we encode the postcondition using an **assert** statement and omit the precondition and the loop invariant.

```

l0 : x := i;
l1 : y := j;
l2 : while x ≠ 0 do {
l3 :     x := x - 1
l4 :     y := y - 1
l5 : }
l6 : assert(i = j → y = 0)

```

- (a) State a formal definition of this program in the notation that was introduced in the lecture on Wednesday, May 30, where a program is given as a tuple

$$P = (V, pc, \varphi_{init}, R, \varphi_{err}).$$

- (b) Draw the corresponding control flow graph.

Exercise 5: Weakest precondition

2 Points

Let V be a tuple of program variables. Let ϕ be a set of states (i.e., ϕ is a formula whose free variables are in V). Let ρ be a binary relation over program states (i.e., ρ is a formula whose free variables are in $V \cup V'$).

In the lecture we defined the formula $post(\phi, \rho)$ as the image of the set ϕ under the relation ρ .

- (a) Define a function wp such that the formula $wp(\phi, \rho)$ denotes the largest set of states ψ such that $post(\psi, \rho)$ is a subset of ϕ .
- (b) Compute $wp(\phi_i, \rho_i)$ for the following pairs.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_1 \equiv y \geq 7 & \rho_1 \equiv x < y \wedge x' = x \wedge y' = y \\
\phi_2 \equiv y \geq 7 & \rho_2 \equiv x' = x + y + 3 \wedge y' = y \\
\phi_3 \equiv y \geq 7 \wedge x = 23 & \rho_3 \equiv y' = y
\end{array}$$