

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
a derivation is a sequence of Hoare triples,
each Hoare triple is an axiom (skip, update)
or it is inferred by one of the inference rules (seq, cond, while)

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
a derivation is a sequence of Hoare triples,
each Hoare triple is an axiom (skip, update)
or it is inferred by one of the inference rules (seq, cond, while)
- ▶ mechanization:

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
a derivation is a sequence of Hoare triples,
each Hoare triple is an axiom (skip, update)
or it is inferred by one of the inference rules (seq, cond, while)
- ▶ mechanization:
- ▶ construct a derivation *assuming* that side conditions hold,
- ▶ and then check side conditions
“discharge the verification condition”

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
a derivation is a sequence of Hoare triples,
each Hoare triple is an axiom (skip, update)
or it is inferred by one of the inference rules (seq, cond, while)
- ▶ mechanization:
- ▶ construct a derivation *assuming* that side conditions hold,
- ▶ and then check side conditions
“discharge the verification condition”
- ▶ if check does not succeed: try another derivation

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
a derivation is a sequence of Hoare triples,
each Hoare triple is an axiom (skip, update)
or it is inferred by one of the inference rules (seq, cond, while)
- ▶ mechanization:
- ▶ construct a derivation *assuming* that side conditions hold,
- ▶ and then check side conditions
“discharge the verification condition”
- ▶ if check does not succeed: try another derivation
- ▶ next:
deterministic strategy to construct *unique* derivation

System \mathcal{H} (1)

- ▶ Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ derivable in \mathcal{H} if
exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \mathcal{H}

System \mathcal{H} (1)

- ▶ Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ derivable in \mathcal{H} if exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \mathcal{H}
- ▶ skip

$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (1)

- ▶ Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ derivable in \mathcal{H} if exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \mathcal{H}
- ▶ skip

$$\overline{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}$$

- ▶ assignment

$$\overline{\{\psi[e/x]\} x := e \{\psi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (2)

- ▶ sequential command $C \equiv C_1 ; C_2$

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\phi'\} \quad \{\phi'\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (2)

- ▶ sequential command $C \equiv C_1 ; C_2$

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\phi'\} \quad \{\phi'\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ conditional command $C \equiv \mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } C_1 \mathbf{ else } C_2$

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg b\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

► while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } \{\theta\} C_0$

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} C \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

- ▶ while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } \{\theta\} C_0$

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} C \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

- ▶ strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\}} \quad \text{if } \phi' \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } \psi \rightarrow \psi'$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

- ▶ while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } \{\theta\} C_0$

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} C \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

- ▶ strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\}} \text{ if } \phi' \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } \psi \rightarrow \psi'$$

- ▶ Hoare triple derivable in all logicals models in which implications in side condition are valid

backward construction of derivation

- ▶ given Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
“guess inference rule and guess assumptions”
generate Hoare triples from which we could infer $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$
... and collect side conditions of inference rule (if any)

backward construction of derivation

- ▶ given Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
“guess inference rule and guess assumptions”
generate Hoare triples from which we could infer $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$
... and collect side conditions of inference rule (if any)
- ▶ repeat on generated Hoare triples
to generate new Hoare triples
until every Hoare triple is an axiom

mechanize backward inference

- ▶ given Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
from what Hoare triples could we have inferred it?
... using what inference rule?

mechanize backward inference

- ▶ given Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
from what Hoare triples could we have inferred it?
... using what inference rule?
- ▶ next:
go through each form of command C
(skip, update, seq, cond, while)

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \mathbf{skip} \{\psi\}}$$

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \mathbf{skip} \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ derivation can use what axiom and what inference rule?

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ derivation can use what axiom and what inference rule?
- ▶ axiom for skip

$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}$$

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ derivation can use what axiom and what inference rule?
- ▶ axiom for skip

$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}$$

- ▶ ‘strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition’ inference rule

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\}} \quad \text{if } \phi' \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } \psi \rightarrow \psi'$$

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \mathbf{skip} \{\psi\}}$$

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ possible derivation sequence: axiom for (skip), followed by weakening of postcondition

$$\frac{\frac{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ possible derivation sequence: axiom for (skip), followed by weakening of postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ side condition: $\phi \rightarrow \psi$

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ possible derivation sequence: axiom for (skip), followed by weakening of postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ side condition: $\phi \rightarrow \psi$
- ▶ possible derivation sequence:
axiom for (skip), followed by strengthening of precondition

$$\frac{\{\psi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

backward inference



$$\frac{???}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ possible derivation sequence: axiom for (skip), followed by weakening of postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ side condition: $\phi \rightarrow \psi$
- ▶ possible derivation sequence: axiom for (skip), followed by strengthening of precondition

$$\frac{\{\psi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ same side condition: $\phi \rightarrow \psi$

new axiom for skip



$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}} \text{ if } \phi \rightarrow \psi$$

new axiom for skip



$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}} \text{ if } \phi \rightarrow \psi$$

- ▶ old axiom & strengthening of precondition

new axiom for skip



$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}} \text{ if } \phi \rightarrow \psi$$

- ▶ old axiom & strengthening of precondition
- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under **skip**
if and only if
 $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ is valid

new axiom for skip



$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\psi\}} \text{ if } \phi \rightarrow \psi$$

- ▶ old axiom & strengthening of precondition
- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under **skip**
if and only if
 $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ is valid
- ▶ ψ is the weakest precondition for ψ under **skip**

new axiom for update



$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} x := e \{\psi\}} \text{ if } \phi \rightarrow \psi[e/x]$$

new axiom for update



$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} x := e \{\psi\}} \text{ if } \phi \rightarrow \psi[e/x]$$

- ▶ old axiom & strengthening of precondition

new axiom for update



$$\overline{\{\phi\} x := e \{\psi\}} \quad \text{if } \phi \rightarrow \psi[e/x]$$

- ▶ old axiom & strengthening of precondition
- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under $x := e$
if and only if
 $\phi \rightarrow \psi[e/x]$ is valid

new axiom for update



$$\overline{\{\phi\} x := e \{\psi\}} \quad \text{if } \phi \rightarrow \psi[e/x]$$

- ▶ old axiom & strengthening of precondition
- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under $x := e$
if and only if
 $\phi \rightarrow \psi[e/x]$ is valid
- ▶ $\psi[e/x]$ is the weakest precondition for ψ under $x := e$

new rule for seq

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\theta\} \quad \{\theta\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

new rule for seq

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\theta\} \quad \{\theta\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ new rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi_1\} C_1 \{\phi_2\} \quad \{\phi_2\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}} \phi \rightarrow \phi_1$$

new rule for seq

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\theta\} \quad \{\theta\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ new rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi_1\} C_1 \{\phi_2\} \quad \{\phi_2\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}} \phi \rightarrow \phi_1$$

- ▶ let ϕ_2 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_2 and
let ϕ_1 be the weakest precondition of ϕ_2 under C_1

new rule for seq

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\theta\} \quad \{\theta\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ new rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi_1\} C_1 \{\phi_2\} \quad \{\phi_2\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}} \phi \rightarrow \phi_1$$

- ▶ let ϕ_2 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_2 and let ϕ_1 be the weakest precondition of ϕ_2 under C_1
- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under $C_1 ; C_2$ if and only if $\phi \rightarrow \phi_1$ is valid

new rule for seq

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\theta\} \quad \{\theta\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ new rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi_1\} C_1 \{\phi_2\} \quad \{\phi_2\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C_1 ; C_2 \{\psi\}} \phi \rightarrow \phi_1$$

- ▶ let ϕ_2 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_2 and let ϕ_1 be the weakest precondition of ϕ_2 under C_1
- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under $C_1 ; C_2$ if and only if $\phi \rightarrow \phi_1$ is valid
- ▶ the weakest precondition of ψ under $C_1 ; C_2$ is the weakest precondition of (the weakest precondition of ψ under C_2) under C_1

new rule for cond

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg b\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

new rule for cond

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg b\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ new rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi_1\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi_2\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}} \quad \phi \rightarrow (\neg b \vee \phi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \rightarrow (b \vee \phi_2)$$

new rule for cond

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg b\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ new rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi_1\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi_2\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}} \quad \phi \rightarrow (\neg b \vee \phi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \rightarrow (b \vee \phi_2)$$

- ▶ let ϕ_1 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_1 and
let ϕ_2 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_2

new rule for cond

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg b\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ new rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi_1\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi_2\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}} \quad \phi \rightarrow (\neg b \vee \phi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \rightarrow (b \vee \phi_2)$$

- ▶ let ϕ_1 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_1 and let ϕ_2 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_2
- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under **if b then C_1 else C_2** if and only if $\phi \rightarrow ((\neg b \vee \phi_1) \wedge (b \vee \phi_2))$ is valid

new rule for cond

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg b\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ new rule:

$$\frac{\{\phi_1\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi_2\} C_2 \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \{\psi\}} \quad \phi \rightarrow (\neg b \vee \phi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \rightarrow (b \vee \phi_2)$$

- ▶ let ϕ_1 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_1 and let ϕ_2 be the weakest precondition of ψ under C_2
- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under **if b then C_1 else C_2** if and only if $\phi \rightarrow ((\neg b \vee \phi_1) \wedge (b \vee \phi_2))$ is valid
- ▶ the weakest precondition of ψ under **if b then C_1 else C_2** is the conjunction of $\neg b \vee \phi_1$ and $b \vee \phi_2$

new rule for while

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } \{\theta\} C_0 \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

new rule for while

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } \{\theta\} C_0 \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

- ▶ new rule = old rule & strengthening & weakening

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } \{\theta\} C_0 \{\psi\}} \quad \phi \rightarrow \theta \text{ and } \theta \wedge \neg b \rightarrow \psi$$

new rule for while

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } \{\theta\} C_0 \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

- ▶ new rule = old rule & strengthening & weakening

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } \{\theta\} C_0 \{\psi\}} \quad \phi \rightarrow \theta \text{ and } \theta \wedge \neg b \rightarrow \psi$$

- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under **while** b **do** $\{\theta\} C_0$
if and only if
 $\phi \rightarrow \theta$ and $\theta \wedge \neg b \rightarrow \psi$ are valid and $\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$

new rule for while

- ▶ old rule:

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } \{\theta\} C_0 \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

- ▶ new rule = old rule & strengthening & weakening

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\phi\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } \{\theta\} C_0 \{\psi\}} \quad \phi \rightarrow \theta \text{ and } \theta \wedge \neg b \rightarrow \psi$$

- ▶ ϕ is a precondition for ψ under **while** b **do** $\{\theta\} C_0$
if and only if
 $\phi \rightarrow \theta$ and $\theta \wedge \neg b \rightarrow \psi$ are valid and $\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$
- ▶ θ is the weakest precondition for ψ under **while** b **do** $\{\theta\} C_0$
assuming
 $\theta \wedge \neg b \rightarrow \psi$ is valid and
 $\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$

weakest precondition $wp(C, \psi)$

▶ $wp(\mathbf{skip}, \psi) = \psi$

weakest precondition $wp(C, \psi)$

- ▶ $wp(\mathbf{skip}, \psi) = \psi$
- ▶ $wp(x := e, \psi) = \psi[e/x]$

weakest precondition $\text{wp}(C, \psi)$

- ▶ $\text{wp}(\mathbf{skip}, \psi) = \psi$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(x := e, \psi) = \psi[e/x]$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(C_1 ; C_2, \psi) = \text{wp}(C_1, \text{wp}(C_2, \psi))$

weakest precondition $\text{wp}(C, \psi)$

- ▶ $\text{wp}(\mathbf{skip}, \psi) = \psi$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(x := e, \psi) = \psi[e/x]$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(C_1 ; C_2, \psi) = \text{wp}(C_1, \text{wp}(C_2, \psi))$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(\mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } C_1 \mathbf{ else } C_2, \psi) = (\neg b \vee \phi_1) \wedge (b \vee \phi_2)$
where

$$\phi_1 = \text{wp}(C_1, \psi)$$

$$\phi_2 = \text{wp}(C_2, \psi)$$

weakest precondition $\text{wp}(C, \psi)$

- ▶ $\text{wp}(\mathbf{skip}, \psi) = \psi$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(x := e, \psi) = \psi[e/x]$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(C_1 ; C_2, \psi) = \text{wp}(C_1, \text{wp}(C_2, \psi))$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(\mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } C_1 \mathbf{ else } C_2, \psi) = (\neg b \vee \phi_1) \wedge (b \vee \phi_2)$
where
$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 &= \text{wp}(C_1, \psi) \\ \phi_2 &= \text{wp}(C_2, \psi)\end{aligned}$$
- ▶ $\text{wp}(\mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } \{ \theta \} C_0, \psi) = \theta$

verification condition

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,

verification condition

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,
to check Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,

verification condition

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,
to check Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
check validity of verification condition

$$\phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(C, \psi)$$

verification condition

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,
to check Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
check validity of verification condition

$$\phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(C, \psi)$$

- ▶ for command C of form: **while** b **do** $\{\theta\} C_0$,

verification condition

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,
to check Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
check validity of verification condition

$$\phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(C, \psi)$$

- ▶ for command C of form: **while** b **do** $\{\theta\} C_0$,
to check Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,

verification condition

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,
to check Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
check validity of verification condition

$$\phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(C, \psi)$$

- ▶ for command C of form: **while** b **do** $\{\theta\} C_0$,
to check Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
check Hoare triple $\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$
and check validity of two implications

$$\phi \rightarrow \theta$$

$$\theta \wedge \neg b \rightarrow \psi$$

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
- ▶ construct a *backwards* derivation

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
- ▶ construct a *backwards* derivation
- ▶ derivation = tree of Hoare triples,
each new Hoare triple is an axiom (skip, update)
or it is an assumption in one of the inference rules (seq, cond, while)

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
- ▶ construct a *backwards* derivation
- ▶ derivation = tree of Hoare triples,
each new Hoare triple is an axiom (skip, update)
or it is an assumption in one of the inference rules (seq, cond, while)
- ▶ inference rule instantiated for given precondition and given postcondition, side condition:
precondition \Rightarrow *weakest precondition*
- ▶ derivation *unique*

mechanization of correctness proof

- ▶ given a Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$,
- ▶ construct a *backwards* derivation
- ▶ derivation = tree of Hoare triples,
each new Hoare triple is an axiom (skip, update)
or it is an assumption in one of the inference rules (seq, cond, while)
- ▶ inference rule instantiated for given precondition and given postcondition, side condition:
precondition \Rightarrow *weakest precondition*
- ▶ derivation *unique*
- ▶ overall verification condition = set of side conditions

verification condition for $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,

verification condition for $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,
- ▶ add one implication:

$$\phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(C, \psi)$$

verification condition for $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,
- ▶ add one implication:

$$\phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(C, \psi)$$

- ▶ for command C of form: **while** b **do** $\{\theta\} C_0$,

verification condition for $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$

- ▶ for command C of form: skip, update, seq, cond,
- ▶ add one implication:

$$\phi \rightarrow \text{wp}(C, \psi)$$

- ▶ for command C of form: **while** b **do** $\{\theta\} C_0$,
- ▶ add two implications:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &\rightarrow \theta \\ \theta \wedge \neg b &\rightarrow \psi\end{aligned}$$

and add verification condition for Hoare triple $\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$

Adequacy of Verification Condition

- ▶ let Φ be the verification condition for $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$

Adequacy of Verification Condition

- ▶ let Φ be the verification condition for $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$
- ▶ let Γ be a set of assertions
(e.g., axioms for bounded integer arithmetic,
axioms for factorial function, ...)

Adequacy of Verification Condition

- ▶ let Φ be the verification condition for $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$
- ▶ let Γ be a set of assertions
(e.g., axioms for bounded integer arithmetic,
axioms for factorial function, ...)



$$\Gamma \models \Phi \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash \{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$$