



References

[Came and Dingel, 2007] Crane, M. L. and Dingel, J. (2007). UML vs. classical vs. rhapsody statecharts: not all models are created equal. *Software and Systems Modeling*, 6(4):419–435.

[Damm et al., 2003] Damm, W., Josko, B., Veitstova, A., and Puvell, A. (2003). A formal semantics for a UML kernel language 1.2. IS7/33922/WP 1.1/D1.1.2Part1, Version 1.2.

[Fecher and Schönborn, 2007] Fecher, H. and Schönborn, J. (2007). UML 2.0 state machines: Complete formal semantics via core state machines. In Brin, L., Havelort, B. R., Lenczer, M., and van de Pol, J., editors, *FMVCS/PD/MC*, volume 4346 of *LNCS*, pages 244–260. Springer.

[Harel and Kugler, 2004] Harel, D. and Kugler, H. (2004). The rhapsody semantics of statecharts. In Ehrig, H., Damm, W., Gede-Risolds, M., Fell, W., Schneider, E., and Veitstova, A., editors, *Software Engineering Techniques for Applications in Embedded Systems*, number 3167 of *LNCS*, pages 325–354. Springer-Verlag.

[OMG, 2007] OMG (2007). Unified modeling language: Superstructure, version 2.1.2. Technical Report formal/07-11-02.

[Störne, 2009] Störne, H. (2009). *UML 2 für Studenten*. Pearson Studium.