

Software Design, Modelling and Analysis in UML

Lecture 04: OCL Semantics

2014-10-30

Prof. Dr. Andreas Podelski, **Dr. Bernd Westphal**

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

– 04 – 2014-10-30 – main –

Contents & Goals

Last Lecture:

- OCL Syntax

This Lecture:

- **Educational Objectives:** Capabilities for following tasks/questions.
 - What does it mean that an OCL expression is satisfiable?
 - When is a set of OCL constraints said to be consistent?
 - Can you think of an object diagram which violates this OCL constraint?
next time
- **Content:**
 - OCL Semantics
 - maybe: OCL consistency and satisfiability

– 04 – 2014-10-30 – Prelim –

OCL Semantics [OMG, 2006]

The Task

$I(\cdot, \cdot)$

OCL Syntax 1 4: Expressions

$expr ::=$		
w	$: \tau(w)$	
$ expr_1 = expr_2$	$: \tau \times \tau \rightarrow Bool$	
$ oclIsUndefined(expr_1)$	$: \tau \rightarrow Bool$	
$ \{expr_1, \dots, expr_n\}$	$: \tau \times \dots \times \tau \rightarrow Set(\tau)$	
$ isEmpty(expr_1)$	$: Set(\tau) \rightarrow Bool$	
$ size(expr_1)$	$: Set(\tau) \rightarrow Int$	
$ allInstances_C$	$: Set(\tau_C)$	
$ v(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow \tau(v)$	
$ r_1(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow \tau_D$	
$ r_2(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow Set(\tau_D)$	

Where, given $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}, V, atr)$,

- $W \supseteq \{self\}$ is a set of typed logical variables, w has type $\tau(w)$
- τ is any type from $\mathcal{T} \cup T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}} \cup \{Set(\tau_0) \mid \tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}\}$
- T_B is a set of basic types, in the following we use $T_B = \{Bool, Int, String\}$
- $T_{\mathcal{C}} = \{\tau_C \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is the set of object types,
- $Set(\tau_0)$ denotes the set-of- τ_0 type for $\tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}$ (sufficient because of "flattening" (cf. standard))
- $v : \tau(v) \in atr(C), \tau(v) \in \mathcal{T}$,
- $r_1 : D_{0,1} \in atr(C)$,
- $r_2 : D_* \in atr(C)$,
- $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$.

-03-2010-10-27 - SoDapn - 7/30

- Given an OCL expression $expr$, a system state $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$, and a valuation of logical variables β , define

$$I[\![\cdot]\!](\cdot, \cdot) : OCLExpressions(\mathcal{S}) \times \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}} \times (W \rightarrow I(\mathcal{T} \cup T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}})) \rightarrow I(Bool)$$

such that

$$I[\![expr]\!](\sigma, \beta) \in \{true, false, \perp_{Bool}\}.$$

$\{true, false, \perp_{Bool}\}$

Basically business as usual...

- (i) Equip each OCL (!) **basic type** with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I_{(t)} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{(t)}) = T_B = \{ \text{Int}, \text{Bool}, \text{String} \}$$

e.g. $I_{(t)}(\text{Bool}) = \{ \text{true}, \text{false}, \perp_{\text{Bool}} \}$

Basically business as usual...

- (i) Equip each OCL (!) **basic type** with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I_{(t)} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{(t)}) = T_B$$

- (ii) Equip each **object type** τ_C with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I_{(t)} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{(t)}) = \tau_C$$

(most reasonable: $\mathcal{D}(C)$ determined by structure \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{S}).

- (iii) Equip each **set type** $\text{Set}(\tau_0)$ with reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I_{(t)} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{(t)}) = \{ \text{Set}(\tau_0) \mid \tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_{\emptyset} \}$$

- (iv) Equip each **arithmetical operation** with a reasonable **interpretation** (that is, with a **function** operating on the corresponding **domains**).

$$I_{(t)} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{(t)}) = \{ +, -, \leq, \dots \}, \text{ e.g., } I(+) \in I(\text{Int}) \times I(\text{Int}) \rightarrow I(\text{Int})$$

$$\text{expr}_1 + \text{expr}_2 : \text{Int} \times \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$$

Basically business as usual...

- (i) Equip each OCL (!) **basic type** with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = T_B$$

- (ii) Equip each **object type** τ_C with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = \tau_C$$

(most reasonable: $\mathcal{D}(C)$ determined by structure \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{S}).

- (iii) Equip each **set type** $\text{Set}(\tau_0)$ with reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = \{\text{Set}(\tau_0) \mid \tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_C\}$$

- (iv) Equip each **arithmetical operation** with a reasonable **interpretation** (that is, with a **function** operating on the corresponding **domains**).

$$I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = \{+, -, \leq, \dots\}, \text{ e.g., } I(+) \in I(\text{Int}) \times I(\text{Int}) \rightarrow I(\text{Int})$$

- (v) **Set operations** similar: $I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = \{\text{isEmpty}, \dots\}$

- (vi) Equip each **expression** with a reasonable **interpretation**, i.e. define function

$$I : \text{Expr} \times \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}} \times (W \rightarrow I(\mathcal{T} \cup T_B \cup T_C)) \rightarrow I(\text{Bool})$$

...except for OCL being a **three-valued logic**, and the "iterate" expression.

(i) Domains of Basic Types of OCL

Recall:

- $T_B = \{\text{Bool}, \text{Int}, \text{String}\}$

We set:

- $I_{\tau}(\text{Bool}) := \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \dot{\cup} \{\perp_{\text{Bool}}\}$
- $I_{\tau}(\text{Int}) := \mathbb{Z} \dot{\cup} \{\perp_{\text{Int}}\}$
- $I_{\tau}(\text{String}) := \dots \dot{\cup} \{\perp_{\text{String}}\}$

assume both sets disjoint

read "bottom" or "undefined"

finite sequences of characters

We may omit index τ of \perp_{τ} if it is clear from context.

(ii) Domains of Object and (iii) Set Types

- Now we need a structure \mathcal{D} of our signature $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}, V, atr)$.
- **Recall:** \mathcal{D} assigns an (infinite) domain $\mathcal{D}(C)$ to each class $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

- Let τ_C be an (OCL) **object type** for a class $C \in \mathcal{C}$.
- We set

$$I_{(ii)}(\tau_C) := \mathcal{D}(C) \cup \{\perp_{\tau_C}\}$$

- Let τ be a type from $T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- We set

$$I_{(iii)}(Set(\tau)) := \overset{\text{powerset of } I(\tau)}{2^{I(\tau)}} \cup \{\perp_{Set(\tau)}\}$$

Note: in the OCL standard, only **finite** subsets of $I(\tau)$.
But infinity doesn't scare **us**, so we simply allow it.

(iv) Interpretation of Arithmetic Operations

- **Literals** map to fixed values:

$I_{(iv)}(\text{true}) := \text{true}$	$I_{(iv)}(\text{false}) := \text{false}$	$I_{(iv)}(0) := 0$	$I_{(iv)}(1) := 1, \dots$
Bool	$I_{(iv)}(\text{Bool})$	$I(\text{OclUndefined}_\tau) := \perp_\tau$	

(iv) Interpretation of Arithmetic Operations

- **Literals** map to fixed values:

$$I(\text{true}) := \text{true}, \quad I(\text{false}) := \text{false}, \quad I(0) := 0, \quad I(1) := 1, \dots$$

$$I(\text{OclUndefined}_\tau) := \perp_\tau$$

- **Boolean operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\tau)$):

$$\tau \times \tau \rightarrow \text{Bool}$$

$$I(\Rightarrow)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \text{ and } x_2 \neq \perp_\tau \\ \text{false} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \text{ and } x_2 \neq \perp_\tau \\ \perp_{\text{Bool}} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{(w)}(=\tau) : I(\tau) \times I(\tau) \rightarrow I(\text{Bool}) = \{\text{true}, \text{false}, \perp\}$$

(iv) Interpretation of Arithmetic Operations

- **Literals** map to fixed values:

$$I(\text{true}) := \text{true}, \quad I(\text{false}) := \text{false}, \quad I(0) := 0, \quad I(1) := 1, \dots$$

$$I(\text{OclUndefined}_\tau) := \perp_\tau$$

- **Boolean operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\tau)$):

$$I(=\tau)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 = x_2 \\ \text{false} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 \neq x_2 \\ \perp_{\text{Bool}} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- **Integer operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\text{Int})$):

$$I(\oplus)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp \text{ and } x_2 \neq \perp \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{(w)}(\oplus) : I(\text{Int}) \times I(\text{Int}) \rightarrow I(\text{Int}) = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\perp\}$$

(iv) Interpretation of Arithmetic Operations

- **Literals** map to fixed values:

$$I(\text{true}) := \text{true}, \quad I(\text{false}) := \text{false}, \quad I(0) := 0, \quad I(1) := 1, \dots$$

$$I(\text{OclUndefined}_\tau) := \perp_\tau$$

- **Boolean operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\tau)$):

$$I(=_\tau)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 = x_2 \\ \text{false} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 \neq x_2 \\ \perp_{\text{Bool}} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- **Integer operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\text{Int})$):

$$I(+)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp \neq x_2 \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note: There is a **common principle**.

Namely, the **interpretation** of an operation $\omega : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau$ is a function

$I(\omega) : I(\tau_1) \times \dots \times I(\tau_n) \rightarrow I(\tau)$ on corresponding semantical domain(s).

$\omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n)$ e.g. $+(\text{expr}_1, \text{expr}_2)$

$$0 + 27 = 13$$

$$= (+(0, 27), 13)$$

$$I(0) = 0$$

$$I(27) = 27$$

$$I(13) = 13$$

$$I(+): I(\text{Int}) \times I(\text{Int}) \rightarrow I(\text{Int})$$

$$I(=): I(\text{Int}) \times I(\text{Int}) \rightarrow I(\text{Bool})$$

actually = here see previous slide

$$I[\omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n)](\sigma, \beta)$$

$$= (I(\omega))(I[\text{expr}_1](\sigma, \beta), \dots, I[\text{expr}_n](\sigma, \beta))$$

$$I[+(0, 27)](\sigma, \beta) = (I(+))\left(\underbrace{I(0)}_0, \underbrace{I(27)}_{27}\right) = 27$$

(iv) Interpretation of *OclIsUndefined*

- The **is-undefined** predicate (defined point-wise for $x \in I(\tau)$):

$$I(\text{OclIsUndefined}_\tau)(x) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x = \perp_\tau \\ \text{false} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(v) Interpretation of Set Operations

Basically the same principle as with arithmetic operations...

Let $\tau \in T_B \cup T_\emptyset$.

- Set comprehension** ($x_1, \dots, x_n \in I(\tau)$):

$$I(\{\!\{x_i}\!\})_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

- Empty-ness check** ($x \in I(\text{Set}(\tau))$):

$$I(\text{isEmpty}^\tau)(x) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x = \emptyset \\ \perp_{\text{Bool}} & , \text{ if } x = \perp_{\text{Set}(\tau)} \\ \text{false} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Counting** ($x \in I(\text{Set}(\tau))$):

$$I(\text{size}^\tau)(x) := |x| \text{ if } x \neq \perp_{\text{Set}(\tau)} \text{ and } \perp_{\text{Int}} \text{ otherwise}$$

cardinality

(vi) Putting It All Together

OCL Syntax 1 4: Expressions

Where, given $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}$,

- $W \supseteq \{self\}$ is a set of logical variables, w has
- τ is any type from $\mathcal{T} \cup \{Set(\tau_0) \mid \tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_\emptyset\}$
- T_B is a set of basic types, the following we use: $T_B = \{Bool, Int, St\}$
- $T_\emptyset = \{\tau_C \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ set of object types,
- $Set(\tau_0)$ denotes the set-of- τ_0 type for $\tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_\emptyset$ (sufficient because of "flattening" (cf. stat
- $v : \tau(v) \in atr(C), \tau(v)$
- $r_1 : D_{0,1} \in atr(C)$,
- $r_2 : D_* \in atr(C)$,
- $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$.

$expr ::=$

- $w : \tau(w)$
- $| expr_1 = expr_2 : \tau \times \tau \rightarrow Bool$
- $| oclUndefined_?(expr_1) : \tau \rightarrow Bool$
- $| \{expr_1, \dots, expr_n\} : \tau \times \dots \times \tau \rightarrow Set(\tau)$
- $| isEmpty(expr_1) : Set(\tau) \rightarrow Bool$
- $| size(expr_1) : Set(\tau) \rightarrow Int$
- $| allInstances_C : Set(\tau_C)$
- $| v(expr_1) : \tau_C \rightarrow \tau(v)$
- $| r_1(expr_1) : \tau_C \rightarrow \tau_D$
- $| r_2(expr_1) : \tau_C \rightarrow Set(\tau_D)$

OCL Syntax 2 4: Constants, Arithmetical Operators

For example:

$expr ::=$

- $| true, false : Bool$
- $| expr_1 \{and, or, implies\} expr_2 : Bool \times Bool \rightarrow Bool$
- $| not expr_1 : Bool \rightarrow Bool$
- $| 0, -1, 1, -2, 2, \dots : Int$
- $| OclUndefined : \tau$
- $| expr_1 \{+, -, \dots\} expr_2 : Int \times Int \rightarrow Int$
- $| expr_1 \{<, \leq, \dots\} expr_2 : Int \times Int \rightarrow Bool$

Generalised notation:

$expr ::= \omega(expr_1, \dots, expr_n) : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau$

with $\omega \in \{+, -, \dots\}$

OCL Syntax 3 4: Iterate

$expr ::= \dots \mid expr_1 \rightarrow iterate(w_1 : \tau_1 ; w_2 : \tau_2 = expr_2 \mid expr_3)$

or, with a little renaming,

$expr ::= \dots \mid expr_1 \rightarrow iterate(iter : \tau_1 ; result : \tau_2 = expr_2 \mid expr_3)$

where

- $expr_1$ is of a collection type (here: a set $Set(\tau_0)$ for some τ_0).

OCL Syntax 4 4: Context

(v) $context ::= context \ w_1 : \tau_1, \dots, w_n : \tau_n \ \text{inv} : expr$

where $w \in W$ and $\tau_i \in T_\emptyset, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 0$.

Valuations of Logical Variables

$\{self_c \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$
 $\tau : \tau_C$

- Recall:** we have typed logical variables ($w \in W$), $\tau(w)$ is the type of w .
- By β , we denote a valuation of the logical variables, i.e. for each $w \in W$,

$$\beta : W \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} I(Int) \\ \cup I(Bool) \\ \cup \dots \\ \cup I(\tau_C) \\ \cup \dots \end{array} \right\} \cup_{w \in W} I(\tau(w))$$

$\beta(w) \in I(\tau(w)).$

$$W = \{x : Int, self_c : \tau_c\}$$

$$\beta : W \rightarrow I(Int) \cup I(\tau_c) = \mathbb{Z} \cup \mathbb{N} \cup \mathcal{D}(C)$$

Examples:

- $\beta(x) = 27 \in I(Int)$
- $\beta(self_c) = 1_c \in I(\tau_c) = \mathcal{D}(C)$
- $\beta(x) = \perp_{Int}$
- $\beta(self_c) = 5_c$

(vi) Putting It All Together...

$$\text{expr} ::= w \mid \omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n) \mid \text{allInstances}_C \mid v(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_1(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_2(\text{expr}_1) \mid \text{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \text{expr}_2 \mid \text{expr}_3)$$

- $I[[w]](\sigma, \beta) := \beta(w)$
- $I[[\omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n)]](\sigma, \beta) := (I[[\omega]](I[[\text{expr}_1]](\sigma, \beta), \dots, I[[\text{expr}_n]](\sigma, \beta)))$
- $I[[\text{allInstances}_C]](\sigma, \beta) := \text{dom}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}(C)$

Note: in the OCL standard, $\text{dom}(\sigma)$ is assumed to be **finite**.
Again: doesn't scare us.

(vi) Putting It All Together...

$$\text{expr} ::= w \mid \omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n) \mid \text{allInstances}_C \mid v(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_1(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_2(\text{expr}_1) \mid \text{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \text{expr}_2 \mid \text{expr}_3)$$

Assume $\text{expr}_1 : \tau_C$ for some $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Set $u_1 := I[[\text{expr}_1]](\sigma, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}(\tau_C)$.

- $I[[v(\text{expr}_1)]](\sigma, \beta) := \begin{cases} (\sigma(u_1))(v) & , \text{ if } u_1 \in \text{dom}(\sigma) \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $I[[r_1(\text{expr}_1)]](\sigma, \beta) := \begin{cases} u & , \text{ if } u_1 \in \text{dom}(\sigma) \text{ and } \sigma(u_1)(r_1) = \{u\} \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
- $I[[r_2(\text{expr}_1)]](\sigma, \beta) := \begin{cases} \sigma(u_1)(r_2) & , \text{ if } u_1 \in \text{dom}(\sigma) \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
(Recall: σ evaluates r_2 of type C_* to a set)

$$\mathcal{F} = (\{\text{Nat}\}, \{\text{TeamNumber}, \text{Meeting}\}, \{\text{age} : \text{Nat}, \text{m} : \mathcal{M}_{0,1}, \text{p} : \mathcal{M}_x\}, \{\tau_M \mapsto \{\text{age}, \text{m}\}, M \mapsto \{\text{p}\}\})$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{Nat}) = \mathcal{N}_0$$

$$W = \{x, \text{self}_h, \text{self}_{\tau_M}\}$$

$$\sigma = \{1_{\tau_M} \mapsto (23, 5_M), 2_{\tau_M} \mapsto (17, 5_M), 5_M \mapsto \{1_{\tau_M}, 2_{\tau_M}\}\}$$

$$\bullet \llbracket \text{all instances}_{\tau_M} \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta) = \text{dom}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}(\tau_M) = \{1_{\tau_M}, 2_{\tau_M}, 5_M\} \cap \mathcal{D}(\tau_M) = \{1_{\tau_M}, 2_{\tau_M}\}$$

$$\bullet \beta_1: x \mapsto 10, \dots$$

$$\llbracket x \rrbracket \text{all instances}_{\tau_M} \rightarrow \text{size} \llbracket(\sigma, \beta) \rrbracket$$

$$= \llbracket x \rrbracket(x, \text{size}(\text{all instances}_{\tau_M} \llbracket(\sigma, \beta) \rrbracket)) = (\llbracket x \rrbracket) \left(\underbrace{\llbracket x \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta)}_{\beta(x)=10}, \underbrace{\llbracket \text{size} \rrbracket(\llbracket \text{all instances}_{\tau_M} \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta)) \rrbracket}_{\substack{1 \cdot 1 \\ \{1_{\tau_M}, 2_{\tau_M}\} \\ 2}} \right)$$

$$\bullet \beta_2: \text{self}_C \mapsto 2_{\tau_M}, \dots \quad \text{and some value for } x$$

$$\llbracket \text{self}_C \cdot \text{age} \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta_2) = \llbracket \text{age}(\text{self}_C) \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta_2)$$

$$= \sigma(1_M)(\text{age}) = \sigma(2_{\tau_M})(\text{age}) = 17$$

$$v_1 = \llbracket \text{self}_C \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta_2) = \beta_2(\text{self}_C) = 2_{\tau_M}$$

$$\bullet \beta_3: \text{self}_C \mapsto 7_{\tau_M}, \dots \quad \llbracket \text{self}_C \cdot \text{age} \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta_3) = \perp \text{ because } 7_{\tau_M} \notin \text{dom}(\sigma)$$

(vi) Putting It All Together..

$$\text{expr} ::= w \mid \omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n) \mid \text{allInstances}_C \mid v(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_1(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_2(\text{expr}_1) \mid \text{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \text{expr}_2 \mid \text{expr}_3)$$

$$\bullet \llbracket \text{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \text{expr}_2 \mid \text{expr}_3) \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta)$$

$$:= \begin{cases} \llbracket \text{expr}_2 \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta) & , \text{ if } \llbracket \text{expr}_1 \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta) = \emptyset \\ \text{iterate}(\text{hlp}, v_1, v_2, \text{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta') & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\beta' = \beta[\text{hlp} \mapsto \llbracket \text{expr}_1 \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta), v_2 \mapsto \llbracket \text{expr}_2 \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta)]$ and

$$\bullet \text{iterate}(\text{hlp}, v_1, v_2, \text{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta')$$

$$:= \begin{cases} \llbracket \text{expr}_3 \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta'[v_1 \mapsto x]) & , \text{ if } \beta'(\text{hlp}) = \{x\} \\ \llbracket \text{expr}_3 \rrbracket(\sigma, \beta'') & , \text{ if } \beta'(\text{hlp}) = X \cup \{x\} \text{ and } X \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

where $\beta'' = \beta'[v_1 \mapsto x, v_2 \mapsto \text{iterate}(\text{hlp}, v_1, v_2, \text{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta'[\text{hlp} \mapsto X])]$

(vi) Putting It All Together..

$$\text{expr} ::= w \mid \omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n) \mid \text{allInstances}_C \mid v(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_1(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_2(\text{expr}_1) \mid \text{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \text{expr}_2 \mid \text{expr}_3)$$

- $I[\text{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \text{expr}_2 \mid \text{expr}_3)](\sigma, \beta)$

$$:= \begin{cases} I[\text{expr}_2](\sigma, \beta) & , \text{ if } I[\text{expr}_1](\sigma, \beta) = \emptyset \\ \text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \text{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta') & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\beta' = \beta[hlp \mapsto I[\text{expr}_1](\sigma, \beta), v_2 \mapsto I[\text{expr}_2](\sigma, \beta)]$ and

- $\text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \text{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta')$

$$:= \begin{cases} I[\text{expr}_3](\sigma, \beta'[v_1 \mapsto x]) & , \text{ if } \beta'(hlp) = \{x\} \\ I[\text{expr}_3](\sigma, \beta'') & , \text{ if } \beta'(hlp) = X \dot{\cup} \{x\} \text{ and } X \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

where $\beta'' = \beta'[v_1 \mapsto x, v_2 \mapsto \text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \text{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta'[hlp \mapsto X])]$

Quiz: Is (our) I a function?

13/26

References

— [Cabot and Clarisó, 2008] Cabot, J. and Clarisó, R. (2008). UML-OCL verification in practice. In Chaudron, M. R. V., editor, *MoDELS Workshops*, volume 5421 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer.

[Cengarle and Knapp, 2001] Cengarle, M. V. and Knapp, A. (2001). On the expressive power of pure OCL. Technical Report 0101, Institut für Informatik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München.

[Cengarle and Knapp, 2002] Cengarle, M. V. and Knapp, A. (2002). Towards OCL/RT. In Eriksson, L.-H. and Lindsay, P. A., editors, *FME*, volume 2391 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 390–409. Springer-Verlag.

[Flake and Müller, 2003] Flake, S. and Müller, W. (2003). Formal semantics of static and temporal state-oriented OCL constraints. *Software and Systems Modeling*, 2(3):164–186.

[Jackson, 2002] Jackson, D. (2002). Alloy: A lightweight object modelling notation. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, 11(2):256–290.

– 04 – 2014-10-30 – main – [OMG, 2006] OMG (2006). Object Constraint Language, version 2.0. Technical Report formal/06-05-01.

[OMG, 2007a] OMG (2007a). Unified modeling language: Infrastructure, version 2.1.2. Technical Report formal/07-11-04.

[OMG, 2007b] OMG (2007b). Unified modeling language: Superstructure, version 2.1.2. Technical Report formal/07-11-02.