

Software Design, Modelling and Analysis in UML

Lecture 04: OCL Semantics

2014-10-30

Prof. Dr. Andreas Podelski, **Dr. Bernd Westphal**

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

Contents & Goals

Last Lecture:

- OCL Syntax

This Lecture:

- **Educational Objectives:** Capabilities for following tasks/questions.
 - What does it mean that an OCL expression is satisfiable?
 - When is a set of OCL constraints said to be consistent?
 - Can you think of an object diagram which violates this OCL constraint?
next time
- **Content:**
 - OCL Semantics
 - maybe: OCL consistency and satisfiability

OCL Semantics [OMG, 2006]

The Task

OCL Syntax 1 4: Expressions

expr ::=

w	$: \tau(w)$
$ \ expr_1 =_{\tau} expr_2$	$: \tau \times \tau \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
$ \ \text{oclIsUndefined}_{\tau}(expr_1)$	$: \tau \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
$ \ \{expr_1, \dots, expr_n\}$	$: \tau \times \dots \times \tau \rightarrow \text{Set}(\tau)$
$ \ \text{isEmpty}(expr_1)$	$: \text{Set}(\tau) \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
$ \ \text{size}(expr_1)$	$: \text{Set}(\tau) \rightarrow \text{Int}$
$ \ \text{allInstances}_{\mathcal{C}}$	$: \text{Set}(\tau_C)$
$ \ v(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow \tau(v)$
$ \ r_1(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow \tau_D$
$ \ r_2(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow \text{Set}(\tau_D)$

- 03 - 2010-10-27 - Soclsyn -

$\mathcal{I}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$

Where, given $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}, V, atr)$,

- $W \supseteq \{\text{self}\}$ is a set of typed logical variables, w has type $\tau(w)$
- τ is any type from $\mathcal{T} \cup T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}$
 $\cup \{\text{Set}(\tau_0) \mid \tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}\}$
- T_B is a set of basic types, in the following we use
 $T_B = \{\text{Bool}, \text{Int}, \text{String}\}$
- $T_{\mathcal{C}} = \{\tau_C \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is the set of object types,
- $\text{Set}(\tau_0)$ denotes the set-of- τ_0 type for
 $\tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}$
(sufficient because of “flattening” (cf. standard))
- $v : \tau(v) \in atr(C), \tau(v) \in \mathcal{T}$,
- $r_1 : D_{0,1} \in atr(C)$,
- $r_2 : D_* \in atr(C)$,
- $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$.

7/30

- Given an OCL expression $expr$, a system state $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{D}}$, and a valuation of logical variables β , define

$$I[\![\cdot]\!](\cdot, \cdot) : OCLExpressions(\mathcal{S}) \times \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{D}} \times (W \rightarrow I(\mathcal{T} \cup T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}})) \rightarrow I(\text{Bool})$$

such that

$$I[\![expr]\!](\sigma, \beta) \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}, \perp_{\text{Bool}}\}.$$

$\equiv \{\text{true}, \text{false}, \perp_{\text{Bool}}\}$

Basically business as usual...

- (i) Equip each OCL (!) **basic type** with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

I_{b} with $\text{dom}(I_{\text{b}}) = T_B = \{\text{Int}, \text{Bool}, \text{String}\}$

e.g. $I_{\text{b}}(\text{Bool}) = \{\text{true}, \text{false}, \perp_{\text{Bool}}\}$

Basically business as usual...

- (i) Equip each OCL (!) **basic type** with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I_{\text{B}} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{\text{B}}) = T_B$$

- (ii) Equip each **object type** τ_C with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I_{\text{C}} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{\text{C}}) = \tau_C$$

(most reasonable: $\mathcal{D}(C)$ determined by structure \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{S}).

- (iii) Equip each **set type** $\text{Set}(\tau_0)$ with reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I_{\text{S}} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{\text{S}}) = \{\text{Set}(\tau_0) \mid \tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_C\}$$

- (iv) Equip each **arithmetical operation** with a reasonable **interpretation**
(that is, with a **function** operating on the corresponding **domains**).

$$I_{\text{Op}} \text{ with } \text{dom}(I_{\text{Op}}) = \{\text{+}, -, \leq, \dots\}, \text{ e.g., } I(\text{+}) \in I(\text{Int}) \times I(\text{Int}) \rightarrow I(\text{Int})$$

$$\text{expr}_1 + \text{expr}_2 : \text{Int} \times \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$$

Basically business as usual...

- (i) Equip each OCL (!) **basic type** with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = T_B$$

- (ii) Equip each **object type** τ_C with a reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = \tau_C$$

(most reasonable: $\mathcal{D}(C)$ determined by structure \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{S}).

- (iii) Equip each **set type** $\text{Set}(\tau_0)$ with reasonable **domain**, i.e. define function

$$I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = \{\text{Set}(\tau_0) \mid \tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}\}$$

- (iv) Equip each **arithmetical operation** with a reasonable **interpretation**
(that is, with a **function** operating on the corresponding **domains**).

$$I \text{ with } \text{dom}(I) = \{+, -, \leq, \dots\}, \text{ e.g., } I(+) \in I(\text{Int}) \times I(\text{Int}) \rightarrow I(\text{Int})$$

- (v) **Set operations** similar: I with $\text{dom}(I) = \{\text{isEmpty}, \dots\}$

- (vi) Equip each **expression** with a reasonable **interpretation**, i.e. define function

$$I : \text{Expr} \times \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{D}} \times (W \rightarrow I(\mathcal{T} \cup T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}})) \rightarrow I(\text{Bool})$$

...except for OCL being a **three-valued logic**, and the “iterate” expression.

(i) Domains of Basic Types of OCL

Recall:

- $T_B = \{Bool, Int, String\}$

We set:

- $I_{\tau}(Bool) := \{true, false\} \dot{\cup} \{\perp_{Bool}\}$
assume both sets
disjoint
- $I_{\tau}(Int) := \mathbb{Z} \dot{\cup} \{\perp_{Int}\}$
- $I_{\tau}(String) := \dots \dot{\cup} \{\perp_{String}\}$
finite sequences of characters

We may omit index τ of \perp_τ if it is clear from context.

(ii) Domains of Object and (iii) Set Types

- Now we need a structure \mathcal{D} of our signature $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}, V, atr)$.
- Recall: \mathcal{D} assigns an (infinite) domain $\mathcal{D}(C)$ to each class $C \in \mathcal{C}$.
- Let τ_C be an (OCL) **object type** for a class $C \in \mathcal{C}$.
- We set

$$I_{\text{obj}}(\tau_C) := \mathcal{D}(C) \cup \{\perp_{z_C}\}$$

- Let τ be a type from $T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- We set

$$I_{\text{set}}(Set(\tau)) := \mathcal{P}^{I(\tau)} \cup \{\perp_{Set(\tau)}\}$$

powerset of $I(\tau)$

Note: in the OCL standard, only **finite** subsets of $I(\tau)$.

But infinity doesn't scare **us**, so we simply allow it.

(iv) Interpretation of Arithmetic Operations

- Literals map to fixed values:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}_{(i)}(\text{Bool}) \\ \Downarrow \\ I(\text{true}) := \text{true}, \quad I(\text{false}) := \text{false}, \quad I(0) := 0, \quad I(1) := 1, \dots \\ \text{Bool} \quad \mathcal{I}_{(i)}(\text{Bool}) \end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}_{(i)}(\text{Int}) = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\perp_{\text{int}}\} \\ \Downarrow \\ I(\text{OclUndefined}_T) := \perp_T \end{array}$$

(iv) Interpretation of Arithmetic Operations

- Literals map to fixed values:

$$I(\text{true}) := \text{true}, \quad I(\text{false}) := \text{false}, \quad I(0) := 0, \quad I(1) := 1, \dots$$

$$I(\text{OclUndefined}_\tau) := \perp_\tau$$

- Boolean operations (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\tau)$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau \times \tau \rightarrow \text{Bool} \\ & I(\text{=}_\tau)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \text{ and } x_2 \neq \perp_\tau \\ \text{false} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \text{ and } x_2 \neq \perp_\tau \\ \perp_{\text{Bool}} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \\ & I_{(\text{m})}(\text{=}_\tau) : I(\tau) \times I(\tau) \\ & \rightarrow I(\text{Bool}) = \{\text{true}, \text{false}, \perp\} \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Interpretation of Arithmetic Operations

- **Literals** map to fixed values:

$$I(\text{true}) := \text{true}, \quad I(\text{false}) := \text{false}, \quad I(0) := 0, \quad I(1) := 1, \dots$$

$$I(\text{OclUndefined}_\tau) := \perp_\tau$$

- **Boolean operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\tau)$):

$$I(=_\tau)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 = x_2 \\ \text{false} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 \neq x_2 \\ \perp_{\text{Bool}} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- **Integer operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\text{Int})$):

$\text{Int} \times \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

$I(+)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp \text{ and } x_2 \neq \perp \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

$I(\text{Int}) \times I(\text{Int}) \rightarrow I(\text{Int})$
 $= \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\perp\}$

(iv) Interpretation of Arithmetic Operations

- **Literals** map to fixed values:

$$I(\text{true}) := \text{true}, \quad I(\text{false}) := \text{false}, \quad I(0) := 0, \quad I(1) := 1, \dots$$
$$I(\text{OclUndefined}_\tau) := \perp_\tau$$

- **Boolean operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\tau)$):

$$I(=_\tau)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 = x_2 \\ \text{false} & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp_\tau \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1 \neq x_2 \\ \perp_{\text{Bool}} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- **Integer operations** (defined point-wise for $x_1, x_2 \in I(\text{Int})$):

$$I(+)(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 & , \text{ if } x_1 \neq \perp \neq x_2 \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note: There is a **common principle**.

$\omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n)$ e.g. $+(e_1, e_2)$

Namely, the **interpretation** of an operation $\omega : \tau_1 \times \dots \tau_n \rightarrow \tau$ is a function $I(\omega) : I(\tau_1) \times \dots \times I(\tau_n) \rightarrow I(\tau)$ on corresponding semantical domain(s).

$$0 + 27 = 13$$

$$\equiv (+(0, 27), 13)$$

$$I(0) = 0$$

$$I(27) = 27$$

$$I(13) = 13$$

$$I(+): I(ht) \times I(ht) \rightarrow I(ht)$$

$$I(=): I(ht) \times I(ht) \rightarrow I(Bool)$$

actually $=ht$ here see previous slide

$$\begin{aligned} I[\omega(expr, \dots, expr)](\sigma, \beta) \\ = (I(\omega))(I[expr](\sigma, \beta), \dots, I[expr](\sigma, \beta)) \end{aligned}$$

$$I[+(0, 27)](\sigma, \beta) = (I(+))\left(\underbrace{I(0)}_0, \underbrace{I(27)}_{27}\right) = 27$$

(iv) Interpretation of *OclIsUndefined*

- The **is-undefined** predicate (defined point-wise for $x \in I(\tau)$):

$$I(\text{oclIsUndefined})(x) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x = \perp_\tau \\ \text{false} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(v) Interpretation of Set Operations

Basically the same principle as with arithmetic operations...

Let $\tau \in T_B \cup T_{\mathcal{C}}$.

- **Set comprehension** ($x_1, \dots, x_n \in I(\tau)$):

$$I(\{\cdot\}_n^{\tau})(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

- **Empty-ness check** ($x \in I(Set(\tau))$):

$$I(\text{isEmpty}^{\tau})(x) := \begin{cases} \text{true} & , \text{ if } x = \emptyset \\ \perp_{Bool} & , \text{ if } x = \perp_{Set(\tau)} \\ \text{false} & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- **Counting** ($x \in I(Set(\tau))$):

$$I(\text{size}^{\tau})(x) := |x| \text{ if } x \neq \perp_{Set(\tau)} \text{ and } \perp_{Int} \text{ otherwise}$$

cardinality

(vi) Putting It All Together

OCL Syntax 1 4: Expressions

expr ::=

w	$: \tau(w)$
$ \ expr_1 =_{\tau} expr_2$	$: \tau \times \tau \rightarrow Bool$ ✓
$ \ oclIsUndefined_{\tau}(expr_1)$	$: \tau \rightarrow Bool$ ✓
$ \ \{expr_1, \dots, expr_n\}$	$: \tau \times \dots \times \tau \rightarrow Set(\tau)$ ✓
$ \ isEmpty(expr_1)$	$: Set(\tau) \rightarrow Bool$ ✓
$ \ size(expr_1)$	$: Set(\tau) \rightarrow Int$ ✓
$ \ allInstances_{\mathcal{C}}$	$: Set(\tau_C)$
$ \ v(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow \tau(v)$
$ \ r_1(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow \tau_D$
$ \ r_2(expr_1)$	$: \tau_C \rightarrow Set(\tau_D)$

Where, given $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C})$,

- $W \supseteq \{self\}$ is a set of logical variables, w has type τ
- τ is any type from $\mathcal{T} \cup \{Set(\tau_0) \mid \tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_C\}$
- T_B is a set of basic types, in the following we use $T_B = \{Bool, Int, String, ... \}$
- $T_C = \{\tau_C \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a set of object types,
- $Set(\tau_0)$ denotes the set-of- τ_0 type for $\tau_0 \in T_B \cup T_C$ (sufficient because of “flattening” (cf. star))
- $v : \tau(v) \in atr(C)$, $\tau(v)$ is a type in $Set(\tau_0)$
- $r_1 : D_{0,1} \in atr(C)$, $D_{0,1}$ is a type in $Set(\tau_0)$
- $r_2 : D_* \in atr(C)$, D_* is a type in $Set(\tau_0)$
- $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$.

OCL Syntax 2 4: Constants, Arithmetical Operators

For example:

<i>expr ::= ...</i>	
$ \ true, false$	$: Bool$
$ \ expr_1 \{and, or, implies\} expr_2$	$: Bool \times Bool \rightarrow Bool$
$ \ not \ expr_1$	$: Bool \rightarrow Bool$
$ \ 0, -1, 1, -2, 2, \dots$	$: Int$
$ \ OclUndefined$	$: \tau$
$ \ expr_1 \{+, -, \dots\} expr_2$	$: Int \times Int \rightarrow Int$
$ \ expr_1 \{<, \leq, \dots\} expr_2$	$: Int \times Int \rightarrow Bool$

Generalised notation:

$$expr ::= \omega(expr_1, \dots, expr_n) \quad : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau$$

with $\omega \in \{+, -, \dots\}$

OCL Syntax 3 4: Iterate

$$expr ::= \dots | expr_1 \rightarrow iterate(w_1 : \tau_1 ; w_2 : \tau_2 = expr_2 \mid expr_3)$$

or, with a little renaming,

$$expr ::= \dots | expr_1 \rightarrow iterate(iter : \tau_1; result : \tau_2 = expr_2 \mid expr_3)$$

where

- $expr_1$ is of a collection type (here: a set $Set(\tau_0)$ for some τ_0),

OCL Syntax 4 4: Context



$$context ::= context \ w_1 : \tau_1, \dots, w_n : \tau_n \ inv : expr$$

where $w \in W$ and $\tau_i \in T_C$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $n \geq 0$.

Valuations of Logical Variables

$\{ \text{self}_c \mid c \in C \}$
 $\eta : \tau_C$

- **Recall:** we have typed logical variables ($w \in W$, $\tau(w)$ is the type of w).
- By β , we denote a valuation of the logical variables, i.e. for each $w \in W$,

$$\underbrace{\beta : W \rightarrow I(\text{Int})}_{\begin{array}{l} \cup I(\text{Bool}) \\ \cup \dots \\ \cup I(\tau_c) \\ \cup \dots \end{array}} \quad \left. \right\} \quad \beta(w) \in I(\tau(w)).$$

$$W = \{ x : \text{Int}, \text{self}_c : \tau_c \}$$

$$\beta : W \rightarrow I(\text{Int}) \cup I(\tau_c) = \mathbb{Z} \cup \mathbb{N} \cup \mathcal{D}(C)$$

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \beta(x) &= 27 \in I(\text{Int}) \\ \beta(\text{self}_c) &= 1_c \in I(\tau_c) = \mathcal{D}(C) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \beta(x) &= \perp_{\text{Int}} \\ \beta(\text{self}_c) &= 5_c \end{aligned}$$

(vi) Putting It All Together...

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{expr} ::= & w \mid \omega(\textit{expr}_1, \dots, \textit{expr}_n) \mid \text{allInstances}_C \mid v(\textit{expr}_1) \mid r_1(\textit{expr}_1) \\ & \mid r_2(\textit{expr}_1) \mid \textit{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \textit{expr}_2 \mid \textit{expr}_3) \end{aligned}$$

- $I[\![w]\!](\sigma, \beta) := \beta(w)$
- $I[\![\omega(\textit{expr}_1, \dots, \textit{expr}_n)]\!](\sigma, \beta) := (I(\omega)) \left(I[\![\textit{expr}_1]\!](\sigma, \beta), \dots, I[\![\textit{expr}_n]\!](\sigma, \beta) \right)$
- $I[\![\text{allInstances}_C]\!](\sigma, \beta) := \text{dom}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}(C)$

Note: in the OCL standard, $\text{dom}(\sigma)$ is assumed to be **finite**.

Again: doesn't scare us.

(vi) Putting It All Together...

$$\begin{aligned} \text{expr} ::= & w \mid \omega(\text{expr}_1, \dots, \text{expr}_n) \mid \text{allInstances}_C \mid v(\text{expr}_1) \mid r_1(\text{expr}_1) \\ & \mid r_2(\text{expr}_1) \mid \text{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \text{expr}_2 \mid \text{expr}_3) \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\text{expr}_1 : \tau_C$ for some $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Set $u_1 := I[\![\text{expr}_1]\!](\sigma, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}(\tau_C)$.

- $I[\![v(\text{expr}_1)]\!](\sigma, \beta) := \begin{cases} (\sigma(v_1))(r) & , \text{ if } v_1 \in \text{dom}(\sigma) \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
 - $I[\![r_1(\text{expr}_1)]\!](\sigma, \beta) := \begin{cases} v & , \text{ if } v_1 \in \text{dom}(\sigma) \text{ and } \sigma(v_1)(r_1) = \{v\} \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $\text{Dom}_{\sigma, 1}$
 - $I[\![r_2(\text{expr}_1)]\!](\sigma, \beta) := \begin{cases} \sigma(v_1)(r_2) & , \text{ if } v_1 \in \text{dom}(\sigma) \\ \perp & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
 \mathcal{D}_*
- (Recall: σ evaluates r_2 of type C_* to a set)

$$\Psi = (\{\text{Nat}\}, \{\text{TeamMember}, \text{Meeting}\}, \{\text{age} : \text{Nat}, \text{m} : M_{0,1}, \text{p} : TM_x\}, \{TM \mapsto \{\text{age}, \text{m}\}, M \mapsto \{\text{p}\}\})$$

$\mathcal{D}(\text{Nat}) = \mathbb{N}_0$

$$W = \{x, \text{self}_h, \text{self}_{Th}\}$$

$$\sigma = \{1_{Th} \mapsto (27, 5_h), 2_{Th} \mapsto (17, 5_h), 5_h \mapsto \{1_{Th}, 2_{Th}\}\}$$

- $I[\![\text{all instances}_{Th}]\!](\sigma, \beta) = \text{dom}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}(Th) = \{1_{Th}, 2_{Th}, 5_h\} \cap \mathcal{D}(Th) = \{1_{Th}, 2_{Th}\}$
- $\beta_1 : x \mapsto 10, \dots$

$$I[\![x > \text{all instances}_{Th} \rightarrow \text{size}]\!](\sigma, \beta)$$

$$= I[\![x > (\text{size}(\text{all instances}_{Th}))]\!](\sigma, \beta) = (I(>)) \left(\underbrace{I[\![x]\!]}_{\beta_1(x) = 10} (\sigma, \beta), I(\text{size}) \left(\underbrace{I[\![\text{all instances}_{Th}]\!]}_{1, 1} (\sigma, \beta) \right) \right)$$

- $\beta_2 : \text{self}_c \mapsto 2_{Th}, \dots$ and some value for x

$$\underbrace{\beta_2}_{\text{true}} \quad \underbrace{\{1_{Th}, 2_{Th}\}}_{2}$$

$$I[\![\text{self}_c \cdot \text{age}]\!](\sigma, \beta_2) = I[\![\text{age}(\text{self}_c)]\!](\sigma, \beta_2)$$

$$= \sigma(v_1)(\text{age}) = \sigma(2_{Th})(\text{age}) = 17$$

$$v_1 = I[\![\text{self}_c]\!](\sigma, \beta_2) = \beta_2(\text{self}_c) = 2_{Th}$$

- $\beta_3 : \text{self}_c \mapsto 7_{Th}, \dots \quad I[\![\text{self}_c \cdot \text{age}]\!](\sigma, \beta_3) = \perp \text{ because } 7_{Th} \notin \text{dom}(\sigma)$

(vi) Putting It All Together...

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{expr} ::= & w \mid \omega(\textit{expr}_1, \dots, \textit{expr}_n) \mid \text{allInstances}_C \mid v(\textit{expr}_1) \mid r_1(\textit{expr}_1) \\ & \mid r_2(\textit{expr}_1) \mid \textit{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \textit{expr}_2 \mid \textit{expr}_3) \end{aligned}$$

- $I[\![\textit{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \textit{expr}_2 \mid \textit{expr}_3)]\!](\sigma, \beta)$

$$:= \begin{cases} I[\![\textit{expr}_2]\!](\sigma, \beta) & , \text{ if } I[\![\textit{expr}_1]\!](\sigma, \beta) = \emptyset \\ \text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \textit{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta') & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\beta' = \beta[hlp \mapsto I[\![\textit{expr}_1]\!](\sigma, \beta), v_2 \mapsto I[\![\textit{expr}_2]\!](\sigma, \beta)]$ and

- $\text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \textit{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta')$

$$:= \begin{cases} I[\![\textit{expr}_3]\!](\sigma, \beta'[v_1 \mapsto x]) & , \text{ if } \beta'(hlp) = \{x\} \\ I[\![\textit{expr}_3]\!](\sigma, \beta'') & , \text{ if } \beta'(hlp) = X \dot{\cup} \{x\} \text{ and } X \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

where $\beta'' = \beta'[v_1 \mapsto x, v_2 \mapsto \text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \textit{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta'[hlp \mapsto X])]$

(vi) Putting It All Together...

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{expr} ::= & w \mid \omega(\textit{expr}_1, \dots, \textit{expr}_n) \mid \text{allInstances}_C \mid v(\textit{expr}_1) \mid r_1(\textit{expr}_1) \\ & \mid r_2(\textit{expr}_1) \mid \textit{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \textit{expr}_2 \mid \textit{expr}_3) \end{aligned}$$

- $I[\![\textit{expr}_1 \rightarrow \text{iterate}(v_1 : \tau_1 ; v_2 : \tau_2 = \textit{expr}_2 \mid \textit{expr}_3)]\!](\sigma, \beta)$

$$:= \begin{cases} I[\![\textit{expr}_2]\!](\sigma, \beta) & , \text{ if } I[\![\textit{expr}_1]\!](\sigma, \beta) = \emptyset \\ \text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \textit{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta') & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\beta' = \beta[hlp \mapsto I[\![\textit{expr}_1]\!](\sigma, \beta), v_2 \mapsto I[\![\textit{expr}_2]\!](\sigma, \beta)]$ and

- $\text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \textit{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta')$

$$:= \begin{cases} I[\![\textit{expr}_3]\!](\sigma, \beta'[v_1 \mapsto x]) & , \text{ if } \beta'(hlp) = \{x\} \\ I[\![\textit{expr}_3]\!](\sigma, \beta'') & , \text{ if } \beta'(hlp) = X \dot{\cup} \{x\} \text{ and } X \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

where $\beta'' = \beta'[v_1 \mapsto x, v_2 \mapsto \text{iterate}(hlp, v_1, v_2, \textit{expr}_3, \sigma, \beta'[hlp \mapsto X])]$

Quiz: Is (our) I a function?

References

[Cabot and Clarisó, 2008] Cabot, J. and Clarisó, R. (2008). UML-OCL verification in practice. In Chaudron, M. R. V., editor, *MoDELS Workshops*, volume 5421 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer.

[Cengarle and Knapp, 2001] Cengarle, M. V. and Knapp, A. (2001). On the expressive power of pure OCL. Technical Report 0101, Institut für Informatik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München.

[Cengarle and Knapp, 2002] Cengarle, M. V. and Knapp, A. (2002). Towards OCL/RT. In Eriksson, L.-H. and Lindsay, P. A., editors, *FME*, volume 2391 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 390–409. Springer-Verlag.

[Flake and Müller, 2003] Flake, S. and Müller, W. (2003). Formal semantics of static and temporal state-oriented OCL constraints. *Software and Systems Modeling*, 2(3):164–186.

[Jackson, 2002] Jackson, D. (2002). Alloy: A lightweight object modelling notation. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, 11(2):256–290.

[OMG, 2006] OMG (2006). Object Constraint Language, version 2.0. Technical Report formal/06-05-01.

[OMG, 2007a] OMG (2007a). Unified modeling language: Infrastructure, version 2.1.2. Technical Report formal/07-11-04.

[OMG, 2007b] OMG (2007b). Unified modeling language: Superstructure, version 2.1.2. Technical Report formal/07-11-02.