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An Analogy: Construction Engineering

Requirements:
- ...
- The bathroom must not have a window.
- ...

Specification:
- ...
- The outer walls will be built using AAC, the inner walls of sand-lime bricks.
- Steel door frames.
- ...

Housing!

Customer Developer

http://wikimedia.org (CC nc-sa 3.0, Ottoklages)
http://wikimedia.org (CC nc-sa 3.0, Bobthebuilder82)
A (semi-)formal design description and specification language – every construction engineer has pretty much the same understanding of it. (The customer need not understand it: a construction engineer can “translate”.)
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An Analogy: Construction Engineering

Requirements:
- ...
- The bathroom must not have a window.
- ...

Specification:
- ...
- The outer walls will be built using AAC, the inner walls of sand-lime bricks.
- Steel door frames.
- ...

A (semi-)formal design description and specification language – every construction engineer has pretty much the same understanding of it. (The customer need not understand it: a construction engineer can “translate”.)

Check whether design satisfies specification – before building the house.

Build house according to the plan.
Definition. [Folk] A model is an abstract, formal, mathematical representation or description of structure or behaviour of a (software) system.
**Definition.** [Folk] A model is an abstract, formal, mathematical representation or description of structure or behaviour of a (software) system.

**Definition.** (Glinz, 2008, 425)

A model is a concrete or mental image (Abbild) of something or a concrete or mental archetype (Vorbild) for something.

Three properties are constituent:

(i) the image attribute (Abbildungsmerkmal), i.e. there is an entity (called original) whose image or archetype the model is,

(ii) the reduction attribute (Verkürzungsmerkmal), i.e. only those attributes of the original that are relevant in the modelling context are represented,

(iii) the pragmatic attribute, i.e. the model is built in a specific context for a specific purpose.
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Floorplans as Models

Floorplan **abstracts** from properties, e.g.,
- kind, number, and placement of bricks,
- subsystem details (e.g., window style),
- water pipes/wiring,
- wall decoration

Floorplan **preserves** properties, e.g.,
- house and room extensions (to scale),
- presence/absence of windows and doors,
- placement of subsystems (like windows),
- etc.
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Floorplans as Models

Floorplan **abstracts** from properties, e.g.,

- kind, number, and placement of bricks,
- subsystem details (e.g., window style),
- water pipes/wiring,
- wall decoration

→ construction engineers can **efficiently** work on an **appropriate** level of abstraction, and find design errors **before building** the system (e.g. regarding bathroom windows).

Floorplan **preserves** properties, e.g.,

- house and room extensions (to scale),
- presence/absence of windows and doors,
- placement of subsystems (like windows),
- etc.
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Requirements:
- ...
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- ...
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- ...
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Build house according to the plan: pre-image
**Floorplans as Models**

**Requirements:**
- ...
- The bathroom must not have a window.
- ...

**Specification:**
- ...
- The outer walls will be built using AAC, the inner walls of sand-lime bricks.
- Steel door frames.
- ...

Document existing house: [image]

Build house according to the plan: [pre-image]
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Can We Have the Same for Software?

Construction Engineering:

Requirements:
- ...
- The bathroom must not have a window.
- ...

Specification:
- ...
- The outer walls will be built using AAC, the inner walls of sand-lime bricks.
- Steel door frames.
- ...

Software Engineering:
**Construction Engineering:**

- **Requirements:**
  - ... 
  - The bathroom must not have a window.
  - ...

- **Specification:**
  - The outer walls will be built using AAC, the inner walls of sand-lime bricks.
  - Steel door frames.
  - ...

**Software Engineering:**

- **Sequence Diagrams** (behaviour, reflective)
- **Class Diagrams** (structure)
- **State Machine Diagrams** (behaviour, constructive)
Goals, Content and Non-Content of the Course
(i) We need to know how the words of the language look like: Syntax.
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(ii) We need to know what a word of the language means: Semantics.

→ Then we can formally analyse the model, e.g., prove that the design satisfies the requirements, simulate the model, automatically generate test cases, automatically generate equivalent code, etc.

(UML example: can sending event $E$ and then $G$ kill the object?)
Goal: A Common, Precise Understanding of UML Models

(i) We need to know how the words of the language look like: Syntax.
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(ii) We need to know what a word of the language means: Semantics.

→ Then we can formally analyse the model, e.g.,
prove that the design satisfies the requirements,
simulate the model, automatically generate test cases,
automatically generate equivalent code, etc.

(UML example: can sending event \( E \) and then \( G \) kill the object?)

- UML is sometimes (neutrally, or as offence) called “semi-formal”
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(ii) We need to know what a word of the language **means**: Semantics.

→ Then we can **formally analyse** the model, e.g., **prove** that the design satisfies the requirements, **simulate** the model, automatically **generate test cases**, automatically **generate** equivalent code, etc.

(UML example: can sending event $E$ and then $G$ kill the object?)

- UML is sometimes (neutrally, or as offence) called **"semi-formal"**: the UML standard OMG (2011a,b) is strong on (i), but weak(er) on (ii).

("the diagram is self-explanatory", "everybody understands the diagram" – No.)
(i) We need to know how the words of the language **look like**: **Syntax**.

*(UML example: is this a proper UML state machine diagram?)*

(ii) We need to know what a word of the language **means**: **Semantics**.

→ Then we can **formally analyse** the model, e.g., prove that the design satisfies the requirements, simulate the model, automatically generate test cases, automatically generate equivalent code, etc.

*(UML example: can sending event $E$ and then $G$ kill the object?)*

- UML is sometimes (neutrally, or as offence) called **“semi-formal”**: the UML standard OMG (2011a,b) is strong on (i), but weak(er) on (ii). ("the diagram is self-explanatory", "everybody understands the diagram" – No.)

- **In the lecture**: study the (!) **syntax**, define one (!) **semantics**.
UML Diagrams (OMG, 2011b, 694)

Diagram

Structure Diagram

Class Diagram
  - Composite Structure Diagram

Object Diagram

Component Diagram

Deployment Diagram

Package Diagram

Behavior Diagram

Activity Diagram

Use Case Diagram

State Machine Diagram

Interaction Diagram

Sequence Diagram

Communication Diagram

Interaction Overview Diagram

Timing Diagram

OCL

Dobing and Parsons (2006)
VendingMachine

Water_enabled : int
Soft_enabled : int
Tea_enabled : int
+disable_all():void
+enable_Water():void
+enable_Soft():void
+enable_Tea():void
+WATER()+SOFT()+TEA()+ChoicePanel():ChoicePanel

+giveback_100():void
+giveback_50():void
:

Changer

+Prepare_Water():void
+Prepare_Soft():void
+Prepare_Tea():void
+DWATER()+DTEA()+DSOFT()+FILLUP():DrinkDispenser

+fallthrough():void
+update_ChoicePanel()+C50()+E1()+OK():CoinValidator

Entry Action:itsChoicePanel
->enable_Water(); Entry Action:itsChoicePanel
->enable_Soft(); Entry Action:itsChoicePanel
->enable_Tea();

Request_sent

\[ \text{TEA}[\text{Tea_enabled}] /\text{itsDrinkDispenser} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{DTEA}) \]
\[ /\text{itsDrinkDispenser} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{DSOFT}); \]
\[ \text{if (itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{IS}_{\text{IN}}(\text{have_c150})) \]
\[ \text{itsChanger} \rightarrow \text{giveback}_{50}(); \]
\[ \text{WATER}[\text{Water_enabled}] /\text{disable_all}(); \]
\[ \text{SOFT}[\text{Soft_enabled}] /\text{itsDrinkDispenser} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{DWATER}); \]
\[ \text{if (itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{IS}_{\text{IN}}(\text{have_c150})) \]
\[ \text{itsChanger} \rightarrow \text{giveback}_{100}(); \]
\[ \text{else if (itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{IS}_{\text{IN}}(\text{have_c100})) \]

on

on

on

FillingUp

\[ \text{DTEA/Prepare_Tea(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{DTEA/Prepare_Tea(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{DTEA/Prepare_Tea(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{DSOFT/Prepare_Soft(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{DSOFT/Prepare_Soft(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{DSOFT/Prepare_Soft(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{DWATER/Prepare_Water(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{DWATER/Prepare_Water(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{DWATER/Prepare_Water(); itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{GEN}(\text{OK}); \]
\[ \text{FILLUP/itsCoinValidator} \rightarrow \text{update_ChoicePanel();} \]

on

on

on

T2 Tea_out
T1
T3
S2 Soft_out
S1
S3
W2 Water_out
W1
W3

UML

\[ \varphi \in \text{OCL} \]

Mathematics
Outlook: Concrete vs. Abstract Syntax
\[ S_0 = (\{\text{Int, Bool}\}, \{C, D\}, \{x : \text{Int, } p : C_{0,1}, n : C_*\}, \{C \mapsto \{p, n\}, D \mapsto \{p, x\}\}, \{f : \text{Int} \to \text{Bool, get}_x() : \text{Int}\}, \{C \mapsto \emptyset, D \mapsto \{f, \text{get}_x\}\}) \]
\[ \mathcal{S}_0 = (\{\text{Int, Bool}\}, \{C, D\}, \{x : \text{Int}, p : C_{0,1}, n : C_*\}, \{C \mapsto \{p, n\}, D \mapsto \{p, x\}\}, \{f : \text{Int} \to \text{Bool}, \text{get}_x() : \text{Int}\}, \{C \mapsto \emptyset, D \mapsto \{f, \text{get}_x\}\}) \]
\[ \mathcal{S}_0 = (\{\text{Int}, \text{Bool}\}, \{C, D\}, \{x : \text{Int}, p : C_{0,1}, n : C^*\}, \{C \mapsto \{p, n\}, D \mapsto \{p, x\}\}, \{f : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Bool}, \text{get}_x : \text{Int}\}, \{C \mapsto \emptyset, D \mapsto \{f, \text{get}_x\}\}) \]
\[ \mathcal{S}_0 = \{\{\text{Int}, \text{Bool}\}, \{C, D\}, \{x : \text{Int}, p : C_{0.1}, n : C_*\}, \{C \mapsto \{p, n\}, D \mapsto \{p, x\}\}, \{f : \text{Int} \to \text{Bool}, \text{get}_x() : \text{Int}\}, \{C \mapsto \emptyset, D \mapsto \{f, \text{get}_x\}\}\} \]
The class diagram syntax can be used to **visualise code**: provide rules which map (parts of) the code to class diagram elements.
The class diagram syntax can be used to **visualise code**: provide rules which map (parts of) the code to class diagram elements.
• open favourite IDE,
• open favourite project,
• press “generate class diagram”
• wait…
Visualisation of Implementation: (Useless) Example

- open favourite IDE,
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- wait... wait...
Visualisation of Implementation: (Useless) Example

- open favourite IDE,
- open favourite project,
- press “generate class diagram”
- wait... wait... wait...
Visualisation of Implementation: (Useless) Example

- open favourite IDE,
- open favourite project,
- press “generate class diagram”
- wait… wait… wait…

- ca. 35 classes,
- ca. 5,000 LOC C#
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      (Rumbaugh et al., 1990)
    - **Booch Method and Notation**
      (Booch, 1993)
    - **Object-Oriented Software Engineering** (OOSE)
      (Jacobson et al., 1992)

- Each “persuasion” selling books, tools, seminars…
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  The standards are published by **Object Management Group** (OMG), “international, open membership, not-for-profit computer industry consortium”. Much criticised for lack of formality.
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- Boxes/lines and finite automata are used to visualise software for ages.

- 1970’s, Software Crisis™
  Idea: learn from engineering disciplines to handle growing complexity.
  Modelling languages: Flowcharts, Nassi–Shneiderman, Entity-Relation Diagrams

- Mid 1980’s: Statecharts (Harel, 1987), StateMate™ (Harel et al., 1990)

- Early 1990’s, advent of Object-Oriented Analysis/Design/Programming
  – Inflation of notations and methods, most prominent:
  - Object-Modeling Technique (OMT)
    (Rumbaugh et al., 1990)
  - Booch Method and Notation
    (Booch, 1993)
  - Object-Oriented Software Engineering (OOSE)
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  Each “persuasion” selling books, tools, seminars...

- Late 1990’s: joint effort of “the three amigos” yielded UML 0.x and 1.x
  The standards are published by Object Management Group (OMG), “international, open membership, not-for-profit computer industry consortium”. Much criticised for lack of formality.

- Since 2005: UML 2.x, split into infra- and superstructure documents.
Recall: UML Diagrams (OMG, 2011b, 694)

OCL
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Dobing and Parsons (2006)
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+ wiringplan

+ windows

+ ...
Floorplan and UML Modes!

Sketch:

Blueprint:

Program:

With UML it’s the same [http://martinfowler.com/bliki]:

“[…] people differ about what should be in the UML because there are differing fundamental views about what the UML should be.

So when someone else’s view of the UML seems rather different to yours, it may be because they use a different UmlMode to you.”
Floorplan and UML Modes!

**Sketch:**

In this UmlMode developers use the UML to help communicate some aspects of a system. [...] Sketches are also useful in documents, in which case the focus is communication rather than completeness. [...] The tools used for sketching are lightweight drawing tools and often people aren’t too particular about keeping to every strict rule of the UML.

Most UML diagrams shown in books, such as mine, are sketches. Their emphasis is on selective communication rather than complete specification.

Hence my sound-bite “comprehensiveness is the enemy of comprehensibility.”

**Blueprint:**

[...] In forward engineering the idea is that blueprints are developed by a designer whose job is to build a detailed design for a programmer to code up.

That design should be sufficiently complete that all design decisions are laid out and the programming should follow as a pretty straightforward activity that requires little thought. [...] Blueprints require much more sophisticated tools than sketches in order to handle the details required for the task. [...] Forward engineering tools support diagram drawing and back it up with a repository to hold the information. [...] You.

**Program:**

With

**Programming Language**

If you can detail the UML enough, and provide semantics for everything you need in software, you can make the UML be your programming language.

Tools can take the UML diagrams you draw and compile them into executable code.

The promise of this is that UML is a higher level language and thus more productive than current programming languages.

The question, of course, is whether this promise is true.

I don’t believe that graphical programming will succeed just because it’s graphical. [...]
So, the “mode” fitting the lecture best is AsBlueprint.
UML-Mode of the Course

So, the “mode” fitting the lecture best is AsBlueprint.

Aim of the Course:

- show that UML can be precise – to avoid misunderstandings.
- allow formal analysis of models on the design level – to find errors early.
- be consistent with (informal semantics in) OMG (2011b) as far as possible.
So, the “mode” fitting the lecture best is **AsBlueprint**.

**Aim of the Course:**
- show that UML can be **precise** – to **avoid misunderstandings**.
- allow **formal analysis** of models on the **design level** – to **find errors early**.
- be consistent with (informal semantics in) OMG (2011b) as far as possible.

**Side Effects:**
After the course, you should…
- have a good working knowledge of UML,
- have a good working knowledge of software modelling,
- be able to **also** efficiently and effectively work in **AsSketch** mode,
- be able to define **your own** UML semantics for **your** context/purpose, or define your own **Domain Specific Languages** as needed.
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Formalia
Formalia: Lectures

- Lecturer: Dr. Bernd Westphal
- Support: Claus Schätzle
- Homepage: http://swt.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/teaching/WS2016-17/sdmauml
- Time/Location: Tuesday, Thursday, 8:00 – 10:00 / here (building 51, room 03-026)
- Course language: English (slides/writing, presentation, questions/discussions)
- Presentation: half slides/half on-screen hand-writing – for reasons
- Script/Media:
  - slides with annotations on homepage, typically soon after the lecture
  - recording on ILIAS with max. 1 week delay (links on homepage)
- Break:
  - We'll have a 10 min. break in the middle of each event from now on, unless a majority objects now.
You should work in groups of **approx. 3**, clearly give **names** on submission.

Please submit via ILIAS (cf. homepage); **paper submissions** are **tolerated**.
You should work in groups of approx. 3, clearly give names on submission.

Please submit via ILIAS (cf. homepage); paper submissions are tolerated.

Schedule:

Week $N$, Thursday, 8–10 Lecture A1 (exercise sheet $A$ online)
Week $N + 1$, Tuesday 8–10 Lecture A2
Thursday 8–10 Lecture A3
Week $N + 2$, Monday, 12:00 (exercises $A$ early submission)
Tuesday, 8:00 (exercises $A$ late submission)
8–10 Tutorial A
Thursday 8–10 Lecture B1 (exercise sheet $B$ online)

...
You should work in groups of approx. 3, clearly give names on submission.

Please submit via ILIAS (cf. homepage); paper submissions are tolerated.

Schedule:

Week $N$, Thursday, 8–10 Lecture A1 (exercise sheet $A$ online)
Week $N + 1$, Tuesday 8–10 Lecture A2
                Thursday 8–10 Lecture A3
Week $N + 2$, Monday, 12:00 (exercises $A$ early submission)
                Tuesday, 8:00 (exercises $A$ late submission)
                8–10 Tutorial A
                Thursday 8–10 Lecture B1 (exercise sheet $B$ online)
                ...

Rating system: “most complicated rating system ever”

- Admission points (good-will rating, upper bound)
  (“reasonable proposal given student’s knowledge before tutorial”)
- Exam-like points (evil rating, lower bound)
  (“reasonable proposal given student’s knowledge after tutorial”)

10% bonus for early submission.
You should work in groups of approx. 3, clearly give names on submission.

Please submit via ILIAS (cf. homepage); paper submissions are tolerated.

Schedule:

- Week $N$, Thursday, 8–10 Lecture A1 (exercise sheet $A$ online)
- Week $N + 1$, Tuesday 8–10 Lecture A2
  Thursday 8–10 Lecture A3
- Week $N + 2$, Monday, 12:00 (exercises $A$ early submission)
  Tuesday, 8:00 (exercises $A$ late submission)
  8–10 Tutorial A
  Thursday 8–10 Lecture B1 (exercise sheet $B$ online)

Rating system: “most complicated rating system ever”

- Admission points (good-will rating, upper bound)
  (“reasonable proposal given student’s knowledge before tutorial”)
- Exam-like points (evil rating, lower bound)
  (“reasonable proposal given student’s knowledge after tutorial”)

10% bonus for early submission.

Tutorial: Plenary, not recorded.

Together develop one good solution based on selection of early submissions (anonymous) – there is no “Musterlösung” for modelling tasks.
Formalia: Exam

- Exam Admission:
  Achieving 50% of the regular admission points in total is sufficient for admission to exam.

  Typically, 20 regular admission points per exercise sheet; some exercise sheets have bonus tasks.

- Exam Form:
  - oral for BSc and on special demand (Erasmus),
  - written for everybody else (if sufficiently many candidates remain).

  Scores from the exercises do not contribute to the final grade.

- Exam Date:
  Please remind me in early December that we need to agree on an exam date.
• Approach:

The lectures is supposed to work as a lecture: spoken word + slides + discussion. It is not our goal to make any of the three work in isolation.
• **Approach:**

The lectures is supposed to work as a **lecture: spoken word + slides + discussion**

It is **not our goal** to make any of the three work in isolation.

• **Interaction:**

Absence often moaned but **it takes two: please ask/comment immediately.**
• Approach:

The lectures is supposed to work as a lecture: spoken word + slides + discussion
It is not our goal to make any of the three work in isolation.

• Interaction:

Absence often moaned but it takes two: please ask/comment immediately.

• Exercise submissions:

Each task is a tiny little scientific work:
(i) Briefly rephrase the task in your own words.
(ii) State your claimed solution.
(iii) Convince your reader that your proposal is a solution (proofs are very convincing).
Example:

Task: Given a square with side length $a = 19.1$. What is the length of the longest straight line fully inside the square?

Submission A:

27

Submission B:

The length of the longest straight line fully inside the square with side length $a = 19.1$ is 27.01 (rounded).

The longest straight line inside the square is the diagonal. By Pythagoras, its length is $\sqrt{a^2 + a^2}$. Inserting $a = 19.1$ yields 27.01 (rounded).

Exercise submissions:

Each task is a tiny little scientific work:

(i) Briefly rephrase the task in your own words.
(ii) State your claimed solution.
(iii) Convince your reader that your proposal is a solution (proofs are very convincing).
Example:

Task: Given a square with side length $a = 19.1$. What is the length of the longest straight line fully inside the square?

Submission A:

Submission B:

The length of the longest straight line fully inside the square with side length $a = 19.1$ is 27.01 (rounded).

The longest straight line inside the square is the diagonal. By Pythagoras, its length is $\sqrt{a^2 + a^2}$. Inserting $a = 19.1$ yields 27.01 (rounded).

Exercise submissions:

Each task is a tiny little scientific work:

(i) Briefly rephrase the task in your own words.

(ii) State your claimed solution.

(iii) Convince your reader that your proposal is a solution (proofs are very convincing).
Literature
Literature: Modelling


http://www.springerlink.com/content/0170-6012

Literature: UML

- OMG: Unified Modeling Language Specification, Infrastructure, 2.4.1
- OMG: Unified Modeling Language Specification, Superstructure, 2.4.1
- OMG: Object Constraint Language Specification, 2.0
  All three: http://www.omg.org (cf. hyperlinks on course homepage)
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