Real-Time Systems

Lecture 02: Timed Behaviour

2013-04-17

Dr. Bernd Westphal

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

Contents & Goals

Recall: Prerequisites

Olambia (Control of Control of Co

actuators

Last Lecture:

Motivation, Overview

This Lecture:

- Educational Objectives:
 Get aquainted with one (simple but powerful)
 formal model of timed behaviour.
 See how first order predicate-bgic can be used to state requirements.

o town until of behavior (in (quartersh) there is a largely to content on the content of south content of condition of the condition of conditions of conditions of conditions of conditions.

a nation of "peet" and a necting a nethology

design a (gas burner) controller that $\underbrace{\mathsf{meets}}_{}$ its requirements we need

Time-dependent State Variables
 Requirements and System Properties in first order predicate logic
 Classes of Timed Properties

2/31

State Variables (or Observables)

We assume that the real-time systems we consider is characterised by a finite set of state variables (or observables)

Real-Time Behaviour, More Formally...

each equipped with a domain $\mathcal{D}(obs_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Example: gas burner

" yet valve approjection" G. $\mathcal{D}(g) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ under a before " $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g_{n}(x) dx$ gives $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are found in the following properties of $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are found in the following properties of $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: " $f(x) = \{0,7\}$, $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: $O(\frac{1}{2})$ and $O(\frac{1}{2})$ are follows: O

One possible evolution (or behaviour) of the considered system over time is represented as a function

System Evolution over Time

 $\pi: \mathsf{Time} \to \mathcal{D}(obs_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D}(obs_n).$

* If (and only if) observable obs_i has value $d_i \in \mathcal{D}(obs_i)$ at time $t \in \mathsf{Time},$ $1 \le i \le n,$ we set

 $\pi(t)=(d_1,\ldots,d_n).$

 $obs_i: \mathsf{Time} \to \mathcal{D}(\underline{obs_i})$

For convenience, we use

to denote the projection of π onto the i-th component.

What's the time?

- There are two main choices for the time domain Time:
- discrete time: Time $= IN_0$, the set of natural numbers.
- continuous $\mbox{or dense time} : \mbox{ Time} = \mathbb{R}_0^+, \mbox{ the set of non-negative real numbers}.$

Throughout the lecture we shall use the continuous time model and consider discrete time as a special case.

- plant models usually live in continuous time,
 we avoid too early introduction introduction of hardware considerations,

* <u>Interesting view</u>: continous-time is a well-suited abstraction from the discrete-time realms induced by dock-cycles etc.

Example: Gas Burner One possible evolution of considered system over time is represented as function $\pi: \mathrm{Time} \to \mathcal{D}(obs_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D}(obs_n)$. If (and only if) observable obs_1 as $\operatorname{value} d_i \in \mathcal{D}(obs_1)$ at time $i \in \mathrm{Time}$, set: $\pi(t) = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$. For convenience: use $obs_i : \mathrm{Time} \to \mathcal{D}(obs_1)$. — ° Ты (Опнемеме ° — Н(13)=0 Н (52)=1 T(3) = (0,0,0,0)7(52) = (1,1,0,0) per alle

Example: Gas Burner

Levels of Detail

Note:
 Depending on the choice of observables we can describe a real-time system at various levels of detail.

- $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset 1.12,3 \} \hspace{1cm} G: \mathsf{Time} \rightarrow \{0,1,2,3\} \hspace{1cm} / \hspace{1cm}$
- (But: $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is never continuous in the lecture, otherwise we had a hybrid system.)

if the thermostat and the controller are connected via a bus and exchange messages, use

 $B: \mathsf{Time} o Msg^*$ of chaunts four Hg

to model the receive buffer as a finite sequence of messages from ${\it Msg.}$ etc.

11/31

System Properties

Example: Y Le Time = 7 B(K) => 7F(K)

Predicate Logic

close A: Ve = (?4,3,17)

divise 8: Vex = ?4,5,c,...?

choice C: Vex = ?9,8,4,8}

 $\varphi ::= obs(\pmb{v}) = d \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \implies \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \iff \varphi_2$ $\mid \forall \pmb{\mathscr{V}} \in \mathsf{Time} \bullet \varphi \mid \forall t \in [\pmb{\mathscr{U}} + c_1, \pmb{\mathscr{U}} + c_2] \bullet \varphi$

obs an observable, $d \in \mathcal{D}(obs)$, $t \in Var \log cal \ variable$, $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ constants.

We assume the standard semantics interpreted over system evolutions obs_i : Time $\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(obs), 1 \leq i \leq n$.

That is, given a particular system evolution π and a formula φ , we can tell whether π satisfies φ under a given valuation β , denoted by $\pi,\beta\models\varphi$.

12/31

Requirements and System Properties

 So we can use first-order predicate logic to formally specify requirements. For instance, $\mathsf{Req} : \iff \forall \, t \in \mathsf{Time} \bullet \neg (I(t) \land \neg G(t))$ defining Rep as abberriation for (x)

says: "an implementation is fine as long as it doesn't ignite without gas in any of its evolutions" .

 We can also use first-order predicate logic to formally describe properties of the implementation or design decisions. For instance,

 $\mathsf{Des} :\iff \forall\, t \in \mathsf{Time} \bullet I(t) \implies \forall\, t' \in [t-1,t+1] \bullet G(t'))$

says that our controller opens the gas valve at least 1 time unit before ignition and keeps it open.

Recall: Predicate Logic, Standard Semantics 6: (\$12)

Evolution of system over time: $\pi: \mathsf{Time} \to \mathcal{D}(obs_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{D}(obs_n).$ Iff obs_i has value $d_i \in \mathcal{D}(obs_i)$ at $t \in \mathsf{Time}$, set: $\pi(t) = (d_1, \dots, d_n).$ For convenience: use $obs_i: \mathsf{Time} \to \mathcal{D}(obs_i).$ • Let β: Var → Time be a valuation of the logical variables ∈ No.

€ D(da,) $\varphi ::= obs(t) = d \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \implies \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \iff \varphi_2$ $\mid \forall \, \underbrace{t \in \mathsf{Time} \bullet \varphi} \mid \forall \, \underbrace{t \in [t_1 + c_1, t_2 + c_2] \bullet \varphi}$ B: Var - Time

Correctness

- Let 'Req' be a requirement,
- 'Des' be a design, and
- 'Impl' be an implementation.

Recall: each is a set of evolutions, i.e. a subset of (Time $\to \times_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}(obs_i)$), described in any form.

'Des' is a correct design (wrt. 'Req') if and only if

 $\mathsf{Des}\subseteq \mathsf{Req}.$

'Impl' is a correct implementation (wrt. 'Des' (or 'Req')) if and only if

 $\mathsf{Impl} \subseteq \mathsf{Des} \quad (\mathsf{or} \; \mathsf{Impl} \subseteq \mathsf{Req})$

If 'Req' and 'Des' are described by formulae of first-oder predicate logic, groving the design correct amounts to proving that 'Des \implies Req' is valid.

Note: we can view a closed predicate logic formula φ as a concise description of Predicate Logic in lyper verille an grandfort the set of all system evolutions satisfying φ . In view a uncertainty of $\{\pi: \mathsf{Time} \to \mathcal{D}(obs_n) \times \dots \times \mathcal{D}(obs_n) \mid \pi, \emptyset \models \varphi\} \not \leqslant \mathsf{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{of} \quad$ $\forall \, t \in \mathsf{Time} \bullet \neg (I(t) \land \neg G(t))$

describes all evolutions where there is no ignition with closed gas valve.

Classes of Timed Properties

17/31

Safety Properties

- A safety property states that something bad must never happen [Lamport].
- Example: train inside level crossing with gates open.
- * More general, assume observable C: Time $\to \{0,1\}$ where C(t)=1 represents a critical system state at time t.

$$\forall\,t\in\mathsf{Time}\bullet\neg C(t)$$

is a safety property.

- But safety is not everything...

18/31

- In general, a safety property is characterised as a property that can be falsified in bounded time.

- * More general, assume observable G: Time $\to \{0,1\}$ where G(t)=1 represents a good system state at time t.

is a liveness property.

19/31

is a bounded liveness property.

This property can again be falsified in finite time.

 $\forall\, t_1 \in \mathsf{Time} \bullet (R(t_1) \implies \exists\, t_2 \in [t_1+10,t_1+15] \bullet G(t_2))$

With gas burners, this is still not everything...

20/31

Liveness Properties

Bounded Response Properties

- A bounded response property states that the desired reaction on an input occurs in time interval [b,e].

 \bullet More general, re-consider good thing G : Time $\to \{0,1\}$ and request R : Time $\to \{0,1\}.$ Example: from request to secure level crossing to gates closed.

- The simplest form of a liveness property states that something good eventually does happen.
- Example: gates open for road traffic.

 $\exists t \in \mathsf{Time} \bullet G(t)$

Note: not falsified in finite time.

With real-time, liveness is too weak...

References

[Olderog and Dierks, 2008] Olderog, E.-R. and Dierks, H. (2008). Real-Time Systems - Formal Specification and Automatic Verification. Cambridge University Press.

References

Duration Properties

A duration property states that for observation interval [b, c] characterised by a condition A(b, e) the accumulated time in which the system is in a certain critical state has an upper bound u(b, e).

This property can again be falsified in finite time.

21/31

is a duration property.

• More general, re-consider critical thing C : Time $o \{0,1\}$.

Example: leakage in gas burner.

30/31

31/31