

Real-Time Systems

Lecture 12: Networks of Timed Automata

2014-07-03

Dr. Bernd Westphal

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

– 12 – 2014-07-03 – main –

Contents & Goals

Last Lecture:

- Timed automata syntax
- TA operational semantics



This Lecture:

- **Educational Objectives:** Capabilities for following tasks/questions.
 - what's the (syntactical) parallel composition of TA?
- **Content:**
 - parallel composition of TA
 - Uppaal demo

– 12 – 2014-07-03 – Prelim –

Recall: Plan

- **Pure TA** syntax
 - channels, actions
 - (simple) clock constraints
 - Def. TA
- **Pure TA** operational semantics
 - clock valuation, time shift, modification
 - operational semantics
 - discussion
- Transition sequence, computation path, run
- **Network of TA**
 - parallel composition (syntactical)
 - restriction
 - network of TA semantics
- **Uppaal Demo**
- Region abstraction; zones
- **Extended TA**; Logic of Uppaal




3/20

Network of TA


Recall: Pure Timed Automaton

Example



$\langle \text{off}, x = 0 \rangle \xrightarrow{2.5} \langle \text{off}, x = 2.5 \rangle \xrightarrow{1.7} \langle \text{off}, x = 4.2 \rangle$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{press?}} \langle \text{light}, x = 0 \rangle \xrightarrow{2.1} \langle \text{light}, x = 2.1 \rangle$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{press?}} \langle \text{bright}, x = 2.1 \rangle \xrightarrow{10} \langle \text{bright}, x = 12.1 \rangle$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{press?}} \langle \text{off}, x = 12.1 \rangle$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{press?}} \langle \text{light}, x = 0 \rangle \xrightarrow{0} \langle \text{light}, x = 0 \rangle$

Recall: Light Controller and User



Parallel Composition

Definition 4.12.

The **parallel composition** $\mathcal{A}_1 \parallel \mathcal{A}_2$ of two timed automata

$$\mathcal{A}_i = (L_i, B_i, X_i, I_i, E_i, \ell_{ini,i}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

with **disjoint** sets of clocks X_1 and X_2 yields the timed automaton

$$\mathcal{A} = (L_1 \times L_2, B_1 \cup B_2, X_1 \cup X_2, I, E, (\ell_{ini,1}, \ell_{ini,2}))$$

where

- $I(\ell_1, \ell_2) := I(\ell_1) \wedge I(\ell_2)$, and
- E consists of **handshake** and **asynchronous communication**.
(\rightarrow **next slide**)

Helper: Action Complementation

- The **complementation function**

$$\bar{\cdot} : Act \rightarrow Act$$

is defined pointwise as

- $\overline{a!} = a?$
- $\overline{a?} = a!$
- $\overline{\tau} = \tau$
- **Note:** $\overline{\overline{\alpha}} = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in Act$.

Parallel Composition: Handshake and Asynchrony

$\mathcal{A}_1 \parallel \mathcal{A}_2 = (L_1 \times L_2, B_1 \cup B_2, X_1 \cup X_2, I, E, (\ell_{ini,1}, \ell_{ini,2}))$ with

- Handshake:**

If there is $a \in B_1 \cup B_2$ such that

$$(\ell_1, \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, \ell'_1) \in E_1, \text{ and } (\ell_2, \bar{\alpha}, \varphi_2, Y_2, \ell'_2) \in E_2,$$

and $\{a!, a?\} = \{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$, then

$$((\ell_1, \ell_2), \tau, \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2, (\ell'_1, \ell'_2)) \in E.$$

- Asynchrony:**

If $(\ell_1, \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, \ell'_1) \in E_1$ then for all $\ell_2 \in L_2$,



$$((\ell_1, \ell_2), \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, (\ell'_1, \ell_2)) \in E.$$

If $(\ell_2, \alpha, \varphi_2, Y_2, \ell'_2) \in E_2$ then for all $\ell_1 \in L_1$,

$$((\ell_1, \ell_2), \alpha, \varphi_2, Y_2, (\ell_1, \ell'_2)) \in E.$$

- 12 - 2014-07-03 - Stanet -

Example





$\mathcal{L} \parallel \mathcal{U} = (L_1 \times L_2, B_1 \cup B_2, X_1 \cup X_2, I, E, (\ell_{ini,1}, \ell_{ini,2}))$

- If $a \in B_1 \cup B_2$ s.t. $(\ell_1, \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, \ell'_1) \in E_1$ and $(\ell_2, \bar{\alpha}, \varphi_2, Y_2, \ell'_2) \in E_2$ and $\{a!, a?\} = \{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ then $((\ell_1, \ell_2), \tau, \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2, (\ell'_1, \ell'_2)) \in E$
- If $(\ell_1, \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, \ell'_1) \in E_1$ then for all $\ell_2 \in L_2$, $((\ell_1, \ell_2), \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, (\ell'_1, \ell_2)) \in E$, and conversely

- 12 - 2014-07-03 - Stanet -

Example



$$\mathcal{L} \parallel \mathcal{U} = (L_1 \times L_2, B_1 \cup B_2, X_1 \cup X_2, I, E, (\ell_{ini,1}, \ell_{ini,2}))$$

- If $a \in B_1 \cup B_2$ s.t. $(\ell_1, \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, \ell'_1) \in E_1$ and $(\ell_2, \bar{\alpha}, \varphi_2, Y_2, \ell'_2) \in E_2$ and $\{a!, a?\} = \{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ then $((\ell_1, \ell_2), \tau, \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2, (\ell'_1, \ell'_2)) \in E$
- If $(\ell_1, \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, \ell'_1) \in E_1$ then for all $\ell_2 \in L_2$, $((\ell_1, \ell_2), \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, (\ell'_1, \ell_2)) \in E$, and conversely

- 12 - 2014-07-03 - Stanet -

10/20

Restriction

Definition 4.13.

A **local channel** b is introduced by the **restriction operator** which, for a timed automaton $\mathcal{A} = (L, B, X, I, E, \ell_{ini})$ yields

$$\text{chan } b \bullet \mathcal{A} := (L, B \setminus \{b\}, X, I, E', \ell_{ini})$$

where

- $(\ell, \alpha, \varphi, Y, \ell') \in E'$ if and only if $(\ell, \alpha, \varphi, Y, \ell') \in E$ and $\alpha \notin \{b!, b?\}$.



- 12 - 2014-07-03 - Stanet -

- **Abbreviation:**

$$\text{chan } b_1 \dots b_m \bullet \mathcal{A} := \text{chan } b_1 \bullet \dots \text{chan } b_m \bullet \mathcal{A}$$

11/20

Example



$$\mathcal{L} \parallel \mathcal{U} = (L_1 \times L_2, B_1 \cup B_2, X_1 \cup X_2, I, E, (\ell_{ini,1}, \ell_{ini,2}))$$

- If $a \in B_1 \cup B_2$ s.t. $(\ell_1, \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, \ell'_1) \in E_1$ and $(\ell_2, \bar{\alpha}, \varphi_2, Y_2, \ell_2) \in E_2$ and $\{a!, a?\} = \{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ then $((\ell_1, \ell_2), \tau, \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2, (\ell'_1, \ell_2)) \in E$
- If $(\ell_1, \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, \ell'_1) \in E_1$ then for all $\ell_2 \in L_2$, $((\ell_1, \ell_2), \alpha, \varphi_1, Y_1, (\ell'_1, \ell_2)) \in E$, and conversely

Networks of Timed Automata

- A timed automaton \mathcal{N} is called **network of timed automata** if and only if it is obtained as

$$\text{chan } b_1 \dots b_m \bullet (\mathcal{A}_1 \parallel \dots \parallel \mathcal{A}_n)$$

Closed Networks

- A network


$$\mathcal{N} = \text{chan } b_1 \dots b_m \bullet (A_1 \parallel \dots \parallel A_n)$$

is called **closed** if and only if


$$\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i.$$

- Then, by Lemma 4.16 (later), **local transitions** don't occur (since $B = \emptyset$).
Transitions are thus either internal actions τ or delay transitions.

Example:



is closed.



Operational Semantics of Networks

Lemma 4.16. Let $\mathcal{A}_i = (L_i, B_i, X_i, I_i, E_i, \ell_{ini,i})$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$ be a set of timed automata with disjoint clocks. Then the operational semantics of the network

$$\text{chan } b_1 \dots b_m \bullet (\mathcal{A}_1 \parallel \dots \parallel \mathcal{A}_n)$$

yields the labelled transition system

$$(\text{Conf}(\mathcal{N}), \text{Time} \cup B_{?!}, \{\xrightarrow{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \text{Time} \cup B_{?!}\}, C_{ini})$$

with

- $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$,
- $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$,
- $\text{Conf}(\mathcal{N}) = \{ \langle \vec{\ell}, \nu \rangle \mid \vec{\ell} \in L_1 \times \dots \times L_n \wedge \nu : X \rightarrow \text{Time} \wedge \nu \models \bigwedge_{k=1}^n I_k(\ell_k) \}$,
- $C_{ini} = \{ \langle (\ell_{ini,1}, \dots, \ell_{ini,n}), \nu_{ini} \rangle \} \cap \text{Conf}(\mathcal{N})$ where $\nu_{ini}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$,
- and three types of transition relations (\rightarrow next slides).

Op. Semantics of Networks: Local Transitions

For each $\lambda \in \text{Time} \cup B_{?!}$ the transition relation $\xrightarrow{\lambda} \subseteq \text{Conf}(\mathcal{N}) \times \text{Conf}(\mathcal{N})$ has one of the following three types:

(i) **Local transition:**

$$\langle \vec{\ell}, \nu \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \langle \vec{\ell}', \nu' \rangle$$

if there is $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

- $(\ell_i, \alpha, \varphi, Y, \ell'_i) \in E_i$, $\alpha \in B_{?!}$, (i -th automaton has corresp. edge)
- $\nu \models \varphi$, (guard is satisfied)
- $\vec{\ell}' = \vec{\ell}[\ell_i := \ell'_i]$, (only i -th location changes)
- $\nu' = \nu[Y := 0]$, and (\mathcal{A}_i 's clocks are reset)
- $\nu' \models I_i(\ell'_i)$. (destination invariant holds)

vector
modification

Op. Semantics of Networks: Synchronisation

(ii) **Synchronisation transition:**

$$\langle \vec{\ell}, \nu \rangle \xrightarrow{\tau} \langle \vec{\ell}', \nu' \rangle$$

if there are $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$, and $b \in B_i \cap B_j$, such that

- $(\ell_i, b!, \varphi_i, Y_i, \ell'_i) \in E_i$ and $(\ell_j, b?, \varphi_j, Y_j, \ell'_j) \in E_j$,
- $\nu \models \varphi_i \wedge \varphi_j$,
- $\vec{\ell}' = \vec{\ell}[\ell_i := \ell'_i][\ell_j := \ell'_j]$,
- $\nu' = \nu[Y_i \cup Y_j := 0]$, and
- $\nu' \models I_i(\ell'_i) \wedge I_j(\ell'_j)$.

Op. Semantics of Networks: Delay

(iii) **Delay transition:**


$$\langle \vec{\ell}, \nu \rangle \xrightarrow{t} \langle \vec{\ell}, \nu + t \rangle$$

if for all $t' \in [0, t]$,

- $\nu + t' \models \bigwedge_{k=1}^n I_k(\ell_k)$.

Uppaal [Larsen et al., 1997, Behrmann et al., 2004]
Demo, Vol. 1

Uppaal Architecture



[Behrmann et al., 2004] Behrmann, G., David, A., and Larsen, K. G. (2004). A tutorial on uppaal 2004-11-17. Technical report, Aalborg University, Denmark.

[Larsen et al., 1997] Larsen, K. G., Pettersson, P., and Yi, W. (1997). UPPAAL in a nutshell. *International Journal on Software Tools for Technology Transfer*, 1(1):134–152.

[Olderog and Dierks, 2008] Olderog, E.-R. and Dierks, H. (2008). *Real-Time Systems - Formal Specification and Automatic Verification*. Cambridge University Press.