

Formal Methods for Java

Lecture 9: Extended Static Checking

Jochen Hoenicke



Software Engineering
Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg

May 24, 2017

Runtime vs. Static Checking

Runtime Checking

- finds bugs at run-time,
- tests for violation during execution,
- can check most of the JML,
- is done by `openjml.jar -rac`.

Static Checking

- finds bugs at compile-time,
- proves that there is no violation,
- can check only parts of the JML,
- is done by `openjml.jar -esc`.

openjml (esc)

- Developed by the DEC Software Research Center (now HP Research),
- Extended by David Cok and Joe Kiniry (Kind Software)
- Rewritten in OpenJML by David Cok
- **Proves** correctness of specification,
- Is neither **sound** nor **complete** (but this will improve),
- Is useful to find bugs.

Example

Consider the following code:

```
Object[] a;  
void m(int i) {  
    a[i] = "Hello";  
}
```

- Is *a* a null-pointer? ([NullPointerException](#))
- Is *i* nonnegative? ([ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException](#))
- Is *i* smaller than the array length?
([ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException](#))
- Is *a* an array of *Object* or *String*?
([ArrayStoreException](#))

openjml (esc) warns about these issues. ([Demo](#))

ESC and run-time exceptions

ESC checks that no undeclared run-time exceptions occur.

- `NullPointerException`
- `ClassCastException`
- `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException`
- `ArrayStoreException`
- `ArithmeticException`
- `NegativeArraySizeException`
- other run-time exception, e.g., when calling library functions.

ESC and specification

ESC also checks the JML specification:

- **ensures** in method contract,
- **requires** in called methods,
- **assert** statements,
- **signals** clause,
- **invariant** (loop invariant and class invariant).

ESC assumes that some formulae hold:

- **requires** in method contract,
- **ensures** in called methods,
- **assume** statements,
- **invariant** (loop invariant and class invariant).

NullPointerException

```
public void put(Object o) {  
    int hash = o.hashCode();  
    ...  
}
```

results in Possible null dereference.

Solutions:

- Declare *o* as `non_null`.
- Add `o != null` to precondition.
- Add `throws NullPointerException`.
(Also add `signals (NullPointerException) o == null`)
- Add Java code that handles null pointers.
`int hash = (o == null ? 0 : o.hashCode());`

ClassCastException

```
class Priority implements Comparable {  
    public int compareTo(Object other) {  
        Priority o = (Priority) other;  
        ...  
    }  
}
```

results in Possible type cast error.

Solutions:

- Add `throws ClassCastException`.

(Also add

```
signals (ClassCastException) !(other instanceof Priority))
```

- Add Java code that handles differently typed objects:

```
if (!(other instanceof Priority))  
    return -other.compareTo(this)  
Priority o = ...
```

This results in a Possible null dereference.

ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException

```
void write(/*@non_null@*/ byte[] what, int offset, int len) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < len; i++) {  
        write(what[offset+i]);  
    }  
}
```

results in Possible negative array index

Solution:

- Add $offset \geq 0$ to pre-condition, this results in **Array index possibly too large**.
- Add $offset + len \leq what.length$.
- Still results in **possibly negative array index**.
- Add a loop invariant.
- ESC does not complain but there is still a problem.
If $offset$ and len are very large numbers, then $offset + len$ can be negative. The code would throw an **ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException** at run-time.

Loop Invariants

```
/*@ requires offset >= 0 && offset + len <= what.length;
   */
void write(/*@non_null*/ byte[] what, int offset, int len) {
    /*@ loop_invariant i >= 0;
       for (int i = 0; i < len; i++) {
           write(what[offset+i]);
       }
    */
}
```

- $i \geq 0$ and $offset \geq 0$ proof that array index is not negative.
- $i \geq 0$ holds initially.
- If $i \geq 0$ holds before the loop, it holds after the loop.

ArrayStoreException

```
public class Stack {
    /*@non_null@*/ Object[] elems;
    int top;
    /*@invariant 0 <= top && top <= elems.length; @*/

    /*@ requires top < elems.length;
       @*/
    void add(Object o) {
        elems[top++] = o;
    }
}
```

results in Type of right-hand side possibly not a subtype of array element type (ArrayStore).

Solutions:

- Add an invariant `\typeof(elems) == \type(Object[])`.
- Add a precondition `\typeof(o) <: \elementype(\typeof(elems))`.

Types in assertions

- `\typeof` gets the run-time **type** of an expression
 $\text{\typeof}(obj) \sim obj.getClass()$.
- `\elementype` gets the base type from an array type.
 $\text{\elementype}(t1) \sim t1.getComponentType()$.
- `\type` gets the type representing the given Java type.
 $\text{\type}(Foo) \sim Foo.class$
- `<`: means is sub-type of.
 $t1 <: t2 \sim t2.isAssignableFrom(t1)$