Hoare Calculus

Andreas Podelski

May 17, 2017

Loop Invariant, Invariant, Inductive Invariant

given while command $C \equiv$ while b do C_0

• θ is loop invariant if:

 $\{\theta \land b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Loop Invariant, Invariant, Inductive Invariant

given while command $C \equiv$ while b do C_0

θ is loop invariant if:

 $\{\theta \land b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$

• given precondition ϕ , θ is *invariant* if:

```
 \{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\theta\} \\ \{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_0 \text{ else skip } \{\theta\} \\ \{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } \{C_0 \text{ ; if } b \text{ then } C_0 \text{ else skip} \} \text{ else skip } \{\theta\} \\ \dots
```

Loop Invariant, Invariant, Inductive Invariant

given while command $C \equiv$ while b do C_0

θ is loop invariant if:

 $\{\theta \land b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$

• given precondition ϕ , θ is *invariant* if:

$$\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\theta\} \\ \{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } C_0 \text{ else skip } \{\theta\} \\ \{\phi\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } \{C_0 \text{ ; if } b \text{ then } C_0 \text{ else skip} \} \text{ else skip } \{\theta\} \\ \dots$$

• given precondition ϕ , θ is *inductive invariant* if:

 $\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\theta\} \\ \{\theta \land b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$

expression (where f maps into Val)

$$e ::= x \mid f(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

expression (where f maps into Val)

$$e ::= x \mid f(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$$

▶ Boolean expression (where *f* maps into {**T**, **F**})

$$b ::= x | f(e_1, ..., e_n)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

expression (where f maps into Val)

$$e ::= x \mid f(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$$

▶ Boolean expression (where *f* maps into {**T**, **F**})

$$b ::= x \mid f(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$$

assertion

$$\phi, \psi, \theta ::= b \mid \top \mid \bot \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \psi \mid \exists x. \phi$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

expression (where f maps into Val)

$$e ::= x \mid f(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$$

▶ Boolean expression (where *f* maps into {**T**, **F**})

$$b ::= x \mid f(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$$

assertion

$$\phi, \psi, \theta ::= b \mid \top \mid \bot \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \lor \psi \mid \exists x. \phi$$

command

$$C ::= skip | x := e | C_1; C_2 | if b then C_1 else C_2 | while b do \{\theta\} C$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

{
$$n \ge 0$$
}
 $f := 1;$
 $i := 1;$
while $i \le n$ do { $f = fact(i - 1) \land i \le n + 1$ } {
 $f := f \times i$
 $i := i + 1$
}
{ $f = fact(n)$ }

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

$$\{n \ge 0\} \\ f := 1; \\ i := 1; \\ \text{while } i \le n \text{ do } \{f = fact(i - 1) \land i \le n + 1\} \{ \\ f := f \times i \\ i := i + 1 \\ \} \\ \{f = fact(n)\}$$

function symbol *fact* used in assertions φ, ψ, θ not used in commands C

$$\{n \ge 0\} \\ f := 1; \\ i := 1; \\ \text{while } i \le n \text{ do } \{f = fact(i-1) \land i \le n+1\} \{ \\ f := f \times i \\ i := i+1 \\ \} \\ \{f = fact(n)\}$$

- function symbol *fact* used in assertions φ, ψ, θ not used in commands C
- interpretation of function symbol *fact* in logical model for integers (bounded or unbounded)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\{n \ge 0\} \\ f := 1; \\ i := 1; \\ \text{while } i \le n \text{ do } \{f = fact(i - 1) \land i \le n + 1\} \{ \\ f := f \times i \\ i := i + 1 \\ \} \\ \{f = fact(n)\}$$

- function symbol *fact* used in assertions φ, ψ, θ not used in commands C
- interpretation of function symbol *fact* in logical model for integers (bounded or unbounded)
- axioms added in set of assertions F

$$fact(0) = 1$$

 $\forall n. \ n > 0 \rightarrow fact(n) = n \times fact(n-1)$

Loop Unfolding

equivalence (proved using the semantics of programs)

while b do $C_0 \equiv$ if b then $\{C_0 ;$ while b do $C_0\}$ else skip

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Loop Unfolding

equivalence (proved using the semantics of programs)

while *b* do $C_0 \equiv$ if *b* then $\{C_0 ;$ while *b* do $C_0\}$ else skip

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

number of unfoldings may be huge

Loop Unfolding

equivalence (proved using the semantics of programs)

while *b* do $C_0 \equiv$ if *b* then $\{C_0 ;$ while *b* do $C_0\}$ else skip

- number of unfoldings may be huge
- number of unfoldings statically not known

System $\mathcal{H}(1)$

► Hoare triple {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} derivable in \$\mathcal{H}\$ if exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \$\mathcal{H}\$

System \mathcal{H} (1)

► Hoare triple {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} derivable in \$\mathcal{H}\$ if exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \$\mathcal{H}\$

skip

 $\overline{\{\phi\} \ {\rm skip} \ \{\phi\}}$

System \mathcal{H} (1)

▶ Hoare triple {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} derivable in \$\mathcal{H}\$ if exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \$\mathcal{H}\$
 ▶ skip

 $\{\phi\}$ skip $\{\phi\}$

assignment

$$\overline{\{\psi[e/x]\}\ x := e\ \{\psi\}}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

System \mathcal{H} (2)

► sequential command $C \equiv C_1$; C_2 $\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\phi'\} \quad \{\phi'\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

System \mathcal{H} (2)

► sequential command
$$C \equiv C_1$$
; C_2
$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\phi'\} \quad \{\phi'\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}$$

• conditional command $C \equiv \mathbf{if} \ b \mathbf{then} \ C_1 \mathbf{else} \ C_2$

$$\frac{\{\phi \land b\} \ C_1 \ \{\psi\} \qquad \{\phi \land \neg b\} \ C \ \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \ C \ \{\psi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

• while command $C \equiv$ while $b \operatorname{do} \{\theta\} C_0$

$$\frac{\{\theta \land b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} C \{\theta \land \neg b\}}$$

System $\mathcal{H}(3)$

• while command $C \equiv$ while $b \operatorname{do} \{\theta\} C_0$

 $\frac{\{\theta \land b\} \ C_0 \ \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} \ C \ \{\theta \land \neg b\}}$

strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\}\ C\ \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\}\ C\ \{\psi'\}} \quad \text{if} \quad \phi' \to \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \to \psi'$$

System $\mathcal{H}(3)$

• while command $C \equiv$ while $b \operatorname{do} \{\theta\} C_0$

 $\frac{\{\theta \land b\} \ C_0 \ \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} \ C \ \{\theta \land \neg b\}}$

strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\}\ C\ \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\}\ C\ \{\psi'\}} \quad \text{if} \quad \phi' \to \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \to \psi'$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

• while command $C \equiv$ while $b \operatorname{do} \{\theta\} C_0$

 $\frac{\{\theta \land b\} \ C_0 \ \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} \ C \ \{\theta \land \neg b\}}$

strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\}\ C\ \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\}\ C\ \{\psi'\}} \quad \text{if} \quad \phi' \to \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \to \psi'$$

 Hoare triple derivable in all logicals models in which implications in side condition are valid if {φ} C {ψ} derivable in given logical model then {φ} C {ψ} valid in the model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Soundness of ${\mathcal H}$

- ▶ if {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} derivable in given logical model then {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} valid in the model
- if {φ} C {ψ} derivable from given set of assertions Γ
 then {φ} C {ψ} valid in all models in which Γ is valid

Soundness of ${\mathcal H}$

- ▶ if {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} derivable in given logical model then {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} valid in the model
- if {φ} C {ψ} derivable from given set of assertions Γ then {φ} C {ψ} valid in all models in which Γ is valid

inverse does not hold in general

Soundness of ${\mathcal H}$

- ▶ if {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} derivable in given logical model then {\$\phi\$} C {\$\psi\$} valid in the model
- if {φ} C {ψ} derivable from given set of assertions Γ then {φ} C {ψ} valid in all models in which Γ is valid
- inverse does not hold in general
- derivability depends on annotation with loop invariants, validity does not

{
$$n \ge 0$$
}
 $f := 1;$
 $i := 1;$
while $i \le n$ do { $f = fact(i - 1) \land i \le n + 1$ } {
 $f := f \times i$
 $i := i + 1$
}
{ $f = fact(n)$ }

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

- ► {n = 10} Fact {f = fact(n)} valid
- derivable from $\{n \ge 0\}$ Fact $\{f = fact(n)\}$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- ► {n = 10} Fact {f = fact(n)} valid
- derivable from $\{n \ge 0\}$ Fact $\{f = fact(n)\}$
- not derivable from $\{n \ge 0 \land n = n_0\}$ Fact $\{f = fact(n) \land n = n_0\}$

- ► {n = 10} Fact {f = fact(n)} valid
- derivable from $\{n \ge 0\}$ Fact $\{f = fact(n)\}$
- ▶ not derivable from {n ≥ 0 ∧ n = n₀} Fact {f = fact(n) ∧ n = n₀} none of the implications in side conditions is valid

- ► {n = 10} Fact {f = fact(n)} valid
- derivable from $\{n \ge 0\}$ Fact $\{f = fact(n)\}$
- ▶ not derivable from {n ≥ 0 ∧ n = n₀} Fact {f = fact(n) ∧ n = n₀} none of the implications in side conditions is valid
- more complicated inference rule for 'instantiating a Hoare triple' with auxiliary variables

- ► {n = 10} Fact {f = fact(n)} valid
- derivable from $\{n \ge 0\}$ Fact $\{f = fact(n)\}$
- ▶ not derivable from {n ≥ 0 ∧ n = n₀} Fact {f = fact(n) ∧ n = n₀} none of the implications in side conditions is valid
- more complicated inference rule for 'instantiating a Hoare triple' with auxiliary variables
- in practice, we will need adaptation only for procedure contracts which we will introduce later