Formal Methods for Java

Lecture 10: Java Pathfinder and Design By Contract

Jochen Hoenicke

Software Engineering
Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg

Nov 23, 2012

Testing Programs with Java Pathfinder

Java Pathfinder on an example

JPF *.. the swiss army knife of Java™ verification*

http://babelfish.arc.nasa.gov/trac/jpf/wiki

- Java Pathfinder is extendable
- There are several extensions already:
  `http://babelfish.arc.nasa.gov/trac/jpf/wiki/projects/start`
- We take a closer look into jpf-aprop.

What is jpf-aprop?

The jpf-aprop project contains Java annotation based program property specifications, together with corresponding listeners to check them.

- Uses Java annotations, see JDK 1.5.
- Property Specification similar to JML
- JSR-305 and JSR-308 proposals
- To check them, listeners need to be added to jpf config.

Annotations

Annotations in Java use prefix @
They can be added as modifier to class, field, and method definitions.

- @Nonnull – check for null values
- @Const – check for object modifications
- @SandBox – check for modifications
- @GuardedBy – lock policy specifications
- @NonShared – check for concurrent use
- @Requires, @Ensures and @Invariant – Design by Contract
- @Sequence,@SequenceEvent,@SequenceMethod,@SequenceObject – automatic UML sequence diagram creation
- @Test – in-source method test specifications
- @Confined, @Region – check that references do not leave regions.

Design By Contract

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\textit{Contract} & ::= & \textit{Contract LogicOp Contract} \mid \textit{Term RelOp Term} \\
& \mid & \textit{Term } \texttt{instanceof } \textit{ID} \mid \textit{Term } \texttt{matches } \textit{String} \\
& \mid & \textit{Term } \texttt{isEmpty} \mid \textit{Term } \texttt{notEmpty} \\
& \mid & \textit{Term } \texttt{within } \textit{Term} \texttt{ +- } \textit{Term} \mid \textit{Term } \texttt{within } \textit{Term} \texttt{ , } \textit{Term} \\
& \mid & \textit{Term } \texttt{satisfies } \textit{Property} \\
\textit{Term} & ::= & \textit{Term BinOp Term} \mid \textit{Function}(\textit{Term}^*) \mid \texttt{old}(\textit{Term}) \\
& \mid & \textit{String} \mid \textit{Number} \mid \textit{Var} \mid \texttt{null} \mid \textit{EPS} \mid \texttt{return} \\
\textit{LogicOp} & ::= & \texttt{\&\&} \mid \texttt{||} \\
\textit{RelOp} & ::= & \texttt{==} \mid \texttt{!=} \mid \texttt{<} \mid \texttt{<=} \mid \texttt{>} \mid \texttt{>=} \\
\textit{BinOp} & ::= & \texttt{+} \mid \texttt{-} \mid \texttt{*} \mid \texttt{/} \mid \texttt{\^{}} \\
\textit{Predicate} & ::= & \textit{ID} \mid \textit{ID}(\textit{Term}^*) \\
\textit{Function} & ::= & \textit{ID} \mid \texttt{log} \mid \texttt{log10} \\
\textit{Var} & ::= & \textit{ID}
\end{array}
$$

Example

```
@Invariant({"numElems > 0",
            "elems satisfies Heap$IsSorted(numElems)"})
public class Heap implements PriorityQueue {
    private @Nonnull Comparable[] elems;
    private int numElems;

    static class IsSorted implements Predicate {
     @SandBox
        public String evaluate (Object testObj, Object[] args) {
            Comparable[] elems = (Comparable[]) testObj;
            int numElems = (Integer) args[0];
            for (int i = 0; i < numElems; i++) {
                if (2*i+1 < numElems
                    && elems[i].compareTo(elems[2*i+1]) > 0)
                    return "not sorted";
                if (2*i+2 < numElems
                    && elems[i].compareTo(elems[2*i+2]) > 0)
                    return "not sorted";
            }
            return null;
        }
    }
```

Limitations of jpf-aprop

- The syntax for predicates is very restricted.
- The syntax feels adhoc, e.g. `a within b +- 2`.
- Syntax check is done at run-time.
- Cannot express `numElems <= elems.length` (yet).
- No check for typos in identifiers.
- Surprising results: `true == false` holds.
- Many things not implemented, e.g. functions (but no warning).

Demo

Combining JML and Java Pathfinder

Pathfinder:

- $+$ Exhaustive model-checking.
- $+$ Exact simulation of VM.
- $+$ Can run any Java code.
- $-$ No good Design By Contract specifications.

JML Runtime Assertion Checker:

- $+$ Good Design by Contract Syntax.
- $+$ Many features checkable at run time.
- $-$ Can only find bugs at runtime.
- $-$ Test cases have to be explicitly written.

Can we combine both programs?

Can we combine both programs? Yes!

Compiling:

- Set classpath to include Java Pathfinder runtime.
- Compile classes with jmlc.
- One can change compiler in ant script.

Running:

- Set classpath to include JML runtime and JML model classes.
- Classpath can be changed in Java Pathfinder script.

Demo

Conclusion

- Design by Contract with jpf-aprop is a good idea
  … but it does not work.
- JML can be run inside of Java Pathfinder
  … and it works!