

# Formal Methods for Java

## Lecture 20: Sequent Calculus

Jochen Hoenicke



Software Engineering  
Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg

January 15, 2013

# Runtime vs. Static Checking

## Runtime Checking

- finds bugs at run-time,
- tests for violation during execution,
- can check most of the JML,
- is done by `jmlrac`.

## Static Checking

- finds bugs at compile-time,
- proves that there is no violation,
- can check only parts of the JML,
- is done by `ESC/Java` or Jahob.

- Developed at University of Karlsruhe
- <http://www.key-project.org/>.
- Interactive Theorem Prover
- Theory specialized for Java(Card).
- Can generate proof-obligations from JML specification.
- Underlying theory: Sequent Calculus + Dynamic Logic
- Proofs are given manually.

# Sequent Calculus

## Definition (Sequent)

A sequent is a formula

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \Longrightarrow \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m$$

where  $\phi_i, \psi_i$  are formulae.

The meaning of this formula is:

$$\phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n \rightarrow \psi_1 \vee \dots \vee \psi_m$$

Why are sequents useful?

Simple syntax and nice calculus

## Example for Sequents

$$q = y/x, r = y \% x \implies x = 0, y = q * x + r$$

It is logically equivalent to the formula:

$$q = y/x \wedge r = y \% x \rightarrow x = 0 \vee y = q * x + r$$

This is equivalent to the sequent

$$\implies q = y/x \wedge r = y \% x \rightarrow x = 0 \vee y = q * x + r$$

Another equivalent sequent is:

$$x \neq 0, q = y/x, r = y \% x \implies y = q * x + r$$

# The Empty Sequent

What is the meaning of the following sequent?

$\Rightarrow$

This is equivalent to

**true  $\Rightarrow$  false**

which is **false**.

# Sequent Calculus

To prove a **goal** (a formula) with sequent calculus:

- Start with the goal at the bottom
- Use rules to derive formulas, s.t. formulas are sufficient to prove the goal, formulas are simpler.
- A proof node can be closed if it holds trivially.

# A Rule of Sequent Calculus

$$\text{Rule impl-right: } \frac{\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

This rule is sound:

$$\Gamma \wedge \phi \rightarrow \Delta \vee \psi$$

implies

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \vee (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$$

Here  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  stand for an arbitrary set of formulae. We abstract from order: rule is also applicable if  $\phi \rightarrow \psi$  occur in the middle of the right-hand side, e.g.:

$$\frac{\chi_1, \phi \Longrightarrow \chi_2, \psi, \chi_3}{\chi_1 \Longrightarrow \chi_2, \phi \rightarrow \psi, \chi_3}$$

# A Sequent Calculus Proof

Axiom **close**:  $\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi$

Rule **impl-right**: 
$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

Rule **and-left**: 
$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi, \psi \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \phi \wedge \psi \Longrightarrow \Delta}$$

Rule **and-right**: 
$$\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \quad \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \wedge \psi}$$

Let's prove that  $\wedge$  commutes:  $\phi \wedge \psi \rightarrow \psi \wedge \phi$ .

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\phi, \psi \Longrightarrow \psi}}{\text{close}} \quad \frac{\overline{\phi, \psi \Longrightarrow \phi}}{\text{close}}}{\text{and-right}} \quad \frac{\phi, \psi \Longrightarrow \psi \wedge \phi}{\text{and-left}}}{\frac{\phi \wedge \psi \Longrightarrow \psi \wedge \phi}{\text{impl-right}}} \Longrightarrow \phi \wedge \psi \rightarrow \psi \wedge \phi$$

# Sequent Calculus Logical Rules

close:  $\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi$

false:  $\Gamma, \mathbf{false} \Longrightarrow \Delta$

not-left: 
$$\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi}{\Gamma, \neg\phi \Longrightarrow \Delta}$$

and-left: 
$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi, \psi \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \phi \wedge \psi \Longrightarrow \Delta}$$

or-left: 
$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \psi \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \phi \vee \psi \Longrightarrow \Delta}$$

impl-left: 
$$\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \quad \Gamma, \psi \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \phi \rightarrow \psi \Longrightarrow \Delta}$$

true:  $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \mathbf{true}$

not-right: 
$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \neg\phi}$$

and-right: 
$$\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \quad \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \wedge \psi}$$

or-right: 
$$\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi, \psi}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \vee \psi}$$

impl-right: 
$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta, \psi}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

# Sequent Calculus All-Quantifier

all-left:  $\frac{\Gamma, \forall X \phi(X), \phi(t) \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall X \phi(X) \Longrightarrow \Delta}$ , where  $t$  is some arbitrary term.

This is sound because  $\forall X \phi(X)$  implies  $\phi(t)$ .

all-right:  $\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi(x_0)}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \forall X \phi(X)}$ , where  $x_0$  is a fresh identifier.

$x_0$  is called a Skolem constant.

# Sequent Calculus Quantifier

The rules for the existential quantifier are dual:

**all-left:**  $\frac{\Gamma, \forall X \phi(X), \phi(t) \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall X \phi(X) \Longrightarrow \Delta}$ , where  $t$  is some arbitrary term.

**all-right:**  $\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi(x_0)}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \forall X \phi(X)}$ , where  $x_0$  is a fresh identifier.

**exists-left:**  $\frac{\Gamma, \phi(x_0) \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \exists X \phi(X) \Longrightarrow \Delta}$ , where  $x_0$  is a fresh identifier.

**exists-right:**  $\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \exists X \phi(X), \phi(t)}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \exists X \phi(X)}$ , where  $t$  is some arbitrary term.

## Example: $(\forall X \phi(X)) \vee (\exists X \neg \phi(X))$

close:  $\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi$    not-right:  $\frac{\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \neg \phi}$    or-right:  $\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi, \psi}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi \vee \psi}$

all-right:  $\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi(x_0)}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \forall X \phi(X)}$ ,   where  $x_0$  is a fresh identifier.

exists-right:  $\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \exists X \phi(X), \phi(t)}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \exists X \phi(X)}$ ,   where  $t$  is some arbitrary term.

Let's prove  $(\forall X \phi(X)) \vee (\exists X \neg \phi(X))$ .

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \overline{\phi(x_0) \Longrightarrow \phi(x_0), \exists X \neg \phi(X)} \text{ close} \\
 \overline{\Longrightarrow \phi(x_0), \exists X \neg \phi(X), \neg \phi(x_0)} \text{ not-right} \\
 \overline{\Longrightarrow \phi(x_0), \exists X \neg \phi(X)} \text{ exists-right} \\
 \overline{\Longrightarrow \forall X \phi(X), \exists X \neg \phi(X)} \text{ all-right} \\
 \overline{\Longrightarrow \forall X \phi(X) \vee \exists X \neg \phi(X)} \text{ or-right}
 \end{array}$$

## Rules for equality

eq-close:  $\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, t = t$

apply-eq:  $\frac{s = t, \Gamma[t/s] \Longrightarrow \Delta[t/s]}{s = t, \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta}$

These rules suffice to prove  $x = y \Longrightarrow y = x$  and  $x = y, y = z \Longrightarrow x = z$ .

$$\frac{\overline{x = y \Longrightarrow x = x} \text{ eq-close}}{x = y \Longrightarrow y = x} \text{ apply-eq}$$
$$\frac{\overline{x = y, y = z \Longrightarrow y = z} \text{ close}}{x = y, y = z \Longrightarrow x = z} \text{ apply-eq}$$

## Theorem (Soundness and Completeness)

*The sequent calculus with the rules presented on the previous three slides is **sound** and **complete***

- **Soundness**: Only true facts can be proven with the calculus.
- **Completeness**: Every true fact can be proven with the calculus.

## Definition (Signature)

A **signature**  $Sig = (Func, Pred)$  is a tuple of sets of function and predicate symbols, where

- $f/k \in Func$  if  $f$  is a function symbol with  $k$  parameters,
- $p/k \in Pred$  if  $p$  is a predicate symbol with  $k$  parameters.

A constant  $c/0 \in Func$  is a function without parameters. We assume there are infinitely many constants.

## Definition (Structure)

A **structure**  $\mathcal{M}$  is a tuple  $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I})$ . The **domain**  $\mathcal{D}$  is an arbitrary non-empty set. The **interpretation**  $\mathcal{I}$  assigns to

- each function symbol  $f/k \in Func$  of arity  $k$  a function

$$\mathcal{I}(f) : \mathcal{D}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

- and each predicate symbol  $p/k \in Pred$  of arity  $k$  a function

$$\mathcal{I}(p) : \mathcal{D}^k \rightarrow \{\mathbf{true}, \mathbf{false}\}.$$

The interpretation  $\mathcal{I}(c)$  of a constant  $c/0 \in Func$  is an element of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $c$  a constant and  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . With  $\mathcal{M}[c := d]$  we denote the structure  $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I}')$ , where  $\mathcal{I}'(c) = d$  and  $\mathcal{I}'(f) = \mathcal{I}(f)$  for all other function symbols  $f$  and  $\mathcal{I}'(p) = \mathcal{I}(p)$  for all predicate symbols  $p$ .

# Semantics of Terms and Formulas

Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I})$  be a structure.

The semantics  $\mathcal{M}[[t]]$  of a term  $t$  is defined inductively by

$$\mathcal{M}[[f(t_1, \dots, t_k)]] = \mathcal{I}(f)(\mathcal{M}[[t_1]], \dots, \mathcal{M}[[t_k]]), \text{ in particular } \mathcal{M}[[c]] = \mathcal{I}(c).$$

The semantics of formula  $\phi$ ,  $\mathcal{M}[[\phi]] \in \{\mathbf{true}, \mathbf{false}\}$ , is defined by

- $\mathcal{M}[[p(t_1, \dots, t_k)]] = \mathcal{I}(p)(\mathcal{M}[[t_1]], \dots, \mathcal{M}[[t_k]])$ .
- $\mathcal{M}[[s = t]] = \mathbf{true}$ , iff  $\mathcal{M}[[s]] = \mathcal{M}[[t]]$ .
- $\mathcal{M}[[\phi \wedge \psi]] = \begin{cases} \mathbf{true} & \text{if } \mathcal{M}[[\phi]] = \mathbf{true} \text{ and } \mathcal{M}[[\psi]] = \mathbf{true}, \\ \mathbf{false} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- $\mathcal{M}[[\phi \vee \psi]]$ ,  $\mathcal{M}[[\phi \rightarrow \psi]]$ , and  $\mathcal{M}[[\neg\phi]]$ , analogously.
- $\mathcal{M}[[\forall X \phi(X)]] = \mathbf{true}$ , iff for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ :  $\mathcal{M}[x_0 := d][[\phi(x_0)]] = \mathbf{true}$ , where  $x_0$  is a constant not occurring in  $\phi$ .
- $\mathcal{M}[[\exists X \phi(X)]] = \mathbf{true}$ , iff there is some  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $\mathcal{M}[x_0 := d][[\phi(x_0)]] = \mathbf{true}$ , where  $x_0$  is a constant not occurring in  $\phi$ .

## Definition (Model)

A structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is a **model** of a sequent  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \implies \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m$  if  $\mathcal{M}[\phi_i] = \mathbf{false}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , or if  $\mathcal{M}[\psi_j] = \mathbf{true}$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . We say that the sequent **holds in**  $\mathcal{M}$ .

A sequent  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \implies \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m$  is a **tautology**, if all structures are models of this sequent.

## Definition (Soundness)

A calculus is sound, iff every formula  $F$  for which a proof exists is a tautology.

- We write  $\vdash F$  to indicate that a proof for  $F$  exists.
- We write  $\models F$  to indicate that  $F$  is a tautology.