### Formal Methods for Java

### Lecture 24: Proving Loops with KeY

#### Jochen Hoenicke



January 29, 2013



- Theorem Prover
- Developed at University of Karlsruhe
- http://www.key-project.org/.
- Theory specialized for Java(Card).
- Can generate proof-obligations from JML specification.
- Underlying theory: Sequent Calculus + Dynamic Logic

# Rigid vs. Non-Rigid Functions vs. Variables

### KeY distinguishes the following symbols:

- Rigid Functions: These are functions that do not depend on the current state of the program.
  - ullet +, -, st : integer imes integer imes integer (mathematical operations)
  - ullet 0, 1, . . . : integer, TRUE, FALSE : boolean (mathematical constants)
- Non-Rigid Functions: These are functions that depend on current state.
  - $\cdot [\cdot] : \top \times int \rightarrow \top$  (array access)
  - .next :  $\top \to \top$  if next is a field of a class.
  - i, j : T if i, j are program variables.
- Variables: These are logical variables that can be quantified.
   Variables may not appear in programs.
  - x, y, z

# Example

$$\forall x. i = x \rightarrow \langle \{ while (i > 0) \{ i = i - 1; \} \} \rangle i = 0$$

- 0,1,— are rigid functions.
- > is a rigid relation.
- i is a non-rigid function.
- x is a logical variable.

Quantification over i is not allowed and x must not appear in a program.

### **Builtin Rigid Functions**

- +,-,\*,/,%,jdiv,jmod: operations on *integer*.
- $\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ , TRUE, FALSE, null: constants.
- (A) for any type A: cast function.
- A:: get gives the n-th object of type A.

# Updates in KeY

The formula  $\langle \mathbf{i} = t; \alpha \rangle \phi$  is rewritten to

$$\{i := t\} \langle \alpha \rangle \phi$$

Formula  $\{i:=t\}\phi$  is true, iff  $\phi$  holds in a state, where the program variable i has the value denoted by the term t.

#### Here:

- i is a program variable (non-rigid function).
- t is a term (may contain logical variables).
- ullet  $\phi$  a formula

# Simplifying Updates

If  $\phi$  contains no modalities, then  $\{x := t\} \phi$  is rewritten to  $\phi[t/x]$ .

A double update  $\{x_1:=t_1,x_2:=t_2\}\{x_1:=t_1',x_3:=t_3'\}\phi$  is automatically rewritten to

$${x_1 := t_1'[t_1/x_1, t_2/x_2], x_2 := t_2, x_3 := t_3'[t_1/x_1, t_2/x_2]}\phi$$

# Example: $\langle \{i = j; j = i + 1\} \rangle i = j$

$$\langle \{i = j; j = i + 1\} \rangle i = j$$
  
 $\equiv \{i := j\} \{j := i + 1\} i = j$   
 $\equiv \{i := j, j := j + 1\} i = j$   
 $\equiv j = j + 1$   
 $\equiv false$ 

or alternatively

$$\langle \{i = j; j = i + 1\} \rangle i = j$$
  
 $\equiv \{i := j\} \{j := i + 1\} i = j$   
 $\equiv \{i := j\} i = i + 1$   
 $\equiv j = j + 1$   
 $\equiv false$ 

# Rules for Java Dynamic Logic

- $\langle \{i = j; ...\} \rangle \phi$  is rewritten to:  $\{i := j\} \langle \{...\} \rangle \phi$ .
- $\langle \{i = j + k; ...\} \rangle \phi$  is rewritten to:  $\{i := j + k\} \langle \{...\} \rangle \phi$ .
- $\langle \{i = j + +; ...\} \rangle \phi$  is rewritten to:  $\langle \{\text{int } j\_0; j\_0 = j; j = j + 1; i = j\_0; ...} \rangle \phi$ .
- $\langle \{\text{int } \mathbf{k}; ...\} \rangle \phi$  is rewritten to:  $\langle \{...\} \rangle \phi$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  is added as new program variable.

# Rules for Java Dynamic Logic (if statements)

- $\langle \{ \text{if } (i < j)s_1 \text{ else } s_2; ... \} \rangle \phi \text{ is rewritten to:}$ \if  $i < j \setminus \{ s_1 \}; ... \rangle \phi \setminus \{ s_2 \}; ... \rangle \phi.$
- \if ... \then ... \else ... is a logical operator with the following sequent calculus rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi, \psi_1 \Longrightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \psi_2 \Longrightarrow \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma, \backslash \text{if } \phi \ \backslash \text{then } \psi_1 \ \backslash \text{else } \psi_2 \Longrightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \phi \Longrightarrow \psi_1, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Longrightarrow \phi, \psi_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \backslash \text{if } \phi \ \backslash \text{then } \psi_1 \ \backslash \text{else } \psi_2, \Delta}$$

The rule in KeY is really

$$\frac{\Gamma[\psi_1], \phi \Longrightarrow \Delta[\psi_1] \quad \Gamma[\psi_2] \Longrightarrow \phi, \Delta[\psi_2]}{\Gamma[\langle \text{if } \phi \mid \text{then } \psi_1 \mid \text{else } \psi_2] \Longrightarrow \Delta[\langle \text{if } \phi \mid \text{then } \psi_1 \mid \text{else } \psi_2],}$$

i. e., the if-then-else can be replaced in arbitrary sub-formulas.

### Demo

### Which formula is equivalent to

- $j = 3 \land k = 5 \rightarrow \langle i = j + k$ ; if  $(i < j) \ k = i$ ; else k = j;  $\rangle p(i, j, k)$ ? Answer:  $j = 3 \land k = 5 \rightarrow p(8, 3, 3)$
- $\langle i = j + k$ ; if (i < j) k = i; else k = j;  $\rangle p(i, j, k)$ ? Answer:  $\langle if \ k < 0 \ \rangle then p(j + k, j, j + k) \ else p(j + k, j, j)$

# Proving Programs with Loops

Given a simple loop:

$$\langle \{ \text{while}(n > 0) n--; \} \rangle n = 0$$

How can we prove that the loop terminates for all  $n \ge 0$  and that n = 0 holds in the final state?

# Method (1): Induction

To prove a property  $\phi(x)$  for all  $x \ge 0$  we can use induction:

- Show  $\phi(0)$ .
  - Show  $\phi(x) \Longrightarrow \phi(x+1)$  for all  $x \ge 0$ .

This proves that  $\forall x \ (x \ge 0 \to \phi(x))$  holds.

### The rule int induction

The KeY-System has the rule int\_induction

$$\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \phi(0) \quad \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta, \forall X (X \ge 0 \land \phi(X) \to \phi(X+1))}{\Gamma, \forall X (X \ge 0 \to \phi(X)) \Longrightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Delta$$

The three goals are:

- Base Case:  $\Longrightarrow \phi(0)$
- Step Case:  $\Longrightarrow \forall X(X \geq 0 \land \phi(X) \rightarrow \phi(X+1))$
- Use Case:  $\forall X(X \geq 0 \rightarrow \phi(X)) \Longrightarrow$

# Method(2): Loop Invariants with Variants

Induction proofs are very difficult to perform for a loop

$$\langle \{ \mathsf{while}(\mathit{COND}) \, \mathit{BODY}; \ldots \} \rangle \phi$$

The KeY-system supports special rules for while loops using invariants and variants.

### The rule while\_invariant\_with\_variant\_dec

The rule while\_invariant\_with\_variant\_dec takes an invariant *inv*, a modifies set  $\{m_1, \ldots, m_k\}$  and a variant v. The following cases must be proven.

- Initially Valid:  $\Longrightarrow inv \land v \ge 0$
- Body Preserves Invariant:

$$\Longrightarrow \{m_1 := x_1 \| \dots \| m_k := x_k\} (inv \land [\{b = COND;\}]b = true$$
  
 $\rightarrow \langle BODY \rangle inv$ 

Use Case:

$$\Longrightarrow \{m_1 := x_1 \| \dots \| m_k := x_k\} (\mathit{inv} \land [\{b = \mathit{COND};\}] b = \mathsf{false} \\ \rightarrow \langle \dots \rangle \phi$$

Termination:

$$\implies \{m_1 := x_1 \| \dots \| m_k := x_k \} (inv \land v \ge 0 \land [\{b = COND; \}] b = \mathbf{true}$$
$$\rightarrow \{old := v \} \langle BODY \rangle v \le old \land v \ge 0$$