Formal Methods for Java Lecture 28: Conclusion

Jochen Hoenicke



Software Engineering Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg

Feb 12, 2012

In KeY, the default rule is to inline the procedures. Advantages:

- No function contract needed.
- No separate proof for correctness of function needed.

But it has several disadvantages:

- Proof gets larger (especially important if proof is interactive).
- Proof has to be repeated for every function call.
- No recursive procedures possible.

The rule "Use Operation Contract" allows compositional proofs. It opens three subgoals:

- Pre: Show that pre-condition holds (this includes class invariants).
- Post: Show that with the post-condition, the remaining program is correct.
- Exceptional Post: Show that if called method throws an exception, the remaining program is correct.

Note: Use Operation Contract cannot be used for the method you are just proving.

Unfortunately, KeY has no direct support for recursive functions.

An induction proof can work. Ingredients:

- A precondition pre,
- A postcondition post,
- A ranking function *rank*.

Show by induction over r: \forall int x. (pre & rank < r) -> $\langle result = methodcall(x); \rangle post$

Lecture Summary

Topics

Lecture	Topics
1	Introduction to JML and JLS
2–3	Operational Semantics
4–5	JML
6–10	Java Pathfinder
11	ESC/Java
12-14	Ownership/Friendship and Invariants
15–19	Jahob
20-22	Sequent Calculus
23–28	Dynamic Logic and Proving with KeY

Motivations

Quality

- Leads to better understood code.
- Different view point reveals bugs.
- Formal proof can rule out bugs entirely.

Productivity

- Error detection in early stages of development.
- Modular specifications allow reuse of components.
- Documentation, maintenance.
- Automatic test case generation.
- Clearer specification leads to better software.

Operational Semantics for Java

Idea: define transition system for Java

Definition (Transition System)

A transition system (TS) is a structure $TS = (Q, Act, \rightarrow)$, where

- Q is a set of states,
- Act a set of actions,
- $\rightarrow \subseteq Q \times Act \times Q$ the transition relation.
- Q reflects the current dynamic state (heap and local variables).
- Act is the executed code.
- Idea from: D. v. Oheimb, T. Nipkow, Machine-checking the Java specification: Proving type-safety, 1999

The state of a Java program consists of a flow component and valuations for local and global (heap) variables.

- Q = Flow imes Heap imes Local
- Flow ::= Norm|Ret|Exc((Address))
- $Heap = Address \rightarrow Class \times seq Value$
- Local = Identifier \rightarrow Value
- Value = \mathbb{Z} , Address $\subseteq \mathbb{Z}$

A state is denoted as q = (flow, heap, lcl), where flow : Flow, heap : Heap and lcl : Local.

Rules of Operational Semantics

$$\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{(\textit{Norm, heap, lcl}) \xrightarrow{e_1 \triangleright v_1} q \quad q \xrightarrow{e_2 \triangleright v_2} q'}_{(\textit{Norm, heap, lcl}) \xrightarrow{e_1 \ast e_2 \triangleright (v_1 \cdot v_2) \mod 2^{32}} q'} \\ \\ \underbrace{(\textit{Norm, heap, lcl}) \xrightarrow{st_1} q \quad q \xrightarrow{st_2} q'}_{(\textit{Norm, heap, lcl}) \xrightarrow{st_1; st_2} q'} \\ \\ \\ \underbrace{(\textit{Norm, heap, lcl}) \xrightarrow{e \triangleright v} q \quad q \xrightarrow{bl_1} q'}_{(\textit{Norm, heap, lcl}) \xrightarrow{if(e) bl_1 elsebl_2} q'}, \text{ where } v \neq 0 \end{array}$$

... and many more.

Rules for Exceptions

$$\frac{(Norm, heap, lcl) \xrightarrow{e \triangleright v} (Norm, heap', lcl')}{(Norm, heap, lcl) \xrightarrow{\text{throw } e} (Exc(v), heap', lcl')}$$

A null-pointer dereference works like a throw statement:

$$(Norm, heap, lcl) \xrightarrow{e \triangleright 0} q'$$

$$q' \xrightarrow{\text{throw new NullPointerException}()} q'', \text{ where } v \text{ is some arbitrary value}$$

$$(Norm, heap, lcl) \xrightarrow{e.fld \triangleright v} q''$$

Propagating exceptions:

(flow, heap, lcl)
$$\xrightarrow{\alpha}$$
 (flow, heap, lcl), where flow \neq Norm

JML



JML is a behavioral interface specification language (BISL) for Java

- Proposed by G. Leavens, A. Baker, C. Ruby: JML: A Notation for Detailed Design, 1999
- It combines ideas from two approaches:
 - Eiffel with it's built-in language for Design by Contract (DBC)
 - Larch/C++ a BISL for C++

- http://www.jmlspecs.org/
- Release can be downloaded from http://sourceforge.net/projects/jmlspecs/files
- JML compiler (jmlc)
- JML runtime assertion checker (jmlrac)

External Tools:

- ESC/Java
- KeY
- and many more ...

Advantages of run-time checking:

- Easy to use.
- Supports a large sub-language of JML.
- No false warnings.

Disadvantages of run-time checking:

- Coverage only as good as test cases that are used.
- Does not prove absence of errors.

Advantages of model-checking:

- Almost as easy as testing.
- More exhaustive than simple testing.

Disadvantages of model-checking:

- State explosion problem.
- Runtime vs. coverage.

Advantages of static checking:

- Easy to use.
- No test cases needed.
- Better coverage than runtime checking.
- Can detect missing specification.

Disadvantages of static checking:

- Only a small subset of JML supported.
- Many spurious warnings (not complete).

Advantages of static checking:

- Prove of correctness.
- Both sound and complete (modulo Peano Axioms).

Disadvantages of static checking:

- Very difficult to use.
- Can require interactive proving.

- Run-time checking, e.g. jmlrac and jmlunit.
- Static checking, e.g. ESC/Java.
- Model-checking, e.g. Java Pathfinder
- Theorem proving, e.g. KeY.

Ensures that most bugs are already found before starting with theorem proving. Some prefer doing static checking before run-time checking (no test cases needed).