



J. Hoenicke
T. Schindler

08.11.2016
submit until 15.11.2016, 14:15

Tutorials for Decision Procedures Exercise sheet 4

Exercise 1: Prenex Normal Form

Transform the following formula into prenex normal form:

$$F : \left(\exists z. \left((\forall x. q(x, z)) \rightarrow p(x, g(y), z) \right) \right) \wedge \neg \left(\forall z. \neg (\exists x. q(f(x, y), z)) \right)$$

Exercise 2: Induction in T_{PA}

Prove the T_{PA} -validity of the following formula using the semantic tableaux. Write down each proof step explicitly. Besides introducing axioms, you are allowed to introduce formulae that you have previously proven as T_{PA} -valid. Note, that you may *not* assume commutativity, associativity, etc. Only use the Peano-axioms and the axioms from T_E . You need the induction axiom.

$$F : \forall x. 0 + x = x$$

Exercise 3: Integer Arithmetic

Consider the $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -formula $F : \exists x. \forall y. \neg(y + 1 = x)$.

- Convert F into an equisatisfiable $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula G .
- Prove unsatisfiability of G using the semantic tableaux method. You may assume that associativity and commutativity of addition hold.
- Prove validity of the $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula $\exists x. \forall y. \neg(y + 1 = x)$.