



J. Hoenicke
T. Schindler

15.11.2016
submit until 22.11.2016, 14:15

Tutorials for Decision Procedures Exercise sheet 5

Exercise 1: Semantic Argument in $T_{\mathbb{R}}$

Show the $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ -validity of the following formula using the semantic argument.

$$\forall x. x \cdot x \geq 0$$

Write down every step explicitly. Besides introducing axioms, you are allowed to introduce formulae that you have previously proven as $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ -valid. Additionally, you may use the following derived facts without proving them:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x. 0 \geq x &\rightarrow -x \geq 0 \\ \forall x. (-x) \cdot (-x) &= x \cdot x\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2: $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ vs. $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ vs. $T_{\mathbb{R}}$

Show validity of the following formula in each of the three theories $T_{\mathbb{N}}$, $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ using semantic tableaux.

$$\neg(1 + 1 = 0)$$

Exercise 3: Semantic Argument in Theories

Argue the validity of the following formulae in the combination of the theories $T_{\mathbb{E}}$, $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$, T_{cons} , and $T_{\mathbb{A}}$. You can use all axioms of these four theories. You can use abbreviations as in the slides or the book for introducing theory axioms.

- (a) $f(x + y) \neq f(x) \rightarrow y \neq 0$
- (b) $z = \text{cons}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{car}(z) = x$
- (c) $a\langle i \triangleleft a[i] \rangle[j] = a[j]$
- (d) $(\forall x. f(f(x)) = x + 2) \wedge f(0) = 5 \rightarrow f(2) = 7$