# Software Design, Modelling and Analysis in UML Lecture 11: Core State Machines I

#### 2016-12-08

Prof. Dr. Andreas Podelski, Dr. Bernd Westphal

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

## Content

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - main -

- Recall: Basic Causality Model
- Event Pool
- insert, remove, clear, ready.
- System Configuration
- -(• implicit attributes: stable, st, and friends.
- └ system state plus event pool

#### • Actions

- —• simple action language.
- transformer: effects of actions.







- 11 - 2016-12-08 - main -

Ether

#### Recall: 15.3.12 StateMachine (OMG, 2011b, 563)

• The order of dequeuing is **not defined**, leaving open the possibility of modeling different(priority-based)schemes.

7/34





The standard distinguishes (among others)

• SignalEvent (OMG, 2011b, 450) and Reception (OMG, 2011b, 447).

#### On SignalEvents, it says

- 2016-12-08 - Sether

Sether

- 11 - 2016-12-08 -

A signal event represents the receipt of an asynchronous signal instance. A signal event may, for example, cause a state machine to trigger a transition. (OMG, 2011b, 449) [...]

#### **Semantic Variation Points**

The means by which requests are transported to their target depend on the type of requesting action, the target, the properties of the communication medium, and numerous other factors.

In some cases, this is instantaneous and completely reliable while in others it may involve transmission delays of variable duration, loss of requests, reordering, or duplication.

(See also the discussion on page 421.) (OMG, 2011b, 450)

Our ether ( $\rightarrow$  in a minute) is a general representation of many possible choices.

Often seen minimal requirement: order of sending by one object is preserved.

## Ether aka. Event Pool

**Definition.** Let  $\mathscr{S} = (\mathscr{T}, \mathscr{C}, V, atr, \mathscr{E})$  be a signature with signals and  $\mathscr{D}$  a structure. We call a tuple  $(Eth, ready, \oplus, \ominus, [\cdot])$  an ether over  $\mathscr{S}$  and  $\mathscr{D}$  if and only if it provides for an event for an event for a given object, i.e. ready operation which yields a set of events (i.e., signal instances) that are ready for a given object, i.e.  $ready : Eth \times \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{C}) \to 2^{\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E})}$ • a operation to insert an event for a given object, i.e.  $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}) \to Eth$ • a operation to remove an event, i.e.  $\Theta : Eth \times \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}) \to Eth$ • an operation to clear the ether for a given object, i.e.  $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathfrak{D}(\mathscr{E}) \to Eth$ 

**9**/34

#### Example: FIFO Queue

A (single, global, shared, reliable) FIFO queue is an ether:

•  $Eth = (\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))^{*}$   $the set of finite sequences of pairs <math>(u_1e) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ •  $ready : Eth \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \to 2^{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})}$   $(\varepsilon_1, u_2) \mapsto \begin{cases} \{(u_2, e)\}, & \text{if } \varepsilon = (u_2, e), \varepsilon' \\ \mathcal{A} & , & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ •  $\oplus : Eth \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \to Eth$   $(\varepsilon, u, e) \mapsto \varepsilon. (u, e)$ •  $\oplus : Eth \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \to Eth$   $(\varepsilon_1e) \mapsto \begin{cases} \varepsilon' \\ \varepsilon \end{cases}, & \text{if } \varepsilon = (u, e), \varepsilon', & u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \\ (\varepsilon, e) \mapsto \langle \varepsilon \rangle \\ \varepsilon \rangle \end{cases}, & \text{otherwise}$ •  $[\cdot] : Eth \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \to Eth$   $[\cdot] : Eth \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \to Eth$   $[\cdot] (\varepsilon, u_2) :$ remove all (u, e) elements from the given  $\varepsilon$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ 

- 2016-12-08 - Sethe

2016-12-08 - Sether

# Other Examples

- One FIFO queue per active object is an ether.  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}))^{\texttt{K}}$
- One-place buffer.

 $E\mathcal{H} = \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \left( \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C} \right) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ 

• Priority queue.

• ...

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Sether -

e.)

• Multi-queues (one per sender).

..,

• Trivial example: sink, "black hole".

• Lossy queue ( $\oplus$  needs to become a relation then).

**11**/34

System Configuration

## System Configuration



System Configuration: Example



## System Configuration Step-by-Step

- We start with some signature with signals  $\mathscr{S}_0 = (\mathscr{T}_0, \mathscr{C}_0, V_0, atr_0, \mathscr{E}).$
- A system configuration is a pair (σ, ε) which comprises a system state σ wrt. S (not wrt. S<sub>0</sub>).
- Such a system state  $\sigma$  wrt.  $\mathscr{S}$  provides, for each object  $u \in dom(\sigma)$ ,
  - values for the explicit attributes in V<sub>0</sub>,
  - values for a number of implicit attributes, namely
    - a stability flag, i.e.  $\sigma(u)(stable)$  is a boolean value,
    - a current (state machine) state, i.e.  $\sigma(u)(st)$  denotes one of the states of core state machine  $M_C$ ,
    - a temporary association to access event parameters for each class, i.e.  $\sigma(u)(params_E)$  is defined for each  $E \in \mathscr{E}$ .

• For convenience require: there is no link to an event except for params<sub>E</sub>.

**15**/34

#### Stability

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Sstmscnf

**Definition.** Let  $(\sigma, \varepsilon)$  be a system configuration over some  $\mathscr{S}_0, \mathscr{D}_0, Eth$ . We call an object  $u \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cap \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{C}_0)$  stable in  $\sigma$  if and only if  $\sigma(u)(stable) = |\mathsf{true}|. \mathcal{I}$ 

And unstable otherarise,







#### Recall

• The (simplified) syntax of transition annotations:

```
annot ::= \begin{bmatrix} \langle event \rangle & [']' \langle guard \rangle ']' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} '/' \langle action \rangle \end{bmatrix}
```

- Clear:  $\langle event \rangle$  is from  $\mathscr{E}$  of the corresponding signature.
- But: What are  $\langle guard \rangle$  and  $\langle action \rangle$ ?
  - UML can be viewed as being parameterized in expression language (providing  $\langle guard \rangle$ ) and action language (providing  $\langle action \rangle$ ).
  - Examples:
    - Expression Language:
      - OCL
      - Java, C++, ... expressions
      - ...
    - Action Language:
      - UML Action Semantics, "Executable UML"
      - Java, C++, ... statements (plus some event send action)
    - ...

2016-12-08 - Strafo

**19**/34

#### Needed: Semantics

and that we're given

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Strafo -

• a semantics for boolean expressions in form of a partial function

$$\underbrace{I}_{\operatorname{Fr}}^{\mathbb{G}} [ (\cdot, \cdot) : Expr \times \Sigma^{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathscr{S}} \times \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{P}} \mathbb{B}$$

which evaluates expressions in a given system configuration,

Assuming I to be partial is a way to treat "undefined" during runtime. If I is not defined (for instance because of dangling-reference navigation or division-by-zero), we want to go to a designated "error" system configuration.

• a transformer for each action: for each  $act \in Act$ , we assume to have

$$t_{act} \subseteq \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{C}) \times (\Sigma_{\mathscr{S}}^{\mathscr{D}} \times Eth) \times (\Sigma_{\mathscr{S}}^{\mathscr{D}} \times Eth)$$

Veeded: Semantics $O(L^{\circ})$  $\square \mathbb{E} e_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{I}(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}} u) :=$ In the following, we assume that we're given $(\sigma, u) :=$  $(\sigma, u) :=$ • an expression language Expr for guards, and $(\sigma, u) :=$  $(\sigma, u) :=$ < undefined, otherise

# Transformer

Definition.

Let  $\Sigma^{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathscr{S}}$  the set of system configurations over some  $\mathscr{S}_0, \mathscr{D}_0, Eth$ .

We call a relation

$$t \subseteq \left( \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{C}) \times (\Sigma_{\mathscr{S}}^{\mathscr{D}} \times Eth) \right) \times (\Sigma_{\mathscr{S}}^{\mathscr{D}} \times Eth)$$

a (system configuration) transformer.

#### Example:

11 - 2016-12-08 - Strafo

- $t[u_x](\sigma,\varepsilon) \subseteq \Sigma^{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathscr{S}} \times Eth$  is
  - the set (!) of the system configurations
  - which may result from object  $u_x$
  - **executing** transformer *t*.
- $t_{skip}[u_x](\sigma,\varepsilon) = \{(\sigma,\varepsilon)\}$
- $t_{\text{create}}[u_x](\sigma,\varepsilon)$ : add a previously non-alive object to  $\sigma$  (i.e. non-def. chosen)

**21**/34

#### **Observations**

- In the following, we assume that
  - each application of a transformer t
  - to some system configuration  $(\sigma, \varepsilon)$
  - for object  $u_x$
  - is associated with a set of observations

$$Obs_t[u_x](\sigma,\varepsilon) \in 2^{(\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}) \,\,\dot\cup\,\,\{*,+\}) \times \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{C})}.$$

An observation

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Strafo

 $(u_e, u_{dst}) \in Obs_t[u_x](\sigma, \varepsilon)$ 

represents the information that, as a "side effect" of object  $u_x$  executing t in system configuration  $(\sigma, \varepsilon)$ , the event  $u_e$  has been sent to  $u_{dst}$ .

Special cases: creation ('\*') / destruction ('+').

#### In the following we use

 $Act_{\mathscr{S}} = \{\texttt{skip}\}$ 

 $\cup \left\{ \texttt{update}(expr_1, v, expr_2) \mid expr_1, expr_2 \in Expr_{\mathscr{S}}, v \in atr \right\}$ 

 $\cup \left\{\texttt{send}(E(expr_1,...,expr_n),expr_{dst}) \mid expr_i,expr_{dst} \in Expr_{\mathscr{S}}, E \in \mathscr{E} \right\}$ 

 $\cup \left\{ \texttt{create}(C, expr, v) \mid C \in \mathscr{C}, expr \in Expr_{\mathscr{S}}, v \in V \right\}$ 

 $\cup \{\texttt{destroy}(\mathit{expr}) \mid \mathit{expr} \in \mathit{Expr}_\mathscr{S} \}$ 

and OCL expressions over  $\mathscr{S}$  (with partial interpretation) as  $Expr_{\mathscr{S}}$ .

**23**/34

## Transformer Examples: Presentation

abstract syntax co	ncrete syntax
op	
intuitive semantics	
well-typedness	
semantics	2. (
$((\sigma, \varepsilon), (\sigma', \varepsilon')) \in t_{op}[u_x]$ iff	( transform
or	Γ top
$t_{op}[u_x](\sigma,\varepsilon) = \{(\sigma',\varepsilon') \mid \text{ where } \dots\}$	
observables	
$Obs_{op}[u_x] = \{\dots\}$	
(error) conditions	
Not defined if	

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Sactlang -

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Sactlang -

# Transformer: Skip

abstract syntax	concrete syntax
skip	skip
intuitive semantics	
do nothing	
well-typedness	
./.	
semantics	
$t_{\texttt{skip}}[u_x](\sigma,\varepsilon) = \{(\sigma,\varepsilon)\}$	
observables	
$Obs_{\texttt{skip}}[u_x](\sigma, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$	
(error) conditions	

**25**/34

Transformer: Update

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Sactlang -

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Sactlang -





 $t_{\texttt{update}(expr_1,v,expr_2)}[u_x](\sigma,\varepsilon) = (\sigma' = \sigma[u \mapsto \sigma(u)[v \mapsto \underbrace{I[\![expr_2]\!](\sigma,u_x)]}_{]}], \varepsilon), u = I[\![expr_1]\!](\sigma,u_x)$ 



Transformer: Send

abstract syntax concrete syntax
$\mathtt{send}(E(expr_1,,expr_n),expr_{dst})$
intuitive semantics
Object $u_x : C$ sends event $E$ to object $expr_{dst}$ , i.e. create a fresh signal
instance, fill in its attributes, and place it in the ether.
well-typedness
$E \in \mathscr{E}$ ; $atr(E) = \{v_1 : T_1, \dots, v_n : T_n\}$ ; $expr_i : T_i, 1 \le i \le n$ ;
$expr_{dst}:T_D$ , $C,D\in \mathscr{C}\setminus \mathscr{E}$ ;
all expressions obey visibility and navigability in $C$
semantics
$(\sigma',\varepsilon') \in t_{\texttt{send}(E(expr_1,\ldots,expr_n),expr_{dst})}[u_x](\sigma,\varepsilon)$
$\text{if } \sigma' = \sigma \mathrel{\dot{\cup}} \{ u \mapsto \{ v_i \mapsto d_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \} \};  \varepsilon' = \varepsilon \oplus (u_{dst}, u);$
$ \text{if } u_{dst} = I[\![expr_{dst}]\!](\sigma, u_x) \in \text{dom}(\sigma);  d_i = I[\![expr_i]\!](\sigma, u_x) \text{ for } $
$1 \leq i \leq n;$
$u \in \mathscr{D}(E)$ a fresh identity, i.e. $u \not\in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$ ,
and where $(\sigma', \varepsilon') = (\sigma, \varepsilon)$ if $u_{dst} \notin dom(\sigma)$ .
observables
$Obs_{\texttt{send}}[u_x] = \{(u_e, u_{dst})\}$
(error) conditions
$I[[expr]](\sigma, u_x)$ not defined for any $expr \in \{expr_{dst}, expr_1, \dots, expr_n\}$

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - Sactlang -

# Send Transformer Example



$$\begin{split} t_{\texttt{send}(expr_{src}, E(expr_{1}, \dots, expr_{n}), expr_{dst})}[u_{x}](\sigma, \varepsilon) \ni (\sigma', \varepsilon') \text{ iff } \varepsilon' &= \varepsilon \oplus (u_{dst}, u); \\ \sigma' &= \sigma \stackrel{.}{\cup} \{u \mapsto \{v_{i} \mapsto d_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}\}; u_{dst} = I[\![expr_{dst}]\!](\sigma, u_{x}) \in \text{dom}(\sigma); \\ d_{i} &= I[\![expr_{i}]\!](\sigma, u_{x}), 1 \leq i \leq n; u \in \mathscr{D}(E) \text{ a fresh identity;} \end{split}$$





# Sequential Composition of Transformers

• Sequential composition  $t_1 \circ t_2$  of transformers  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  is canonically defined as

$$(t_2 \circ t_1)[u_x](\sigma, \varepsilon) = t_2[u_x](t_1[u_x](\sigma, \varepsilon))$$

with observation

$$Obs_{(t_2 \circ t_1)}[u_x](\sigma, \varepsilon) = Obs_{t_1}[u_x](\sigma, \varepsilon) \cup Obs_{t_2}[u_x](t_1(\sigma, \varepsilon)).$$

• Clear: not defined if one the two intermediate "micro steps" is not defined.

## Transformers And Denotational Semantics

**Observation**: our transformers are in principle the **denotational semantics** of the actions/action sequences. The trivial case, to be precise.

Note: with the previous examples, we can capture

- empty statements, skips,
- assignments,

Sactlan

- 2016-12-08 -

2016-12-08 - Sttwytt

- conditionals (by normalisation and auxiliary variables),
- create/destroy (later),

#### but not possibly diverging loops.

Our (Simple) Approach: if the action language is, e.g. Java, then (syntactically) forbid loops and calls of recursive functions. Other Approach: use full blown denotational semantics.

No show-stopper, because loops in the action annotation can be converted into transition cycles in the state machine.

**31**/34

#### *Tell Them What You've Told Them...*

- A ether is an abstract representation of different possible "event pools" like
  - FIFO queues (shared, or per sender),
  - One-place buffers,
  - ...
- A system configuration consists of
  - an event pool (pending messages),
  - a system state over a signature with implicit attributes for
    - current state,
    - stability,
    - etc.
- Transitions are labelled with **actions**, the effect of actions is explained by **transformers**,
  - transformers may modify system state and ether.

References

**33**/34

# References

OMG (2011a). Unified modeling language: Infrastructure, version 2.4.1. Technical Report formal/2011-08-05.

OMG (2011b). Unified modeling language: Superstructure, version 2.4.1. Technical Report formal/2011-08-06.

- 11 - 2016-12-08 - main -