



Jochen Hoenicke
Tanja Schindler

12.11.2019
submit until 19.11.2019, 14:15

Tutorials for Decision Procedures Exercise Sheet 4

Exercise 1: Substitutions

4 Points

Compute the result $F_i\sigma_i$ of the following substitutions.

- (a) $F_1 : \forall y. p(x, y)$ and $\sigma_1 : \{x \mapsto f(x)\}$
- (b) $F_2 : \forall y. p(x, y)$ and $\sigma_2 : \{x \mapsto a, y \mapsto a\}$
- (c) $F_3 : \forall y. (p(x, y) \wedge \exists x. p(y, x))$ and $\sigma_3 : \{x \mapsto f(x)\}$
- (d) $F_4 : \forall y. p(x, y)$ and $\sigma_4 : \{x \mapsto f(y), y \mapsto f(x)\}$

Exercise 2: Semantic Argument – Quantifier Instantiation

3 Points

Consider the following semantic argument “proof” of validity for the formula

$$(\forall x. p(x, x)) \rightarrow (\exists x \forall y. p(x, y)).$$

1. $I \not\models (\forall x. p(x, x)) \rightarrow (\exists x \forall y. p(x, y))$
2. $I \models \forall x. p(x, x)$ 1, \rightarrow
3. $I \not\models \exists x \forall y. p(x, y)$ 1, \rightarrow
4. $I \not\models \forall y. p(y, y)$ 3, $\exists (x \mapsto y)$
5. $I \not\models p(a, a)$ 4, $\exists (y \mapsto a \text{ fresh})$
6. $I \models p(a, a)$ 2, $\exists (y \mapsto a)$
7. $I \models \perp$ (contradiction, 5. 6.)

However this formula is not valid. Where is the mistake in the proof? Explain your answer.

Exercise 3: FOL Validity

5 Points

Which of the following formulae is valid? If it is valid, give a proof using the *semantic argument* method; otherwise, give a *falsifying interpretation*.

- (a) $(\forall y. p(y, y)) \rightarrow \exists x. p(x, a)$

- (b) $(\forall x, y. (p(x, y) \vee p(y, x))) \rightarrow \forall z. p(z, z)$
- (c) $(\forall x, y. (p(x, y) \rightarrow p(y, x))) \rightarrow \forall z. p(z, z)$
- (d) $(\exists x. p(x)) \rightarrow \forall y. p(y)$
- (e) $\exists x. (p(x) \rightarrow \forall y. p(y))$